


The Illuminati Phalanx

BY

ADAM WEISHAAPT

PUBLISHED BY HYPERREALITY BOOKS AT SMASHWORDS



Copyright 2011 Adam Weishaupt

The right of Adam Weishaupt to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the author, except in the case of a reviewer, who may quote brief passages embodied in critical articles or in a review.

Quotations

“There is only one class in the community that thinks more about money than the rich, and that is the poor. The poor can think of nothing else.” -- Oscar Wilde

“By giving us the opinions of the uneducated, journalism keeps us in touch with the ignorance of the community.” -- Oscar Wilde

“The most perfect political community is one in which the middle class is in control, and outnumbers both of the other classes.” -- Aristotle

“The community which has neither poverty nor riches will always have the noblest principles.” -- Plato

“The body is a community made up of its innumerable cells or inhabitants.” -- Thomas A. Edison

“Crime and bad lives are the measure of a State’s failure; all crime in the end is the crime of the community.” -- H. G. Wells

Introduction

THIS IS ONE OF A SERIES OF BOOKS outlining the religion, politics and philosophy of the ancient and controversial secret society known as the Illuminati, of which the Greek polymath Pythagoras was the first official Grand Master. The society exists to this day and the author is a member, working under the pseudonym of “Adam Weishaupt” – the name of the Illuminati’s most notorious Grand Master.

The Illuminati’s religion is the most highly developed expression of Gnosticism and is called Illumination (alternatively, Illuminism). Dedicated to the pursuit of enlightenment, it has many parallels with the Eastern religions of Hinduism, Buddhism and Taoism. It rejects the Abrahamic religions of faith: Judaism, Christianity and Islam, considering these the work of the “Demiurge”; an inferior, cruel and wicked deity who deludes himself that he is the True God, and who has inflicted endless horrors on humanity.

If you wish to judge for yourself how deranged the Demiurge is, you need only read the Old Testament, the story of the Demiurge’s involvement with his “Chosen People”, the Hebrews. You may wonder why the “God of All” entered into an exclusive and partisan Covenant with a tribe in the Middle East several thousand years ago, why he promised them a land (Canaan) that belonged to others, and why he then actively participated with them in a genocidal war against the Canaanites. Even more bizarrely, according to Christian theology, he then despatched all of those Hebrews, whom he had supported so fanatically, to Limbo – the edge of Hell – when they died. They couldn’t go to Heaven because they were indelibly marked by the “Original Sin” of Adam and Eve. Only the atonement provided by the agonising death of God’s “son”, Jesus Christ, could wipe the slate clean and allow the Hebrews to be released from Limbo. But there was a catch. Only those who accepted Jesus Christ as their Lord and Saviour were eligible for Paradise.

Of course, the Chosen People of “God” have almost entirely rejected Jesus Christ. Therefore, from the Christian perspective, nearly all of the Chosen People are now in hell proper. Don’t you find God’s behaviour distinctly odd? Indeed, unbelievable? Don’t alarm bells start ringing? Doesn’t the behaviour of this God sound rather more like what would be expected of Satan?

Remember that this same “God” ordered Abraham to perform human sacrifice on his own son, Isaac. Abraham, rather than rejecting this monstrous command, rather than denouncing the creature that gave it as evil incarnate, agreed to butcher his own flesh and blood to demonstrate how slavishly and mindlessly obedient he was – the prototype of all psychopathic, fanatical “believers”.

Does God’s command to Abraham sound like something that would ever pass the lips of the True God? We pity you if you think it does because you are surely a creature of the Demiurge and one of the legions of the damned. If, however, you doubt the credentials of the Abrahamic God, you may be receptive to the message of the Illuminati and our future-oriented, rational, scientific, mathematical and dialectical religion of light – *Illumination*.

Charles Fourier

THE ILLUMINATI ARE inextricably linked with the concept of a “New World Order”, and our enemies claim we have a supremely sinister vision of what the future world should look like. Well, judge for yourselves.

To create any new society, it’s essential to understand what has gone wrong with existing society. The functional unit of contemporary society is the family, so the family must be the focus of any attempt to change the world.

Can the family be said to have provided a successful foundation for our social relations? Do you feel that other families are on your side or are in some sense your enemy? Some families have proved phenomenally successful, while many more have failed dismally and most simply muddle along on the slow lane of mediocrity. Why should the family be celebrated as something magnificent when, according to any objective analysis, it is largely a failure? We live in a world that promotes freedom and choice. So shouldn’t society provide an alternative to the family and give everyone a meaningful choice? Instead of living in isolated, anti-social little boxes (houses), many families could be brought together to live in planned communities, where the presence of many people can dilute the eccentricities and neuroses that dominate so many households. Psychological profiling could be used to match families that are likely to work together in harmony and friendship within a community environment of solidarity and mutual support.

The concept of community has never been taken seriously by any government, and never given the opportunity to prove its viability. Yet the theory of community has been studied by many great thinkers, none more so than Frenchman Charles Fourier (1772 – 1837), a philosopher and utopian socialist, notable for having coined the word *feminism*. The Communards of the revolutionary Paris Commune of 1871 were greatly influenced by his persuasive advocacy of the importance of community. Can his radical ideas be brushed off and applied in the contemporary world to move us all away from the dog-eat-dog family model of society, where families engage in a vicious, competitive struggle for resources, to a harmonious and cooperative community model of psychologically matched families working together for the common good?

Fourier was insistent that cooperation was the secret of a healthy society and would enormously improve the productivity levels of workers, each of whom would be rewarded in direct proportion to their contribution (i.e. hard work would be incentivised).

Fourier, inspired by the *phalanx* – the basic military formation of the ancient Greeks that relied on cooperation and coordination of the soldiers who had to move as a disciplined whole if they wished to secure victory – applied this name to the new communitarian entity that he wished to be the basic unit of society rather than the family. “Phalanxes” would be housed in huge, beautiful buildings called *Phalanstères* that were like grand hotels.

These buildings were envisaged as having four floors, with the most talented occupying the top floor and the least the ground floor. Relative wealth was determined by your job, which was assigned on the basis of merit, interest and dedication. Also, unpopular, unpleasant jobs that no one wanted to do attracted higher pay.

Fourier regarded “trade” as Jewish and Jews as the “source of all evil”. He advocated that they be forced to perform farm work in the Phalanstères. He asserted that poverty was the cause of most of the ills of society, hence there must be a decent minimum wage for everyone, including those unable to work. This is perhaps the first appearance of the “basic income” doctrine – everyone in society should have a guaranteed amount of money to live on – although it was assumed that anyone who could work would work and no one would choose to opt out and simply take the money (if they were neither ill nor unemployed against their will).

Fourier wanted to liberate everyone – every man, woman, and child – and he regarded liberation as having two primary aspects: intelligence, nourished by education, and joy, nourished by the healthy expression of human passion. Everywhere, he saw intelligence and joy under attack. Education for the vast majority of people remained rudimentary and religion continually constrained all joyful activities. Work also undermined joy, so he wanted to crack the secret of turning work into play.

People who love what they do will invest far more time and care into it than those who hate their work. They will do a far better job and be enormously more productive. They will feel fulfilled, contented, at one with their work. The most depressing thing is to be alienated from how you spend your time because that's what constitutes your life. To love life, you must love how you spend your time, and you never will if you're trapped in a job you hate and you're only doing it because you have no alternative. That makes you a slave, and there's nothing worse than that. People and work must be harmonised. The State should find what people like doing and give them jobs that involve that activity, in the company of others who enjoy it too. Work should be the centrepiece of a joyful life, not the thing from which people flee.

Most people spend their lives dreaming of their free time and of the "weekend" when work mercifully stops for 48 precious hours for many people. Countless individuals are driven by this permanent Sisyphean treadmill of work, play, work, play, ad infinitum. Play takes on a kind of insane, desperate character with many pumping themselves full of drugs and alcohol to numb the pain of the lives. Precious few use their spare time to dig their escape tunnel from their prison camp. They never get out.

Life can be good only when work and play coincide – you love what you do to earn your living. Soccer players are immensely envied because they relish what they do, are paid a fortune for it, enjoy all the finest things in life because of it, and received endless adulation. Most soccer players are morons, but, hey, you can't have everything, can you?

Fourier was a zealous proponent of a New World Order based on harmonious, communitarian collaboration. The Illuminati share his vision.

The Phalanx Model of the World

“PHALANSTERY” (ALSO CALLED PHALANX): a socialist community as planned by Charles Fourier; any communal association; the buildings housing such a community; a grand hotel-cum-monastery.

Origin: French *phalanstère* (phalange (phalanx) + (mona)stère, monastery).

Fourier’s utopian vision was of a world organised into self-sufficient phalanges (phalanxes), each consisting of about 1,600 people sharing common buildings (phalansteries) – very much like modern university campus halls of residence – and working about 5,000 acres of land to grow the foodstuffs for the community (i.e. the phalanx was designed to integrate urban and rural features and provide self-sufficiency in food and drink). Educational facilities were to be provided, along with workshops for handicrafts. Regular entertainment would be laid on and everything would be rationally organised to provide a happy and harmonious social life. Those doing the most menial, unpleasant tasks or the most challenging and demanding, were to be paid the most from the commonwealth, while those doing the easiest and most pleasant jobs would be paid the least.

The phalanx were to be linked into suitable cooperative groups and finally into a great federation. Theoretically, each phalanx could be self-governing with its own unique character, like an ancient Greek city-state, or a whole group of phalanxes might agree to have a common government. This model is supremely flexible. It is the political equivalent of atoms and molecules in chemistry. The basic political atom is the phalanx and these atoms can be joined to create molecules of different sizes. Is this not an inherently better system than a one-size-fits all democracy with a single centralized government? It offers far more freedom, choice, flexibility and dynamism and can accommodate on an equitable basis radically different political and religious approaches to life. Phalanxes that have different outlooks can ignore each other while having friendly relations with those on a similar wavelength. Basically, everyone in the world can have a bespoke political and social system if they can find 1600 other similarly minded people.

Is this not the future? Is this not how the world should be, a world of choice and liberty? Imagine a whole world where the family square box (house) model of the world is abolished and is instead replaced by a phalanx model where each phalanstery resembles a campus university, with educational facilities at its core. There would also be many bespoke workshops for hi-tech companies, design companies, and so forth. Each phalanstery would have a medical facility, and a group of phalansteries a hospital. There would be shared entertainment complexes and shopping areas.

With this basic model, we would have the building blocks to create bespoke societies and city-states. Everyone would be able to have their own utopia where they are surrounded by those who share their values. There wouldn’t be a capitalist corporation in sight, nor any bank “too big to fail”, nor any irrational market.

Fourier dreamt that there would be millions of these phalanxes all across the world, loosely ruled by a benevolent world *omniarch* (“ruler of all”), or a World Congress of Phalanxes.

Fourier, an ardent advocate of equal rights for women, believed that the traditional family home oppressed women and that they would be much freer within a community, supported by many other women. He considered that all important jobs should be open to women and men on an equal basis and aptitude alone should decide who was given a particular job. He was keen to speak of women as individuals rather than as appendages of men (as they were normally characterized). What he saw of marriage so horrified him that he himself never married.

A compassionate man, Fourier was sympathetic towards the plight of the sexually rejected (and perhaps he suffered that fate himself). He had the rather charming idea of jilted suitors being led away by a corps of fairies who would administer a love cure. More intriguingly, he proposed a card-index system of personality types that could be consulted by people looking for casual sex. He also defended homosexuality.

For the world to be completely changed, the institutions of the world must be completely changed and the unit that is most in need of change is the family. Dysfunctional families abound.

Every such family causes endless problems for society. We can't go on like this. The boil of family dysfunction must be lanced, and the easiest thing is to dilute the family by placing it within a community context. The psychological toxins present in so many homes would be enormously diluted in a community environment where everyone is exposed to far more people. In a phalanstery, children could enjoy a boarding school environment in one wing, while the parents would be nearby in another wing. Children could spend quality time with their parents while not being constantly subjected to the parental madness that afflicts so many households.

Many psychiatrists regard the family home as one of the most disturbed and dangerous places in the world, the source of endless mental illness via child abuse, spouse beating, bullying, trying to fit children into a parental mould of unrealistic expectations and so on. Schizophrenics often become markedly healthier when removed from the family home and then relapse when they "recover" and are sent home i.e. it's the home environment that seems to be responsible for the schizophrenia. Stupid parents will inevitably infect their children with stupidity. Obese parents will have obese children. All of the neuroses of parents will be passed on to their children. Fanatical religious beliefs will be passed on. Are alcoholics and drug addicts fit parents? Are the permanently unemployed good role models?

The family home is catastrophic for many people. Nothing is more important than that its harmful effects should be negated as much as possible. Community is the answer.

The optimal solution is to allow quality time where parental love and nurturing are at their best, but to enormously reduce overall contact time between children and parents so that all the neuroses, bad habits and mental toxins don't have a chance to be transmitted. Everyone will be much healthier and happier.

Fourier's basic design for a phalanstery consisted of three parts: a central block and two wings. The central block was intended for quiet activities and included communal dining rooms and kitchens, meeting rooms, libraries, studies and lecture rooms. One of the wings was for heavy labour and noisy activities including carpentry, hammering and forging. Noisy children had a play area here. The other wing contained ballrooms, activity areas and reception areas for meetings with outsiders. Private apartments were located throughout. Some have compared phalansteries to land bound ocean-liners.

The strength of a community is that it offers access to a much wider range of talents than those of parents alone; it takes a lot of the pressure off parents (the children are no longer in their pockets all the time and they're not totally reliant on them); childcare can be spread out and any neurotic parental behaviour diluted. Any extremist views are likely to be moderated. If parents are genuinely interested in bringing up their children in the best possible way then one thing they will have to recognise is that they're not ideal for the job, and many others must help with the task. That goes for ALL parents. There's no such thing as a perfect parent. An African proverb says, "It takes a village to raise a child." That's exactly right.

Never forget Philip Larkin's incendiary poem, *This Be the Verse*:

They fuck you up, your mum and dad.

They may not mean to, but they do.

They fill you with the faults they had

And add some extra, just for you.

But they were fucked up in their turn

By fools in old-style hats and coats,

Who half the time were sappy-stern

And half at one another's throats.

Man hands on misery to man.

It deepens like a coastal shelf.

Get out as early as you can,

And don't have any kids yourself.

Our world will be far better off adopting communes as the fundamental unit of society. At a stroke, people will be far more cooperative and the deadly, cutthroat, soul destroying game theory competition between families will at long last come to an end.

Sinister governments love the family because it is the ideal means for spreading the ideology of "divide and rule". If families are all working against each other in a ferocious contest of self-interest, they will pose no threat to the entrenched elite.

Psychological Profiling

FOURIER BELIEVED THAT THERE are twelve fundamental passions: the five senses (touch, taste, hearing, sight and smell); four soul passions (friendship, love, ambition and parenthood); and three “distributive” passions: *la papillone*, *la cabaliste*, *la composite*.

“La papillone” is the love of variety. Anyone would be driven crazy by a production-line job of mindless repetition. Even lovers grow tired of each other and have affairs. Fourier considered that endless drudgery stunted and repressed human beings. So, it’s essential to eliminate all tedious or unpleasant jobs using automation.

“La cabaliste” revolves around rivalry and conspiracy. Fourier intended to utilise this positively by creating healthy competition between different teams of workers to see who could produce the most goods, or the goods of the highest quality. The mistake that Communism made was to try to eliminate competition when, in fact, all societies need some degree of competition as an incentive and to act as a team builder and unifier. The trick is to get the right balance and prevent competition from becoming sinister and poisonous. As for conspiracy, people love conspiracy theories and conspiring. Even the task of arranging an orgy with the sexy couple at the next table (!) involves a conspiracy of sorts. These secret arrangements are delicious in all contexts. Secret societies are a manifestation of *la cabaliste*.

“La composite” is the most satisfying of all because it is about creating a composite of the other passions, so, for example, it might involve a delicious meal (the senses) in good company (the soul) while discussing the details of a conspiracy (*la cabaliste*).

Fourier, obsessed with numbers, produced a list of 810 psychological types derived from these twelve passions. Multiplying by two (male and female) gave a figure of 1,620. Thus each phalanstery was in some sense a microcosm of society, containing a couple of each possible psychological type.

Fourier thought his Law of Passional Attractions i.e. matching up those with the same passions, would revolutionise society in the same way as Newton had revolutionised physics. This is probably the first appearance of the idea that society is best shaped via a study of people’s psychological types and matching them accordingly.

Interest in Fourier erupted in the 1960s, with hippie communes imitating many of his ideas. They particularly liked his advocacy of sexual liberation and free love. He accepted sadism and masochism among consenting partners as well as sodomy, lesbianism, homosexuality, pederasty, bestiality, fetishism, sex between close relatives – in fact anything at all so long as it was consensual.

As for women, he considered that men had effectively turned them into slaves. The level of any civilization could, he said, be determined by the extent to which its women were liberated. He rejected the tyranny of patriarchy and believed that the family structure inherently subjugated women. It turned women inwards to spouse and children and alienated them from society. In the phalanstery, family arrangements were entirely different and much more outward looking.

Fourier is a truly great visionary. His ideas concerning turning work into play, a variety of work to prevent boredom, a designed community, sexual liberation, an educational emphasis, a hatred of oppression, an appreciation of the importance of psychological profiling, his championing of feminism, mark him out as a man well ahead of his time. Perhaps the world is only now ready for Fourier.

Saint-Simon

Frenchman Henri de Saint-Simon (1760 – 1825), a contemporary of Fourier, was an advocate of meritocracy, in the shape of a scientific technocratic elite who would run society according to rational, objective principles and repeat the success of science in the social sphere. Saint-Simon was the founder of French socialism, the first utopian socialist, and one of the influences on the

thinking of Karl Marx.

He advocated the abolition of the law of inheritance (with the right of succession transferred from the family to the State) and the creation of a social fund. Society itself would be the sole owner of all the means of production and would entrust the running of industry to the most able. His system is thus a meritocratic, socialist technocracy run according to scientific laws. He regarded bureaucrats as parasites.

Saint-Simon called for the emancipation of women and insisted that they must be treated with complete equality in relation to men. He advocated the equal distribution of property, power, culture and happiness. In his view, freedom and equality could be attained only through a science of society based on community living. A scientific society would surely resolve the problems of the poor and lowly.

It's interesting to note that Western socialist utopian thinkers have always championed the cause of experts, intellectuals and meritocracy while Western right-wingers are often extremely hostile to intellectuals and favour democracy over meritocracy. Whereas the left wing thinkers want smart people to be in charge and for society to emulate the scientific method and be based on rational laws, right-wingers want the rich and privileged to be in charge, and for the ordinary people to be in thrall to idiotic religions of faith, irrationality and superstition.

It's time for the world's smart people to unite and defeat the religious and political right wing once and for all. Right-wingers are stupid, driven by simplistic slogans and infantile analysis of complex matters. They always prefer moralising to scientific evidence. The intelligentsia have to bring to an end the rule of the stupid – the Dumbocracy, the Idiocracy, the MORONarchy.

It's time for a Smart Society led by smart people obeying reason, logic, science, philosophy, technology, mathematics, psychology, sociology and art. One generation is all that's needed to transform this benighted world of ours.

Human Castes

“When the conditions of men are very unequal and the inequalities are permanent, individuals become little by little so dissimilar that each class assumes the aspects of a distinct race.” -- Alexis de Tocqueville

HAVE WE NOT GENERATED such conditions and inequalities in the present day? In fact, the privileged class aren't so much a different race as a different species. The elite have no empathy and sympathy with the lower orders, no understanding of how they live, and worst of all, no interest.

Alexis De Tocqueville (1805 – 1859), an acute French social commentator, put forward an interesting argument that in caste systems based on religion or class, people know who they are and where they fit in. They don't have unrealistic goals because the horizons of their caste are clearly set and there's no possibility of travelling beyond them. But what happens in an apparently “open” society? Then everyone has potentially infinite horizons. Everyone thinks everything is possible. Everyone is permanently dreaming of the better life they think will come, then increasingly frustrated and disappointed when it fails to arrive. They are in intense competition with everyone else. Everyone is anxious and obsessed with status because status reflects how well you're doing in life. In a caste system, your social position is fixed so you can't be preoccupied with status. Only open societies become immersed in status wars. But at least in a true open society, you really do have the chance to rise to the top.

The worst possible society is the fake open society that is actually a cunningly disguised caste system. In such a system, you enjoy neither the security nor boundaries of the caste system nor do you have any realistic opportunities to realize your dreams. They permanently tantalize you, but they're always out of reach. That's the world we live in now. The West calls itself an open democracy that encourages merit, but it's actually a closed plutocracy run by the privileged elite that opposes merit. The elite live in gated communities far from the madding crowd. They have an elaborate code of signs and symbols to exclude outsiders. They go to elite schools and colleges that are beyond the price range of ordinary people; they join special clubs and societies that are closed to the mob.

But the ordinary person nevertheless subscribes to the “American Dream” and still thinks everything's possible. It's not. Everyone in the world is plagued by dreams of a perfect life, all the more so because perfect lives are forever paraded in front of us. Our media is full of images and tales of celebrities and the super rich enjoying seemingly ideal lives. Most people look at such lives and then at their own and there can be only one conclusion – they are a total failure in comparison and their dreams are turning to ashes.

What could be worse than to raise the highest possible hopes and then never deliver? That's our world. And it's an unsustainable world. The dreams it instilled in people but failed to turn into reality will be the same dreams that cause the people to turn against the elite in a great Revolution. As soon as they understand that they have been deceived, that freedom and democracy are an illusion and that they are in fact participating in the most insidious caste system with an impregnable dynastic elite sitting on top of the world, they will at last revolt.

The elite thought they could get away with lying, with their propaganda and the perfect illusions of the Spectacular Society, but all they have done is load the bullets into the guns of their enemies.

The Old World Order are always striving to turn themselves into a dynastic nobility that permanently rules the world. With every passing year, as the gulf between the rich and poor grows ever wider, this becomes increasingly possible, yet it also markedly raises the prospect of the inevitable dialectical response – a people's Revolution.

Tocqueville made a fascinating observation regarding a phenomenon that occurs within aristocratic societies – the identification of servants with their masters and accompanying alienation from themselves: “The servant ultimately detaches his notion of himself from his own person; he deserts himself as it were, or rather he transports himself into the character of his

master and thus assumes an imaginary personality. He complacently invests himself with the wealth of those who command him; he enjoys their fame, exalts himself with their rank, and feeds his mind with borrowed greatness.”

We see the same thing happening in the UK with the Royal Family. Millions of working people love this anti-meritocratic, unaccountable, elitist family that despises the ordinary people and refers to them as “subjects” and “commoners”.

The same perverse phenomenon occurs in relation to celebrity culture. Billions of people spend their lives identifying with celebrities and living through them vicariously. By reading all the gossip about the celebrities, seeing the glossy photos of their luxury dream homes and the fabulous parties they attend with the “beautiful people”, they imagine themselves becoming part of this scene, and that they will be best friends with the particular celebrity they most admire.

Of course, this is pure fantasy. It’s how to live in bad faith, to create a fake life that demeans you in every possible way. Celebrity culture and royalty are obscenities. They must be abolished for the psychological well-being of ordinary people. We must topple all of the false gods.

Tocqueville said that in well-functioning aristocratic societies, the people had an instinctive trust in authority whereas in democratic societies there is an instinctive distrust. We see this all too clearly in the West where in every country everyone hates the government. Why is this? In aristocratic societies, the elite were better educated and cultured than the ordinary people and seemed much classier and more refined. The elite of today may have paid for a better education, yet they do not seem intellectually competent – think of the stumbling fool George W Bush – and nor do they seem classy, cultured and refined. In fact they often go out of their way to pretend to be “down with the people”. Yet by trying to blur the distance between rulers and ruled, they make themselves seem unremarkable and actually unfit for high office.

If the elite aren’t genuinely smarter than the ordinary people then the ordinary people will start to think they could do better. They will become convinced the government is not only inept but corrupt too, and that they are carrying out perverse policies to serve their own interests. Moreover, they will be right.

Tocqueville asserted that democratic societies were plagued by the right of “private judgment” where ordinary people felt entirely comfortable about criticising their rulers, something that was unthinkable in the great monarchies of old.

Tocqueville wrote: “Where the citizens are all placed on an equal footing and closely seen by one another, and where no signs of incontestable greatness or superiority are seen in any one of them, they are constantly brought back to their own reason as the most obvious and reliable source of truth. It is not only confidence in this or that man which is destroyed, but also the disposition to trust the authority of any man whatsoever. Everyone shuts himself tightly within himself and insists on judging the world from there.”

In the UK, the most elaborate measures are taken to protect the head of state – the Queen – from coming into contact with ordinary people and any prospect of having her position openly challenged. The media are invariably sycophantic towards her and no voices of militant republicanism are allowed to be heard. That’s the UK in the 21st century – barely changed from its feudal past.

The people of the UK are an embarrassment and it’s the black kids who rioted in London – who aren’t fooled by the propaganda of the elite – who probably offer the greatest hope of leading the UK towards a better future.

Tocqueville put forward an interesting argument that in aristocratic societies, the opinions of the people were formed by great men – i.e. experts – while in democratic societies “public opinion” is the greatest influence on the positions people adopt. The “tyranny of the majority” becomes a huge problem. People don’t respect expert opinion but they do acknowledge popular opinion. Yet what if Ibsen is right and the majority is always wrong? In his brilliant play *An Enemy of the People*, Ibsen savages the idea that ordinary people know best, that the wisdom of the crowd is superior to the wisdom of the individual genius. As meritocrats, we agree with Ibsen. Popular

opinion once regarded the earth as flat and stationary, and at the centre of the universe. Had the world listened to popular opinion, it would never have evolved. Billions of people subscribe to the popular opinion that Abrahamist religions reveal the truth of life. Of course, the real truth is that these religions are wholly false.

Modern politicians frequently employ “focus groups” to shape their policies. They abandon any policies that are unpopular. Since when did leaders let their views be dictated by the followers? No wonder there’s a leadership vacuum in our world. Nietzsche was keen to point out that modern trends have militated against strong leadership. He asserted that people in democracies are incapable of leading. They don’t know how – and he has been proved right. The only people making the running in democracies are the greedy elite. Politicians are their puppets.

Democratic politicians are particularly obsessed with the strongest force for forming opinion in democratic societies – the media, controlled of course by the rich elite. The media churns out propaganda, which is absorbed by the people and then regurgitated by them. The media has constructed a false consciousness for the people. Unable to think for themselves, most people will happily go along with whatever the majority think and the media invariably mould the majority opinion.

If Michael Moore rather than Rupert Murdoch ran the media in America, America would just about be a socialist nation by now. Most people have no firm views about anything because most people are not well educated, do not study philosophy and are extremely unreflective. They embrace whatever ideas they find most appealing from moment to moment, and those opinions are invariably the ones which are repeated over and over again by the media manipulation machine. Most Americans have been brainwashed to hate communism but most of them have no idea what it actually is. We guarantee that if most Americans read the works of Karl Marx, many of them would be joining the Communist Party tomorrow. Marx is a brilliant thinker and even if you finally reject his views, you can’t help but be impressed by his arguments and his deconstruction of the evils of capitalism.

Most people are “shorthand thinkers”. They reduce all complex subjects to a few simplistic signs, symbols and soundbites. So, virtually no Christians know anything about Christian theology: the religious philosophy that underpins Christianity. “Christianity”, for the average Christian, means: “Jesus loves me; Jesus died for my sins; Jesus rose from the dead; only if I believe in Jesus will I go to heaven.” That’s it. That’s the extent of their “understanding” of Christianity. Why should anyone take the opinions of these people seriously if they do not constitute a meaningful, well-considered system of thought?

Meritocracy is all about ensuring that only those with meaningful things to say will be listened to, and everyone else will be ignored. If you want to vote on economic policy, get yourself an economics degree. If you don’t have an economics degree or its equivalent then shut up in relation to economics since you literally don’t know what you’re talking about and why should any emphasis be placed on your ill-informed, half-baked, ignorant opinions?

We won’t make any progress in the world until we listen to the wise and ignore the stupid. Democracy, as all intellectuals have always understood, is a means of putting power in the hands of ill-informed public opinion. How can that be sensible and rational? Democracy goes hand in hand with Protestantism – it’s the cult of the loudmouthed moron who thinks bar talk constitutes philosophy; the self-appointed armchair expert who sneers at experts and thinks he knows better. It’s extraordinary how many badly educated people think they are right about everything. What that means is that they have contempt for education and regard it as essentially worthless. Meritocracy, on the other hand, regards education as the highest good, and it ought to be the axis around which the world revolves rather than the present mainstays of family, patriotism, religion and capitalism.

Tocqueville believed that the tyranny of the majority opinion would lead to intellectual stagnation. People, scared of disagreeing with the consensus, would stop using their reason and just go with the flow. In fact, stagnation was the least of it. Active dumbing down has taken place under democracy, a race for the bottom. Democracy has given us a lowest common denominator culture. There is almost zero intellectual content in the mainstream media.

Tocqueville made an astute observation about the American attitude to religion: “If we

examine it very closely, it will be observed that religion itself holds sway there much less as a doctrine of revelation than as a commonly received opinion.” In other words, Americans believe because everyone else believes, not because they have spent any time studying the religion they profess to believe. Rather than knowledge, their beliefs are based on a) ignorance and b) whether or not they are popular. Truth content is neither here nor there.

Tocqueville asserted that in aristocratic societies, people identified only with their own class. They had intense loyalty to their own kind, and little to others. In a proper democracy, people can identify with everyone, and that makes their loyalty to each other much less intense. If you love everyone then in fact you love no one. The ultimate love is a craving for just one other person. Intensity is selective. You can't be intense towards everyone. Democracy has the effect of simultaneously widening our empathy and sympathy base while reducing its passion. And perhaps this reduction goes so far as to finally diminish our regard for everyone. It makes us apathetic towards all.

In aristocracies, people are passionate towards some and indifferent towards most. In democracies, people gradually become universally indifferent. They are not motivated to be loyal to anyone other than their close circle, particularly their family. Thus we generate the game theory world of selfish families ruthlessly competing with each other: a nightmare.

Tocqueville wrote of aristocratic societies, “The notion of human fellowship is faint and men seldom think of sacrificing themselves for mankind, but they sacrifice themselves for other men.”

Soldiers often say that they don't fight for their country or any cause but for each other. Their primary loyalty is towards their platoon or company and to the fellow soldiers and friends upon whom they rely 100% in life or death situations. That breeds a strength of connection that non-soldiers will never grasp. Gangs too are intensely loyal to other members, while having hostility to everyone else. In democracies, people will fight for their families and that's it. In theory, they should care about all of their fellow citizens but in practice they don't. The best way to create a much wider loyalty group is via Fourier's phalanx communities.

Tocqueville argued that democratic societies generate huge competitive pressures between everyone as they all strive to get the best jobs, houses, partners, schools for their children and so on. They become obsessed with their private interests and any enhanced empathy they may have had for others is destroyed. According to Tocqueville, the extreme individualism of democracy becomes mere egotism. Individuals grow solitary and deeply suspicious of others. Paranoia rises. Conspiracy theories spread exponentially.

As community is progressively destroyed by individualism, a side effect is for bureaucratic centralization to grow to fill the void of cooperation. Washington D.C. is created in all of its dismal “glory”, and its real function is to keep the peace between endlessly warring private factions that all hate central government.

Democratic Atomisation

“Despotism, which thrives on fear, sees in the isolation of men the best guarantee of its own survival, and therefore it takes enormous care to isolate them...Equality places men side by side, unconnected by a common tie. Despotism raises barriers to keep them apart: the former predisposes them not to consider their fellow creatures, the latter makes general indifference a sort of public virtue.” -- Tocqueville

Democracy creates an atomised society, a bureaucratic, centralised despotism and a catastrophic indifference, if not actual hatred, between people, all of whom are ruthlessly competing for the same things. The authentic rat race has come to life and we have all allowed it to happen. We are no longer human. We look in the mirror and we try not to see the rat whiskers we all have.

Tocqueville said of centralised democratic government, “It does not tyrannize, but it interferes, enervates, extinguishes, and stupefies people, until each nation is reduced to nothing better than a flock of timid and industrious animals, of which the government is the shepherd.”

India and the British Empire

India was the jewel of the British Empire. Astoundingly, just a few thousand Britons controlled a subcontinent of one hundred million. How was such a thing possible? Why didn't the Indians simply sweep the British away? Tocqueville was fascinated by what psychological forces had allowed the British to maintain their power for centuries.

His primary answer was the Indian caste system. The vast majority of people belonged to the lower castes and imagined they were being punished for wrongdoings in previous lives. If they wanted to improve their lot next time round, they had to stoically endure their grim lives. This karmic ideology makes people passive and accepting of ill treatment. It's perfect for tyrants who want to control large numbers of people. The small number of highest caste Indians had no fellow feeling with their countrymen. If anything, they identified more with the British, whom they regarded as a high caste too. In fact, the British in effect reinvented themselves as the highest caste in the Hindu religion, higher even than the priestly Brahmins. They used the existing caste mechanisms for running India, but now they placed themselves at the top of the tree. So, everything continued much as before. The British had no need to interfere. They just collected the money at the end of the line.

The British upper class are superb at adopting airs and graces and presenting themselves as a deserving elite. The Indians genuinely thought the British were a superior caste and that it was wise to obey them. Once you've made people buy into your myth, you've got it made.

The Old World Order have effectively created a new caste system. They have made everyone else believe that they are a superior caste – as evidenced by their enormous wealth – and everyone has gone along with it. The people think to themselves that if they bring down the elite, the ultimate shit storm will be unleashed. Who will be able to run the world better than the self-styled “masters of the universe”? Without them, the world will surely fall apart.

The key task for the OWO is to control the media machine – their propaganda department, their Orwellian “ministry of truth” (which tells nothing but lies!) – and ensure that no plausible rival system is allowed to present itself to the people. Democracy, for all its rhetoric, is totalitarian. When have you ever heard any discussion of alternatives to democracy in the media? When has capitalism ever been seriously challenged in the Western media? Billions of people are led to believe that there is no alternative to the rule by the OWO. It's either the OWO or chaos and ruin. The OWO actively prey on the fears of the masses.

Companies often say in their corporate brochures that they are only as good as their “people” – the employees. The same is true of nations. A nation is only as good as its citizens. That, in fact, is the guarantor that there is nothing to fear. If you believe that your nation has many good and great people in it then if the present system collapses, it will soon be replaced by something new and potentially much better. Far from being something to fear, it's a glorious opportunity and probably the best chance you will ever have to make your personal mark.

The OWO have also benefitted from the social atomisation, inter-family competition and mutual indifference of those in democratic societies. Unlike the Muslims in the Arab uprisings, they have little inclination to gather together. Islam is all about community and religious tradition; the West is all about the family and individual. It's enormously harder to get Westerners off their fat asses.

The collapse of the existing order is a great opportunity for you; what you've always been waiting for. Let's get rid of the Old World Order. They have fucked our world and now it's time for them to be fucked. The new world can be built very quickly – using the assets of the rich. They have forfeited those assets because of their crimes against the people and their criminal ineptitude in managing the economy. Their greed – and their greed alone – was the cause of the financial meltdown. Now they must pay.

The monarchy and aristocracy of the France of 1789 had no idea what was about to hit them. Their arrogance blinded them to what was going on. Their isolationist, privileged lives, where they never came into contact with ordinary people, meant that they had no idea of the fury of the people. They imagined their wealth, the law and, ultimately, the army would protect them. They were wrong on every count. The French aristocracy had engineered tax immunity for themselves, and no longer bothered to fulfil any of their duties to their communities. In the modern day, the super rich live behind huge gates, have no interest in the community at large and use top

accountants to reduce their taxes to nothing.

Today's super rich have repeated all of the same catastrophic errors as the French elite of 1789, and now history will repeat itself. The Revolution is surely coming.

The internet is a discontent magnifier and it can link people everywhere. All it would take is one giant heave and the Western elite will topple. All of their assets will be seized and meritocrats will establish a provisional government.

“Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold.” -- W.B. Yeats (*The Second Coming*)

“A terrible beauty is born.” -- W.B. Yeats (*Easter 1916*, commemorating the Irish Easter Uprising against the British Empire)

HAD I the heavens' embroidered cloths,

Enwrought with golden and silver light,

The blue and the dim and the dark cloths

Of night and light and the half-light,

I would spread the cloths under your feet:

But I, being poor, have only my dreams;

I have spread my dreams under your feet;

Tread softly because you tread on my dreams.

W.B. Yeats (*He wishes for the Cloths of Heaven*)

It's time to make our dreams real. It's time for the ancien régime to fall. The elite have made themselves hated by everyone. Now it's time to pay the piper.

The ingredients are in all in place. Now, as in 1789, one spark is all that's needed.

Sexual Liberation of Society

“I do think I'm a bit of a masochist. It's not something I'm proud of, and it's not something I noticed until recently. I think it's common for people who witness abuse in their household. They can never smell how beautiful a rose is unless they get pricked by a thorn.” Rihanna (fan of being spanked!)

People are continually acting out domination-submission rituals in all aspects of their lives. It's time for this sado-masochism to be removed from the social, economic, political and psychological spheres. Instead, it should be located in the sphere of sexual play. Our sex lives should become far more ritualised and BDSM-oriented.

There are four sexual types: dominants, submissives, “switches” (who can switch between either role with equal enthusiasm – many people are in fact stuck in one role or the other so switches are quite rare) and “equals” (who are neither dominant nor submissive but who could probably play at being switches).

Radical psychoanalyst Wilhelm Reich was convinced that the solution to the problems of society lay in the free flow of sexual energy. Most people have become armoured and locked in terms of their character and sexual persona. Their orgasms are either disappointing or non-existent. There's an epidemic of frigidity, erectile dysfunction and sexual neurosis. Few people have genuinely great sex lives. Hence, in Reich's view, there's a huge amount of psychic tension, frustration and resentment in the world that finds neurotics and psychotic outlets. Most disturbed behaviour is caused by unsatisfactory sex lives.

Reich dreamt of a world of “orgone accumulators” that could literally bathe the world in

orgasmic energy and release all of the orgasmic blocks that beset people.

We agree with Reich that the world needs far more high quality sex. Sex should be at the core of the New World Order. The religious leaders of the Old World Order have tried to demonise sex and confine it to the missionary position in the dark in the bedroom, with no noise, between married couples only. We advocate dungeons, torture chambers, orgies, black masses, sex magick, sex rituals, sky clad ceremonies, burlesque, striptease, peep shows – a whole world of sexual exploration; everything that makes Jews, Christians and Muslims apoplectic. The burqa should henceforth be turned into fetish gear for kinky dom-sub sex. Sex is a perfect weapon to kill Abrahamism. It's time for a Reichian sexual revolution, for rivers and oceans of orgasm, for orgasm to rain from the heaven as delicious orgasmodrops that make the land bright and fertile.

Orgasm melts those dreary fanatics with long beards and strange clothes.

Trouble in the Promised Land

“The fight to make a living even for people who have a decent job creates a lot of side effects. People are bitter and angry in a country that is rich but the people are poor.” -- Shai Dagan

People are starting to talk of an Israeli Summer following the Arab Spring. It's said that 90% of the Israeli population are discontented with the direction of their country. While a few Israelis are fabulously rich, the average take-home salary is £18,350. The people are now beginning to perceive that they themselves are in a sense victims of a dictatorship – not of the Arab kind but of the plutocratic kind. Israel's economy, like that of America, is a plutonomy – it's for the rich and driven by the rich.

An old Jewish joke – “If you have three Jews in a room, you'll get four opinions.” Don't they mean six given that all Jews are two-faced?

When will Jews do the decent thing and renounce and denounce their Devil-God, abandon circumcision and declare that they are not the Chosen People?

Is Sarah Palin an Illuminist?

Sarah Palin recently denounced “crony capitalism” and America's “permanent political class.” All we need now is for her to pronounce community superior to family and to proclaim the God of Abraham the Devil and we could be giving the hockey mom a call. Then again, she would need an intellect transplant to raise her IQ by about 100 points before that could ever happen.

The Psychological Experiments that should terrify everyone

PEOPLE ARE DELUDED ABOUT THEMSELVES. One subject that has ruthlessly exposed the ugly truths of human beings is psychology. A series of notorious experiments should be etched permanently on people's minds to remind them of what they really are. These experiments touch on every aspect of the human condition and reveal why human history has taken the shape it has, and why people believe and act as they do.

The Obedience Experiment

The infamous Stanley Milgram experiment proved that two thirds of human beings, under the direction an authority figure in a white coat, would be prepared to administer a fatal electric shock to another person who had failed to answer questions correctly. Milgram and others guessed that perhaps only 1% – psychopaths – would deliver the lethal shock. In fact, two out of three of us will do it. And now we know why the Germans who worked in the death camps did what they did. And we know that two thirds of us who express our revulsion for the Nazis would do exactly the same as the Nazis did if we were ordered to (particularly, if we had been indoctrinated to hate a certain group of people and if we were told we would be executed if we disobeyed the orders).

When you consider the Nazis, don't regard them as "Other", regard them as "Us". Scratch the surface and we are all Nazis underneath, none more so than the Jews themselves as their Bible proves conclusively and as their conduct in the West Bank and Gaza Strip demonstrates.

The Conformity Experiment

American social psychologist Solomon Asch showed in the 1950s that at least a third of us are conformist to an extreme degree. Conformists crave the approval of others and are terrified of straying from the consensus. They are the perfect victims of peer group pressure.

Asch's experiment involved nothing more elaborate than straight lines of different sizes drawn on pieces of card. The subjects of the experiment had to compare the cards and say which line was longer, and the answer was always entirely obvious. The catch was that each subject was sitting amongst a group of what he thought were follow subjects but who were actually stooges working for Asch and who were under instructions to confidently say that line A was longer than line B even though this was patently false. Rather than disagree with the group opinion, one third of the test subjects chose to agree that A was longer, contrary to the blatant evidence of their own eyes. Many others were extremely uncomfortable when it came to contradicting the others. This is a perfect test of "other-directedness" – the tendency to let others shape your behaviour.

Asch's experiment was extreme. A less blatant version of the experiment would have raised the proportion of other-directed individuals to even higher levels. Most people like to think of themselves as "individuals". They're not – they're members of groups and they are excessively susceptible to group think.

Advertisers, marketers, politicians, religious leaders and opinion formers are cynically aware of the extent to which people should be treated as groups rather than individuals, thus massively simplifying the opportunity to manipulate and exploit them. Once a tipping point is reached within a group dynamic, the whole group rapidly adopts the same opinion. Look at the rise of Islam. In its earliest years, most people easily resisted Islam (in fact for the first thirteen years of Islam there were only 100 – 150 Muslims), but Mohammed managed to produce an extremely strong group identity amongst his followers and they didn't buckle under the pressure. Indeed, it was their minority group that then began to sway the less strong-minded and less cohesive majority group and, when the tipping point was reached, the majority came across almost as one to join Islam. It might have been expected that many would have held out against this strange new semi-Jewish religion of monotheism, but they didn't. Soon, that entire part of the world was

fanatically Islamic and has remained so to the present day, and continues to convert many others in Third World countries.

This shows how a small group with an extremely strong identity can overpower much larger groups with a less established identity. People are desperate to have an identity and the group that can offer the most solid identity always wins. That's why crazy religions have been so successful. Why did Christianity defeat the Roman Empire? – because the identity of the Romans became fragmented. The Empire was vast and, by the end, all sorts of barbarian tribes were classed as Roman citizens. They often had extremely little in common, and subscribed to radically different cultural and religious beliefs. They had precious little in common with Rome. The Christians on the other hand were a fanatically cohesive group, willing to die for their beliefs. Where others were full of doubts and fears, the Christians had absolute conviction. Their victory thus assured. The fanatics always win.

In 146 BCE, long before Christianity cursed the world, the Romans destroyed the city of Carthage, killed or enslaved the entire Carthaginian population and practically erased all traces of Carthage's existence. Cato the Elder's insistent demand of "Delenda est Carthago" (Carthage must be destroyed) was carried out to the latter, though he himself was not there to see it, having died three years earlier.

If Christianity had come up against the Romans of Cato's era, every one of them would have been crucified. Christianity would have been exterminated. The Roman identity of Cato's era was easily the match for Christianity. The Romans of that time had a monumentally powerful identity and will, as any conquering nation must in order to create a great empire. They would have recognised the danger of Christianity and dealt with it. By the time of Rome's decline, the Romans no longer had the will or identity to resist and they were at the mercy of Christianity.

Chinese Whispers - the inevitable erosion of meaning

British psychologist Sir Frederic Bartlett working at Cambridge University during the First World War was inspired by a game of Chinese Whispers to see what would happen when people were asked to repeat an unfamiliar story they had just read. What he discovered was that people change the story to fit their existing knowledge, and it's the revised story that they then remember, and often it has little relationship with the original story.

Bartlett proposed that people operate within a 'schema' – a cultural, historical and intellectual framework in which to place and organize their memories. Everyone applies a particular personal context to things that happen to them and it's not an event per se that they remember but the event intertwined with this context which they have placed over it but which had absolutely nothing to do with the event itself. This has serious implications for such things as eyewitness testimony and false memory syndrome. A court is expecting a person to accurately report what they observed, not to embroider it with a schema that completely changes the raw data.

False memory syndrome can be caused by an invalid and distorting schema being applied to a certain event. The schema "memory" is then remembered rather than what actually took place. A religious person, for example, may refuse to believe that they have behaved in an unholy way, and, with the help of their constructed religious schema, they will reinterpret whatever took place to ensure that their behaviour was consistent with their schema i.e. they make up a story that suits their own beliefs about how they conduct themselves. Such people are lying through their teeth but genuinely believe they are being truthful. They would pass a lie detector test. People who lie to themselves and believe their own lies can fool anyone. After all, they fooled themselves.

If you were working on artificial intelligence, would you apply a schema memory to an android or get it to report exactly what it observed? If you did the latter, you would have made it radically inhuman. If you did the former, you would make it extremely unreliable – just like real humans!

We think Bartlett's work has even broader significance. It affects everything – humans do nothing but apply schemas. Abrahamists apply an Abrahamist schema to all of their experiences. They are looking for ways to validate their belief system, and reject anything that casts doubts on their beliefs. In other words, schemas are like "rose-tinted spectacles". They make you see

everything in a certain way and you become blind to anything you don't want to see. We'll say that again – you BLIND yourself to what you don't want to see.

Why are so many lovers fooled by the infidelity of their partners? It's not that they don't see what's going on. They certainly do. However, they then apply a schema which removes their suspicions and they go on living in blissful ignorance. It's a brilliant ego defence mechanism. Who wants the truth? For most people, the truth is unbearable so they devise ways to ignore it.

Another crucial consequence of schemas is that they shape the way in which you understand something. If you read our website for a second time, you will have a radically different understanding of the material from that which you gained on first reading. The reason for that is that the very process of reading all of that material has changed your schema for understanding it. With anything complex, you often have to read it numerous times to get anywhere near understanding it properly. But people don't have time to keep re-reading things, so most people go around with a schema for what such and such a thinker supposedly said, but which is often hopelessly wrong. We can tell from the emails we receive that some people get what we're saying almost spot on, while others have invented their own version of what we're saying that we can't recognise at all – in fact sometimes it's the precise opposite of our message!

You can't teach someone more than they know. What they know is their schema. An Abrahamist couldn't hope to understand Illumination. Their Abrahamic schema would make the task impossible. To learn, you must be highly rational and open minded, able to keep adjusting your schema. If you are locked into a dogmatic schema, you can't learn. It's almost impossible to teach Muslims anything because they are locked into the Koranic schema which shuts down all open and honest thinking.

You grow in knowledge to the extent that you can alter your knowledge schema. What we have discovered is that once a person exceeds a certain level of knowledge, their schema becomes almost infinitely flexible. This means that they can learn new things at an astronomical rate and grasp an author's intentions immediately. It's as if they are able to apply the schema that the author himself applied. Whereas most people have to wait for new knowledge to slowly penetrate an existing schema (this is what "learning" means), a really smart person grasps meaning instantly i.e. learns on the spot. They thus acquire knowledge and understanding at a dizzying rate.

Just as money goes to money (i.e. the rich get richer) so does intelligence go to intelligence i.e. the smart get smarter. A "genius" is a person who has passed the tipping point of acquiring new knowledge. He learns new things effortlessly, as soon as he hears them or reads them. He instantly forms creative new connections with his existing knowledge base, and new ideas spill out at an astonishing rate. A genius becomes a kind of God in their field of expertise. He understands it inside out. While others are plodding along in the slow lane, the genius is on the fastest autobahn in the world with no other traffic, and his foot is pressing on the accelerator ever more heavily. He's heading for escape velocity – into areas beyond all existing human knowledge. And that's the precise definition of a genius – someone who thinks what no other human has ever thought or imagined. In his area of expertise, a genius is smarter than the whole human race. He's smarter than seven billion people! He's smarter than everyone who has ever lived. Can you even begin to conceive how smart that is? Could God himself know more?

But for those following in the genius's wake, it's as if they're trying to climb Mount Everest without oxygen. They're desperately trying to adjust their schema to his, but for most it will take a hell of a long time, if indeed ever. Even today, most people have ZERO idea of what Einstein achieved.

Man's inhumanity to man

In the shocking Stanford Prison experiment in 1971, Philip Zimbardo set up a mock prison in the basement of the Stanford psychology building to study the psychological effects of being assigned the role of prisoner or prison guard. Twenty-four students were randomly assigned roles in the prison. To the amazement of Zimbardo, the students playing the prison guards quickly adopted extreme authoritarian personas and subjected some of the more troublesome prisoners to

torture! As for the prisoners, most became highly passive and suggestible. They meekly accepted physical abuse, and even attacked each other at the instigation of the guards. The experiment grew so out of hand that it had to be stopped after six days. Decades later, Zimbardo gave expert testimony on behalf of one of the soldiers accused of abuse in the Abu Ghraib scandal since it was all horrifically familiar to him.

It appears that the situations people are placed in and the roles assigned to them can turn them into monsters or pathetic victims. Once again, we see a psychological defence for the actions of the Nazis in the death camps. Simply to be a guard in such a place is almost to guarantee that you will become a monster. If liberal Stanford students could abuse fellow students within hours, what would Nazis do to Jews they were raised to despise?

Yet the Stanford experiment perhaps goes much further than just the prison situation. What about police brutality? Why are police so uniformly appalling in every part of the world? What about managers in offices? Why do so many seem like little Hitlers? To give a uniform to a person or to place them in a position of authority often seems to release an inner monster. It's as though they have been given official permission to become mini-tyrants.

And perhaps the concept of PERMISSION is the true meaning of the experiment. You don't in fact need a uniform and you don't need official authority. Look at the rioters and looters in the UK. Some of them behaved appallingly towards anyone who got in their way. One man was actually killed for standing up to them. Many people were burned out of their homes. Did the fact that so many people were involved give all of the participants the notion that they had group permission for what they were doing? If a person believes himself to have permission, does he lose all personal accountability? Does he think he can get away with anything?

The people at the top of banks and businesses seem to lose all sense of restraint. They believe they can do whatever they like. The law has granted them permission. They are masters of the universe. They are untouchable. And thus they become psychopaths.

Richard Nixon thought he could get away with anything in the White House. Why wouldn't he think that? He had killed endless thousands in illegal bombing missions in South-East Asia during the Vietnam War. Did anyone stop him?

If you have no internal moral compass, do you have any limits?

The Bystander Effect

In New York in 1964, a young woman was savagely killed in front of 38 witnesses. None made any attempt to intervene and none called for help. This has been labelled the "Bystander Effect". If there are many people present, each person thinks that someone else will do something, so in the end no one does anything. No one sees it as their job to get involved. No one wants to endanger themselves. And thus criminals can do whatever they like in broad daylight and stand a good chance of getting away with it.

The Fake Patients

Between 1969 and 1972, clinical psychologist David Rosenhan arranged for eight psychiatrically healthy individuals (three women and five men, including himself) to have themselves admitted to psychiatric hospitals around the United States. They each presented with a single symptom: that they were hearing a voice which said things like "empty", "dull" and "thud". They had been instructed beforehand by Rosenhan to act completely normally once admitted. Despite the fact that they were all completely sane, they were detained for periods as long as eight weeks. Seven were given a diagnosis of schizophrenia, which was said to have gone into "remission". None of them were told they were sane. All were forced to admit to being mentally ill even though they had said they were now feeling fine and had stopped hearing voices. As a condition of their release, they had to agree to take antipsychotic drugs.

After Rosenhan's revelations, one of the institutions exposed by him challenged him to send new "pseudo-patients" and said it would detect them all. In the following weeks, 193 new patients were admitted and of these 41 ordinary patients were identified by clinical consultants as

“impostors” and 42 more were suspected of being impostors. Rosenhan then devastatingly announced that he had in fact sent no one.

Rosenhan’s paper on the scandal appeared in *Science* in 1973 and was called “On Being Sane in Insane Places”. It dealt a devastating blow to the psychiatric profession, suggesting that they were not merely diagnostically incompetent but actually a serious danger to their patients. Rosenhan concluded, “It is clear that we cannot distinguish the sane from the insane in psychiatric hospitals.”

It was also apparent that once a certain label had been applied to a patient, it was almost impossible to escape this label, no matter how wrong it was. Moreover, there was a huge element of dehumanization involved. Patients’ opinions about their own condition were completely ignored. They were regarded as unreliable witnesses. Their protestations of sanity were frequently taken as deliberate attempts to deceive the psychiatrists and further proof of their mental illness. This was classic *Catch 22* territory. In order to get out, a sane person had to agree he was mad, but if he admitted he was mad then he had provided justification for keeping him in. The successful strategy for being released was to completely agree with the psychiatrist’s opinion regarding your condition. If you agreed with him that you were a schizophrenic in remission, and that you would take your drugs, then he would let you go. If you told the truth that there was nothing wrong with you, that you felt fine and didn’t need any drugs then you would be detained.

When he was first admitted to the psychiatric ward, Rosenhan noted: “Minimal attention was paid to my presence, as if I hardly existed.” So, psychiatric wards go a long way to making sane people mad, or mad people much madder.

Is it not truly shocking that normal people are not detectably sane in the opinion of the so-called experts on the subject? Does that mean we’re all mad, or that psychiatrists are mad?

To Choose or not to Choose

In 1976, Ellen Langer and Judith Rodin conducted an important study in a New England nursing home called Arden House. The experiment was simply to investigate what would happen to the residents of two different floors of the House if they were allowed slightly more or less control over their lives. The residents of both floors were given plants and film shows, but whereas those on one floor got no say over their plant and how to tend it or when to view the film, those on the other could choose the plant and look after it themselves, and also choose which night of the week to watch the film. Eighteen months later, twice as many of those in the “choice group” were alive compared with the non-choice group. Taking control of your life, it seems, makes you live longer.

If you passively wait for things to happen, as most people do, you are already dying inside. You must be active in life, taking the initiative, choosing your path through life.

The rich elite are always on the front foot and they live much longer on average than the passive hordes waiting for their lives to be decided for them. People learn to be helpless and prove extremely good at it – so good they die earlier!

TAKE CONTROL OF YOUR LIFE. LIVE LONGER! If you don’t control the agenda, someone else will, and not to your advantage. Control the agenda or it will control you.

Learning to see

Vision is astonishingly complex. Most people think of an eye as much like a camera, taking objective pictures that some kind of “inner viewer” then “sees”. But the case of a blind man who recovered his sight tells a disturbingly different tale.

Born in 1906, Sidney Bradford lost his sight at 10 months old. Corneal grafts restored it at the age of 52. Sadly, he found the rediscovered world a confusing and disappointing place and died just two years later.

Studies on blind people who have had their sight restored indicate that we “learn” to see. Our visual system operates nothing like a camera. If it did, a blind person who regains his sight should immediately see exactly like a normal person. It would be as if we had cleaned dirt off the lens of

the camera. But blind people with restored sight have no idea what they're seeing. They have to be taught.

Our visual system is more like a self-learning camera that is continually adjusting itself to make sense of what it is being pointed at. It is the product of a complex accumulation of visual knowledge. A blind person who has not undergone that process is presented with an incomprehensible array of unidentified shapes. He can't make any sense of what he's seeing. Perhaps the baffling visual distortions that accompany an LSD trip give some indication of how it must seem for a blind person to suddenly see again.

The Benefits of Patience

In 1968, the psychologist Walter Mischel wrote a seminal book called *Personality and Assessment*. Curious about the way his own three daughters were highly impulsive at age 3 and much less so a year or two later, Mischel constructed an experiment in delayed gratification at the Bing Nursery at Stanford University.

The experiment was simple. Hundreds of 4-year-old children were asked to decide whether to have one marshmallow right now, or wait a while and get two. By chance, Mischel discovered an amazing fact. Those children who waited to get the two marshmallows were much less likely to drop out of college, use cocaine, get fat or end up in prison. The kids who just couldn't hang on and grabbed the marshmallow asap tended to have considerably less good outcomes.

Nearly everything worthwhile in life comes from a core of disciplined behaviour. A star student is someone who can apply self-discipline when it comes to his studies. All hard work involves deferred gratification. Alcoholism, drug addiction, obesity, crime and getting into debt are all manifestations of instant gratification. You have to have something NOW. You can't wait another moment. And if you can't wait, you inevitably fail in life.

With the marshmallow test, we could literally identify all of the future problem people at 4 years old! We could then take remedial measures to stop them screwing up their lives. We could condition them to become much more disciplined. We would save tens of millions from lives of dismal failure brought on by their addiction to instant gratification. Sadly, capitalism is an economic system based on instant gratification and panders to everything that is worst in people.

Group Think

Henri Tajfel, a Professor of Social Psychology at Bristol University, developed a series of experiments known as the *Minimal Group Studies*. He was seeking to establish the minimum basis on which people could be made to identify with their own group and show bias against another group. In 1971, boys at a comprehensive school viewed abstract paintings by Klee and Kandinsky and were then assigned to the "Klee" group or the "Kandinsky" group. The assignments were entirely at random although the impression was given that the boys had indicated a preference for the group they were put in. Even though the boys didn't know who else was allocated to their group, whenever they were offered the chance to award "points" to anonymous members of the Klee or Kandinsky group, they always gave more to "their" group. So even though the boys neither knew who was in their group nor who was in the other group, the mere fact of being assigned to a group made them start to prefer that group and see the other group as "different" and somehow as the enemy. The boys always sought to advance the interests of their group and penalise the other group, even though they had no idea who was who.

We almost instantly identify with a group. Group identity is astonishingly powerful and we can see its power in all areas of life: sexism, racism, nationalism, classism and religious bigotry. It's always "them and us". "We" are the good guys and "they" are other, different, strange, the enemy.

Patriotism and religious extremism are designed to milk group identity to the full. It's easy to treat other badly once they are exposed as "them".

Unreliable Witnesses

Elizabeth Loftus is famous for her experiments showing that memory does not provide an accurate record but is in fact influenced by what happens after a witnessed event. It's as if the memory is a kind of unstable clay mould and, before it gets the chance to set, it can be heavily influenced by subsequent events. The "memory" that eventually gets stored is massively contaminated by everything that happened afterwards (which had nothing at all to do with what was actually witnessed). Questions by police can change the way an event is remembered. If they suggest something, their suggestion can easily become part of the memory. If some piece of information is shown to the witness – such as a colour, a piece of clothing or whatever, that information is incorporated into the memory.

What this means is that witnesses are extremely unreliable. Their memories can be reconstituted as a result of post-event experiences. Just as police are expected not to contaminate crime scenes, nor should witnesses have their memories contaminated if they are to be in any way reliable.

Loftus went on to show that just as a memory can be reconstituted by suggestions and information coming after the witnessed event, so can the same mechanism be used to implant a whole false memory. She demonstrated that 30% of subjects could be given specific information in the present day that then resurfaced as part of a false childhood memory.

Memory is astonishingly and alarmingly fluid. Many people may be capable of inventing entirely fake versions of things that happened to them. They can forget terrible things they did and invent a new scenario in which they did nothing wrong. Many religious people simply ignore their endless sins and imagine themselves paragons of virtue.

As usual, Nietzsche got there first: "People lie unspeakably often, but afterwards they do not remember it and on the whole do not believe it." In other words, people continually create false memories of their own conduct. They would be genuinely astonished if you accused them of lying.

Shouldn't all of these experiments be well known? Why are they stuck firmly in academic circles? Most people believe utter bullshit about themselves and others. They have no idea just how flawed, fallible, vulnerable, manipulable and exploitable most people are, including themselves.

Isn't it time to take the radical step of telling people the truth about themselves? The truth will set us free!

The Book of Pho'

Look out for The Book of Pho' – published by Hyperreality Books – coming out soon.

Visionary hip hop artist Pho' is now the Movement's BAD BOY. Is he angel or demon? Is he a sinister Nation of Islam infiltrator forcing women to wear burqas? Is he riding two horses with only one saddle? Will he fall off? Is he a "Smurf in a Gargamel cloak" (as the *Inquisition* labelled him)? Is he actually an Illuminatus? Is he "A Riddle Wrapped in a Mystery Inside an Enigma"? Man of Mystery, Pho' will reveal all. It's the publishing sensation of the decade. His book blows open the conspiracy.

Anomie

THE WORD *ANOMIE* comes from the ancient Greek *a* “without”, and *nomos* “law”. It defines anyone who exists against or outside the law, or refers to a condition where the current laws fail, resulting in a state of lawlessness. It’s not the same as anarchy (“without a ruler”), although if a ruler has been violently deposed and lawlessness has descended, the two states clearly converge.

The recent riots in Britain were a perfect example of anomie. The rioters and looters were people who did not conform with the rest of society and did not acknowledge society’s rules and morality. When they acted en masse, local law and order fell apart.

The British riots were a stunning moment in the history of the world because they proved that anomie now exists to a staggering degree even in the richest countries in the world. This is a critical indicator that capitalism is about to collapse. The Revolution is now incredibly close.

The underclass has become so large that it can no longer be controlled and, if they act in concert, they can easily overwhelm the police. The police were able to restore order in London only by calling in reserves from the rest of the country. An army of 16,000 police had to be deployed to re-establish control.

In the parts of the country from which police were taken to reinforce London, new riots broke out. It’s quite clear that a concerted effort by all groups opposed to the government would exceed the British police’s ability to respond. The British army would then have to be deployed and British citizens would be shot down in the street, precipitating a Revolution. This is not some fantasy. This is what almost happened in Britain in August 2011 after a set of spontaneous events. Had an intelligence been at work behind the scenes, a full-scale uprising might have been provoked. Social networking allows a spark to be ignited almost instantly. “Other-directedness” (peer group pressure) allied with FOMO (“fear of missing out”), group think, hysterical contagion and the compulsion to be in attendance at any *Event, Happening* or *Spectacle*, all kick in to fan the flames into a blaze that can engulf a nation. This incendiary mixture will soon enough light up the world. The clock is ticking. The final curtain is descending.

Anomie is associated with alienation, purposelessness and lack of engagement with mainstream society. Social theorists say it is particularly pronounced in times of significant changes in economic fortunes and when there are gulfs between the values and goals proclaimed by society and what many people find actually achievable in everyday life. The British looters live in an ultra-materialistic society where the acquisition of goods is trumpeted as the highest good, yet the ability of these members of the underclass to get these glittering prizes is negligible. Therefore, when an alternative opportunity presented itself, they seized it with open arms. No rational person could have expected anything else. We live in the age of anomie. Anomic individuals are everywhere. The system is collapsing.

“Strain Theory” concerns the discrepancy between an individual’s awareness of society’s accepted goals and his equal awareness that he is deficient in respect of the legitimate means to attain those goals – the resultant strain may pressure the individual into using illegitimate means to get what he wants i.e. he may resort to criminality. When people are subjected to severe strain, something has to give. A person suffering from anomie and alienated from the mainstream is unable to secure the goals society endorses because of the structural limitations to which he is subjected e.g. lack of job, hence lack of money, lack of education and qualifications, lack of any occupational training. If he wants the things others have, he has no option but to use deviant means. This is INEVITABLE. The British riots were therefore inevitable.

A materialistic society that constantly promotes the acquisition of commodities as the sign of success and status and simultaneously denies enormous numbers from having any realistic chance of acquiring the desired goods, creates a social structure which drives some people towards crime as the only means by which they can achieve the status society trumpets as the greatest good.

According to sociologist Robert Agnew, strain emerges from negative relationships with others. Plainly, the underclass are not treated by mainstream society in the way they would wish or expect to be, so they lose confidence that mainstream society is on their side and that it wishes to help them. Their alienation leads to resentment, anger and frustration, which will in turn

confirm negative relationships. The alienated individual, not wishing to be continually rejected by the mainstream, withdraws from contact with the mainstream and enters an aggressive, sullen, criminal sub-world (as in Gang Land – the domain of street gangs).

Rapidly, some individuals lose all connection with mainstream expectations and codes of behaviour and when they get the chance to strike back at the establishment, they seize it and feel not one particle of remorse. They will consider that the mainstream “deserved it”.

The British riots were a classic demonstration of anomie, strain theory, alienation, the underclass and gang culture. Any government response should be to heal the disastrous breakdown between the underclass and the mainstream. Instead, right wing governments respond with draconian policing and long jail sentences. These, of course, are counterproductive since they magnify the original problem and make an even more serious outbreak of trouble at a later date inevitable. The British government’s response to the riots has been predictably idiotic and will cause worse problems down the track.

In times of Revolution, reactionary governments always make Revolution even more likely by their brutal responses that simply amplify all of the grievances of the Revolutionaries.

The Shape of the Coming Revolution

ANOMIE WILL DRIVE THE FORTHCOMING REVOLUTION. It has slipped under the radar of the Elite, and they have no idea of just how serious the problem is. David Cameron, the idiot Prime Minister of the UK, has shown himself clueless. Being an extremely rich and privileged toff, he has no idea how the underclass live and what they think. He has never met any of them. He is surrounded by other posh and privileged people who equally know nothing of the underclass. They are as ignorant of the trouble heading their way as the French aristocrats of 1789.

Sociologist David Riesman divided people into three types: adjusted, anomic (maladjusted) and autonomous. The adjusted are the typical representatives of the tradition-, inner- and other-directed social types. The anomic are those who have never managed to fit in with these social types in the normal way. Instead, they are deviant representatives of these types. A gang, for example, is a deviant other-directed group where peer group pressure is all consuming. They also create a “tradition” – a code of conduct that is transmitted to all members and carried on from generation to generation. They also usually have a strict hierarchy with the dominant alpha male at the summit. In other words, they reflect many of the aspects of mainstream society but in a deviant way that is at violent odds with the mainstream. The Mafia are an archetypally anomic group. They conduct themselves rather like a ruthless mainstream corporation, except the “commodity” they specialise in is criminality, particularly drugs, prostitution, gambling, extortion and protection rackets. Any senior member of the Mafia would unquestionably feel right at home in the boardroom of a mainstream corporation. The Mafia is simply a criminal version of a corporation (and many would argue that the vast majority of corporations are simply legalised criminal enterprises).

“Anomic”, as used by Riesman, means ruleless, ungoverned and outside social norms. However, it’s more useful to expand the definition of anomie to include the situation that applies to gangs and the Mafia where rules and governance are in place, but are outside and in conflict with the mainstream system.

Riesman says of the adjective anomic: “It is virtually synonymous with maladjusted, a term I refrain from using because of its negative connotations; for there are some cultures where I would place a higher value on the maladjusted or anomic than on the adjusted.” He doesn’t give any examples of what he means here but clearly all anomic Muslims or Orthodox Jews (those who openly challenge and defy their religious traditions) are of much higher worth than adjusted Muslims or Orthodox Jews.

Riesman valued above all the “autonomous” type, and we absolutely agree with him. Riesman wrote: “The ‘autonomous’ are those who on the whole are capable of conforming to the behavioural norms of their society – a capacity the anomics usually lack – but are free to choose whether to conform or not.”

The Illuminati are all autonomous. Members can conform with the norms of society if and when necessary, but equally are not defined by the mainstream norms and can step outside them, without entering the frequently desperate world of the anomic. The choices of the anomic are highly restricted whereas the autonomous have an excess of choices. The New World Order will be where one everyone is autonomous.

Riesman observed that many anomic individuals were apathetic, with a visible lack of emotion and drive. They were prone to depression, slow in their mental and physical responses, subdued and often exhibiting an empty expression. Riesman wrote, “Taken all together, the anomics – ranging from overt outlaws to ‘catatonic’ types who lack even the spark for living, let alone for rebellion – constitute a sizable number in America.”

In fact, it’s possible to conclude that most people are on a spectrum of anomie and most “normal” people are actually anomics who are nevertheless skilled at playing the game of “fitting in”. They are playing the part of being normal but do not inwardly feel normal at all. How many people in the UK were watching the riots and sympathising to a considerable extent? Probably half the country could understand the frustration and anger of the underclass.

It's the sheer scale of explicit or implicit anomie that spells doom for the ruling class. They have alienated far too many people and now they have insufficient numbers to prop them up against the tide of discontent. Their disastrous mismanagement of the economy and their ongoing greed have destroyed the illusion that they are fit people to be in charge. Practically everyone now hates the ruling elite and all that's lacking for them to be overthrown is a sensible, rational alternative. That's what the Meritocracy Movement is all about – to provide the superior alternative to democracy (which, at root, is nothing but rule by a plutocratic elite) that the people are craving.

Riesman wrote, “Modern industrial society has driven great numbers of people into anomie, and produced a wan conformity in others, but the very developments which have done this have also opened up hitherto undreamed of possibilities for autonomy. As we come to understand our society better, and the alternatives it holds available to us, I think we shall be able to create many more alternatives, hence still more room for autonomy.”

Riesman wrote this over sixty years ago. In that time, enormous amounts have been learned, yet one thing has held back progress – the ruling elite.

In the UK, many leading politicians, including the Prime Minister, have a degree called “PPE” from Oxford University, one of the great bastions of the ruling elite. PPE is “Politics, Philosophy and Economics” and is arguably the most sinister degree in the world. It is essentially the ideology of the Power Elite turned into an academic qualification. PPE is all about the philosophy of the elite, the politics of the elite and the economic systems most favourable to the elite. It is their blueprint for running the world. Enormous numbers of politicians and civil servants have this insidious degree.

Our world should in fact be run according to PSS – “Psychology, Sociology and Science”. Philosophy is essential to establishing the constitution and defining the goals of society. However, once that is done, it is psychology, sociology and science that will deliver the practical management of society to achieve those aims. Politics will be rendered obsolete. Psychologists, sociologists and scientists will replace politicians. The scientific method will replace political posturing and moralising. We will live in an evidence-based society where policies that lead to good outcomes will be preserved and those that lead to bad outcomes will be abandoned. Drugs, for example, will be legalised and then the evidence will be collected over a number of years to see if the world is better or worse as a result. The opinions and morals of those opposed to drugs will be neither here nor there. All that matters are facts and evidence. Everything else is hot air and bullshit. As for economics, it will be completely subordinated to the meritocratic constitution. Only economic systems compatible with the aims of society will be tolerated. So, if society is striving to maximise merit, any economic system (such as contemporary capitalism) that promotes privilege will become illegal. The world will be run on an entirely rational and transparent basis. No one will be in any doubt about the goals and direction of society.

At the moment, there is no government or nation on earth that has defined what its purpose is and what the purpose of society is. The reason for that is simple. Religion is allowed to define the purpose and goals of the individual. This is unacceptable. The State must provide a universal definition to which every member of the State must sign up, or leave the State.

The appropriate definition couldn't be easier to formulate: the purpose of the State is to produce perfect people: free, independent, autonomous, self-creating, meritorious, those whose abilities have been maximised, those who freely construct their own identity based on their own nature, character and personality.

Plainly, religion contradicts all of these elements. Abrahamism says that the purpose of everyone is to obey God or burn forever in the fires of hell. Karmic religions say that if your life is shit then it's because you were wicked in a previous life, so shut up and get on with it. Religion creates pathetic, submissive, servile, passive, terrified people, who are easy for the ruling elite to control.

There is only one acceptable religion – ILLUMINATION – the religion of dialectical evolution, of striving for perfection, of BECOMING GOD.

The Kleptocracy

Kleptocracy is rule by thieves. Is that not the world we live in? The world is run by the rich for the rich. They steal from us all the time – and we let them. Isn't it time the thieves were punished? And isn't it time we took back what they stole from us – our money, our dignity, our lives?

Commissions of Enquiry

The British Government has appointed a commission to enquire into the causes of the riots of August 2011 and to determine any lessons to be learned. Of course, the commission comprises individuals selected from the “great and the good”, from the privileged elite, from the British establishment.

We could write their conclusions right now. We know that they are not going to call for the abolition of the establishment, for the redistribution of wealth, for the policing of the underclass to be made much less provocative and aggressive, or for vast resources to be pumped into ghettos.

One-parent families will be blamed, and poor educational attainment, and lack of discipline at schools and a “get rich quick” culture and so on. Absolutely nothing will change. Within five years, we will experience a second wave of riots much bigger than the first.

What's the point of commissions when their answers are already pre-scripted? The establishment will never reach any conclusion other than that they are right and the underclass is wrong. These exercises are futile and an insult to the intelligence of the people. The truth is the last thing that any of the commissioners is interested in, or indeed capable of recognising. The bottom line is this. If you treat people like scum, they become scum. It's your fault, not theirs. The British riots were caused by David Cameron and his super-rich chums – and they are the ones who should be going to jail for very lengthy sentences.

The British Riots

In the spring of 2011, fake Britain was shown to the world in all of its fraudulent splendour. The “Royal Wedding” was a global event. Billions watched, enraptured, a fairytale wedding of a beautiful princess. Women couldn't stop talking about the wedding dress. Everyone was in awe of the pomp and circumstance. Britain seemed, for a moment, to be once again the greatest power on earth, as it was in the days of the British Empire upon which it was said that the sun never set.

Move the clock forward a few months to August and the world was treated to the reality of modern Britain – the major cities on fire, feral gangs running wild, looting at will.

Britain has all of a sudden become an interesting country. In fact, it has now attracted the attention of the leadership of the Illuminati. Communism died the moment the Berlin Wall came down in 1989. Although it staggered on as a zombie for another year or two, a fatal blow had been delivered. The symbolism was total and terminal. Communism itself was that Wall. When the Wall no longer existed, neither did Communism. Now the extraordinary possibility has arisen that capitalism might meet its death in the original capitalist country – Imperial Britain, home of the Industrial Revolution, home of the “Dark Satanic mills”.

Britain is ruled by a hereditary monarch, completely unaccountable to the people despite being the head of State. No one is allowed to ask her any questions or demand that she justify her position. The current British government is one of the most privileged in the history of the country. Ultra-rich toffs from the most privileged backgrounds, educated at the finest private schools and at Oxbridge (Oxford and Cambridge) say, with straight faces, “We're all in it together”, as they slash public spending and throw hundreds of thousands onto the dole queues. How can a millionaire and someone struggling to feed themselves be in it “together”? What kind of warped person attempts to claim they are? What degree of perversion of logic and language must exist for a millionaire to make such a statement? Why does anyone listen to such arrant nonsense? What's the latest idea for stimulating growth in the UK? – tax cuts for the rich! What a

surprise. As if we didn't see that coming.

Massive cuts are even being applied to the army and police: the military and paramilitary wings, respectively, of the British establishment. How dumb can the "Elite" be to weaken their bullyboy forces at the very moment that the anti-establishment forces are gaining traction at long last?

Last year, thousands of British students rioted in response to savage education cuts and massive increases in student tuition fees. Now the underclass has seized its moment to attack the ruling regime, and has struck with devastating effectiveness, choosing the perfect moment to do maximum damage.

Consider the seismic events that have happened in Britain in recent times. For endless years, British people were told that their politicians were the finest in the world. Then the "expenses" scandal erupted. Politicians were proved to have been fiddling their expenses on a monumental scale. Some chosen scapegoats even went to jail although, in truth, all of them deserved to be put behind bars. There was also a "cash for honours" scandal whereby rich donors to political parties were given knighthoods and called "Lord" in exchange for their cash. Then came the "hacking" scandal. It was revealed that newspapers had, for decades, been routinely committing criminal offences – hacking into the phones of any newsworthy individuals to listen to their messages, hacking into their computers to read their emails, using private detectives to go through people's rubbish to find anything of "sleaze value". This huge database of sensitive information was used as a tool of blackmail. Members of Parliament admitted that they were too scared to criticise the media for fear that they would then become targets of the media sleaze machine that would reveal damaging private information about them. Journalists openly threatened politicians and anyone who crossed them. It was understood that the media could destroy anyone they wished at any time since they knew everyone's secrets.

Several years ago, Rebekah Brooks, a senior executive of Rupert Murdoch's sleaze empire, openly admitted in front of a televised Parliamentary committee that her organisation had paid police officers for information – a criminal offence. The whole establishment of the country simply pretended not to hear since no one wanted to stand up to Rupert Murdoch. He was deemed so powerful that he was perceived as being able to make or break governments, hence no one dared challenge his evil empire. The country, the politicians, the police and the law literally turned a deaf ear.

But the revelations kept flowing thanks to a few heroic individuals (one journalist and two politicians in particular). Police initially claimed that the hacking scandal was restricted to one journalist covering royal affairs who had used one private detective to hack the phones of members of the Royal Family. These two were duly sent to jail, and that, it seemed, would be that. Their imprisonment was supposed to represent the symbolic end of the matter and draw a line under the whole thing.

New revelations were given a cursory glance by a senior policeman who refused to re-open the investigations, asserting that there was in fact "no new information". It later turned out that there were many box loads of dynamite revelations that he didn't even look at. Well, that's the British police for you.

When it was discovered that several years ago the mobile phone of a missing schoolgirl (whose dead body was discovered six months after her disappearance) was hacked by a newspaper, public revulsion was so extreme that the whole house of cards suddenly collapsed. The missing schoolgirl's message box was full with anxious messages from friends and family, so the hacker deleted some messages to create new space for messages. This, naturally, led the police to suspect that she might be alive and had run away from home. (In fact, she had been brutally murdered within minutes of being abducted in broad daylight by a serial killer.) In other words, the illegal hacking had completely altered the nature of the police investigation and given false hope to the girl's family. The whole of Britain was sickened and outraged. The tipping point had been reached. Such was the hatred towards Rupert Murdoch's newspaper *The News of the World* that was responsible for the hacking of the schoolgirl's phone that Murdoch actually had to close it down even though it was one of the most successful newspapers in the world (serving up endless sleaze and celebrity tittle-tattle).

So, Great Britain is led by an unelected monarch, ruled by a privileged elite, and has a political class mired in corruption, in cahoots with a sleazebag, criminal media and an incompetent, corrupt police force. Meanwhile, the top bankers and stock market traders have their snouts permanently in the money trough.

If these are the scum setting the moral tone of the UK, who could possibly condemn the rioters and looters? They had learned well the moral lessons set down by the elite – grab everything you can and fuck everyone else.

The Fatal Error

It's a disaster to over promise and under deliver. That's the sin capitalism has committed. It has beguiled the people with the perfect images of the Spectacular Society, but it has been unable to deliver the reality to match. People's standards of living are in sharp decline; social mobility is in reverse. Obama, the great hope of democracy to save the "brand", has been no better than the white clowns who came before him. People have stopped believing. They're starting to see that the capitalist edifice is rotten through and through. The Old World Order's collapse has become inevitable.

The Anatomy of Power

IN MAY 2010, a coalition Government came to power in the UK, formed by the right wing Conservative Party and the centrist Liberal Democrat Party. The newly appointed Cabinet (consisting of 29 Ministers) – the core of the UK Government – was said to have a net worth of £60 million. All of them belonged to the financial elite. Politics in the UK was once the preserve of the wealthy (you could literally buy a political constituency) and it's becoming so again. 23 of the 29 members of the Cabinet have assets and investments estimated at more than £1million. In other words, a clique of millionaires now run the UK. Below we have listed the backgrounds of these individuals, and what schools and universities they went to and what degrees they took.

Extraordinary patterns are evident. Virtually all of these people were privately educated and came from extremely rich and privileged backgrounds (none more so than Prime Minister David Cameron). Many of them had fathers who had been active in politics. None of them has a degree in mathematics, any science subject, any engineering subject, any technological subject or any psychological or sociological subject. An incredible number have a particular degree from Oxford University – “PPE” – Politics, Philosophy and Economics, the degree specially designed for the British political establishment.

The UK's Chancellor of the Exchequer – responsible for British economic policy – has a history degree. Extremely well qualified for his job, obviously.

No matter what country you come from, you ought to study this list carefully. There will be a list in your country of an identical nature. You will immediately realise something profound: you're definitely never going to be on the list.

If, by the time you have reached the end of this list, you're not demanding a Revolution, you deserve a shit life. Only fools and cowards support a system of government entirely hostile to their interests. If you're not part of the “in crowd”, you must get rid of them or forever be part of the “out crowd” – a failure, a loser, a nobody.

These people have complete contempt for you. They operate within a tiny, narrow clique from which everyone from a regular background is excluded.

Here is the *List of Shame* – theirs for engineering it, and ours for putting up with it:

David Cameron (personal fortune of £4m) – Prime Minister, First Lord of the Treasury and Minister for the Civil Service

Parental background: Father was a rich stockbroker. Mother is a retired Justice of the Peace and daughter of Sir William Mount, 2nd Baronet. Cameron is a direct descendant of King William IV; a 5th cousin of Queen Elizabeth II. He's also descended from a German-Jewish financier whose family assisted the Rothschilds, and a rich Danish Jewish family. Cameron's maternal grandfather was Sir William Mount, 2nd Baronet, an Army officer and the High Sheriff of Berkshire. Cameron's maternal great-grandfather was Sir William Mount, 1st Baronet, BE, Conservative MP for Newbury 1918-1922. Cameron's great-great grandmother was Lady Ida Matilda Alice Feilding. His great-great-great grandfather was William Feilding, 7th Earl of Denbigh, GCH, PC, a courtier and Gentleman of the Bedchamber. His mother's cousin, Sir Ferdinand Mount, was head of 10 Downing Street's Policy Unit in the early 1980s. Cameron is the nephew of Sir William Dugdale, brother-in-law of Katherine, Lady Dugdale (died 2004) Lady-in-Waiting to The Queen since 1955 and former Chairman of Aston Villa Football Club. EXTREME ELITE BACKGROUND. DAVID CAMERON IS THE ARCHETYPAL BRITISH ESTABLISHMENT FIGURE. A MASON WITH JEWISH BLOOD, HE HAS HIS FINGERS IN EVERY PIE. HE IS THE VERY WORST KIND OF HUMAN BEING. AN ABSOLUTE ENEMY OF THE PEOPLE.

School: ETON COLLEGE FOR BOYS. MOST ELITE PRIVATE SCHOOL IN THE WORLD.

University: Brasenose College, University of Oxford; First in Philosophy, Politics and Economics (PPE). ELITE EDUCATION.

Joined the Conservative Research Department and became Special Adviser to the Chancellor

of the Exchequer and then to the leader of the Conservatives (who then campaigned to have Cameron appointed as his successor). Director of Corporate Affairs at Carlton Communications for seven years.

Clubs: BULLINGDON CLUB. ONE OF THE WORLD'S MOST ELITE CLUBS.

Gideon Oliver (“George”) Osborne (£4.6m) – Chancellor of the Exchequer

Parental background: Part of the old Anglo-Irish aristocracy, known in Ireland as the Ascendancy. Heir to the Osborne baronetcy (of Ballentaylor, in County Tipperary, and Ballylemon, in County Waterford). ELITE BACKGROUND.

School: St Paul’s School. ELITE SCHOOL.

University: Magdalen College, University of Oxford; Bachelor’s degree in Modern History. ELITE EDUCATION.

Edited the Oxford University newspaper; attended Davidson College in North Carolina for a semester as a Dean Rusk Scholar; became a Conservative researcher and then an MP.

Clubs: BULLINGDON CLUB. ELITE CLUB.

Jeremy Hunt (£4.5m) – Secretary of State for Culture, Olympics, Media and Sport

School: Charterhouse School (head boy). ELITE SCHOOL.

University: Magdalen College, University of Oxford; First in PPE. ELITE EDUCATION.

Chris Huhne (£3.5m) – Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change

Parental background: son of wealthy businessman.

School: Westminster School. ELITE SCHOOL

University: Sorbonne, where he obtained a certificate in French Language and Civilisation, followed by Magdalen College, University of Oxford where he was a Demy scholar. First in PPE.

ELITE EDUCATION

Edited the Oxford student magazine.

Dominic Grieve (£3m) – Attorney General

School: several private schools and Westminster School. ELITE SCHOOL

University: Magdalen College, University of Oxford; degree in Modern History. ELITE EDUCATION

President of the Oxford University Conservative Association.

Francis Maude (£3m) -Minister for the Cabinet Office, Paymaster General

Parental Background: son of the former Conservative Cabinet minister Angus Maude. ELITE BACKGROUND.

School: Abingdon School (private). ELITE SCHOOL.

University: Corpus Christi College, University of Cambridge, degree in Law. ELITE EDUCATION.

Andrew Mitchell (£2m) – Secretary of State for International Development

Parental background: son of a former Conservative MP. ELITE BACKGROUND.

School: Rugby school. ELITE SCHOOL.

University: Jesus College, University of Cambridge; degree in History. ELITE EDUCATION.

Chairman of the Cambridge University Conservative Association and President of the Cambridge Union.

David Laws £1m-2m estimate – Chief Secretary to the Treasury

Parental background: son of a rich banker. ELITE BACKGROUND.

School: private schools.

University: King's College, University of Cambridge; double first in economics. ELITE EDUCATION.

Went into investment banking, becoming a Vice President at JP Morgan and then Managing Director; Head of US Dollar and Sterling Treasuries at Barclays de Zoete Wedd.

Nick Clegg (£1.9m) – Deputy Prime Minister

Parental background: father, Nicholas Clegg CBE, is chairman of United Trust Bank, and is a trustee of The Daiwa Anglo-Japanese Foundation. Paternal grandmother, Kira von Engelhardt, was the daughter of a baron in Imperial Russia whose family fled after the Russian Revolution. One of his great-great-grandfathers, Ignaty Zakrevsky, was attorney general of the Imperial Russian senate. ELITE BACKGROUND.

School: Westminster School. ELITE SCHOOL.

University: Robinson College, Cambridge; degree in social anthropology. ELITE EDUCATION.

David Willetts (£1.9m) – Minister of State (Universities and Science) Department for Business, Innovation and Skills

School: King Edward's School – private school. ELITE SCHOOL.

University: Christ Church, Oxford; First in PPE. ELITE EDUCATION.

Lord Strathclyde (£10m) – Leader of the House of Lords, Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster.

Parental background: Son of Conservative politician The Hon. Sir Tam Galbraith. PRIVILEGED BACKGROUND.

School: Two independent schools for boys. PRIVATE EDUCATION.

University: University of East Anglia; graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree.

Philip Hammond (£7.5m) – Secretary of State for Transport

Parental background: Son of Civil Engineer.

School: Shenfield High School.

University: University College, Oxford; degree in PPE. ELITE EDUCATION.

William Hague (£2.5m) – Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs

Parental background: son of a business couple running a drinks company.

School: educated at a selective grammar school

University: Magdalen College, University of Oxford; First IN PPE. ELITE EDUCATION.

President of the Oxford University Conservative Association, President of the Oxford Union MBA; worked as a management consultant at McKinsey & Company.

Theresa May (£1.6m) – Secretary of State for the Home Department and Minister for Women and Equality

School: private schools

University: St Hugh's College, University of Oxford; degree in Geography. ELITE EDUCATION.

Oliver Letwin (£1.6m) – Minister of State, Cabinet Office

Parental background: son of William Letwin, Emeritus Professor at the London School of Economics

School: Eton College
University: Trinity College, Cambridge; degree in philosophy and history. ELITE EDUCATION.
Letwin is Jewish.

Caroline Spelman (£1.5m) – Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

School: the Hertfordshire and Essex High School
University: Queen Mary College, University of London; First in European Studies. ELITE EDUCATION

Owen Paterson (£1.5m) – Secretary of State for Northern Ireland

School: privately educated
University: Corpus Christi College, Cambridge; MA in History. ELITE EDUCATION.

Cheryl Gillan (£1.5m) – Secretary of State for Wales

Parental background: daughter of a former British Army officer and director of a steel company.

School: Cheltenham Ladies' College. ELITE SCHOOL.
University: the College of Law; Member of the Chartered Institute of Marketing

Kenneth Clarke (£1m+) – Lord Chancellor, Secretary of State for Justice

School: Selective grammar school
University: Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge University; degree in law. ELITE EDUCATION
Chairman of the Cambridge University Conservative Association.

Sir George Young (£1m) – Leader of the House of Commons, Lord Privy Seal

Parental background: son of Sir George Peregrine “Gerry” Young, 5th Baronet. ELITE BACKGROUND

School: ETON. ELITE SCHOOL.
University Christ Church, Oxford; degree in PPE. ELITE EDUCATION.

Iain Duncan Smith £1m – Secretary of State for Work and Pensions

Parental background: son of a Royal Air Force Group Captain highly decorated in World War II

School: HMS Conway, a naval training school.
After school: Royal Military Academy Sandhurst. PRIVILEGED MILITARY BACKGROUND.

Michael Gove £1m – Secretary of State for Education

School: Robert Gordon's College – private. , to which he won a scholarship.
University: Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford; degree in English. ELITE EDUCATION
President of the elite Oxford Union.

Dr Liam Fox £1m – Secretary of State for Defence

Parental background: born and raised in East Kilbride, Scotland and brought up in a council house. Educated at Catholic comprehensive school.

University: University of Glasgow Medical School.

David Cameron and Nick Clegg

Is it not an extraordinary thing that Britain's Prime Minister and Deputy Prime Minister both have such similar backgrounds? Both come from millionaire backgrounds and are themselves millionaires. Both went to elite private schools where fees are £30,000 per year, considerably more than the average British annual salary. Eton College for boys, where Cameron went to school, is the most privileged school in the world. Virtually every "Old Etonian" (as they call themselves) rises to a senior position in the Old World Order or the organisations that service it. Westminster School, where Clegg went, is not far behind.

Nineteen Prime Ministers in British History have come from Eton, eight from Harrow "public" school (ironically, the bastions of extreme privilege refer to themselves as "public" rather than as ultra-private) and two from Westminster. The vast majority of British schools have, of course, no political connections at all.

David Cameron became leader of the Conservative Party only four years after entering Parliament. It was even quicker for Nick Clegg to become leader of his Party – just two years. Time doesn't crawl for such people. They're fast tracked to the top. All doors are opened for them. They never see a red light. When he was starting out on his political career, David Cameron was endorsed by a phone call from Buckingham Palace! Cameron's posh wife Samantha is the daughter of a baronet and descended from Charles II.

That's the UK in the 21st century – infested by toffs, royals and the super rich. Our day will come when we will replace these people. Never again will anyone who opted out of the State education sector be allowed any job in the public sector. They turned their backs on the people when they refused to be educated alongside them, so the people will henceforth turn their backs on them. Isn't that justice? Why should those who refused to interact with the people at school be allowed to rule them? Is that not insane? If the State education system isn't good enough for them, why should they alone be allowed to escape and get the finest education money can buy? Who the fuck do these people think they are? One thing's for sure – once a meritocratic government is in place, such people will never be heard from again. The people will be permanently protected from the likes of Cameron and Clegg.

Cameron is an especially evil man. He is the latest in a long line of Tory MPs produced by his family: i.e. his is an archetypal "dynastic" family of privilege. It was certain that one of them would one day become Prime Minister. That is the entire point of these families. From his earliest moments, everyone told him that he was "destined for great things". Well, why wouldn't he be? The red carpet was rolled out for him and indeed for all others who are born into exceptional privilege. He enjoys every advantage that is explicitly denied to everyone else.

These people are always astonishingly self-confident: who wouldn't be if they were backed up by centuries of wealth and power? Even as a teenager, Cameron was accustomed to being told he had the makings of a future prime minister. While most people are worrying about their education and getting any sort of job just to get on the employment ladder, children of privilege are already having all doors opened for them to allow them to fast track to the top. These people never worry about money or jobs. They can focus right from the start on the highest and most powerful jobs in the world. They are never beset by the doubts that afflict anyone who sees a staggeringly difficult road ahead, with every door firmly closed in their face.

If, like the authors of our website, you have had to crawl out of the gutter and use a preternatural effort of will to drag yourself to a position where you could pull back the curtain and see the feeble ranks of the privileged – enervated, corrupt and talentless despite all the benefits showered on them – you will be able to smell how close the end is for these poltroons and criminals. Illusion alone sustains them. Only the voice of the young lad shouting out, "The Emperor is stark naked!" remains to be heard. ONE SPARK – that's all that's needed. One spark of truth!

You have been relentlessly lied to that you have any chance in life. You don't have a prayer of

succeeding. You never did. The system of privilege is there to ensure that the privileged succeed and you fail. There are only a few good jobs to go around. Those are all reserved for the elite. If you weren't born into the elite, you will never be joining it. The high life is not for you. You were DESIGNED by the system to be mediocre and get nowhere. You can blabber on about love all you like, spout mystical hogwash, delude yourself that there is such a thing as "karma", read New Age books and engage in all the other displacement activities that allow you to avoid confronting the central fact of life: Power. You don't have any and those that do wish that to remain the case so that they can go on allocating the finest things in life to the members of their caste. Revolution alone can change your life. The system that has oppressed you must be destroyed for you to be free. The privileged elite must be swept aside if any of the great jobs are ever to come your way.

In the UK, only 7% of the population go to private schools, but 59% of Conservative MPs, the traditional party of government, received a private education. Gideon Osborne, the extremely wealthy Chancellor of the Exchequer, was looked down on in the Bullingdon Club because he hadn't gone to Eton and his background wasn't quite as glittering and blue-blooded as the rest, so he was known as "Oik", meaning lowborn, working class and yobbish.

70% of high court judges are privately educated. You certainly won't catch them doing anything other than upholding the law of the elite. The vast majority of top newspaper editors, columnists and news presenters are privately educated i.e. they completely control the media and pump out their propaganda on behalf of the elite around the clock.

Social mobility has gone into reverse in the last twenty years and is declining at a phenomenal rate. Ever since Margaret Thatcher and Ronald Reagan pushed through unrestrained free market economics and enormous tax cuts for the rich, the rich have become infinitely richer. Until Thatcher and Reagan, the elite felt themselves under threat from left-leaning governments that were willing to impose high taxes on them. Since Thatcher and Reagan, the West has become right wing and the elite have never had it so good. This, of course will be their downfall. Nemesis always follows hubris. They have become supremely arrogant and imagine they can get away with anything. Just as their ludicrous economic model was based on the principle that house prices could never fall, so their political model is based on the fallacy that the people will never revolt.

Stop kidding yourselves. Stop feeding the machine that crushes you. Oppose it in every way you can. If you don't then choose whatever words you like to describe yourself: loser, weakling, coward, slave, failure, puppet, patsy, stooge. You think there's a conspiracy going on? Of course there is – it's by the people at the top of society against you and everyone like you. And what are you doing about it? FUCK ALL. What battlefield have you chosen to express your enmity of the elite? – 9/11. Deep down, even you know that Muslim maniacs who despised America were responsible for 9/11. Why don't you choose the RIGHT battlefield on which to engage the elite – MONEY! Why don't you demand that the money that gives them all of their power be taken from them? Because you worship money as much as they do. You think that the dollar is sacrosanct. You think the State – the Commonwealth – has no right to take it from anyone. You think that way because the elite have brainwashed it into you. It's essential for you to believe that in order for you to pose no threat to them. As soon as you realise that you are entitled to their money because they have stolen it from you and your ancestors – just as the African Americans of today are owed endless trillions for the slavery that was inflicted on them by the elite – then you will have no compunction about removing the assets of the criminals who run our world. Forget 9/11. Just concentrate on the removal of the elite's wealth. Once that is achieved, everything else in our world will become better.

We have chosen to highlight a few more leading toff politicians:

Nathaniel Philip Victor James Rothschild, a scion of the Rothschild family

School: ETON

University Wadham College, University of Oxford; degree in History

Member of the Bullingdon Club. Close friend of George Osborne, Chancellor of the Exchequer.

Rory Stewart (Conservative MP)

School: ETON

University: Balliol College, University of Oxford; degrees in modern history and PPE

Appointed a deputy Governor of two provinces in Iraq at age 30. Awarded OBE for his services.

There is of course no reason why a 30-yr-old can't have a crucial job if they are sufficiently talented, but can you imagine that someone NOT from Eton and Oxford would ever have been given such a job?

Brad Pitt has bought the rights allowing him to make a film of Stewart's life. Stewart likes to compare himself to Lawrence of Arabia.

Alexander Boris de Pfeffel Johnson, Mayor of London

Parental background: Johnson is a descendant of King George II, and through George's great-great-great grandfather James I/VI, a descendant of all of the previous British royal houses. Johnson is an 8th cousin of David Cameron. He has some Jewish blood.

School: ETON.

University: Balliol College, University of Oxford; degree in classics.

President of the Oxford Union

His children are called: Cassia Peaches, Milo Arthur, Lara Lettice and Theodore Apollo.

Member of the Bullingdon Club

Rachel Johnson (sister of Boris Johnson)

School: St Paul's Girls' School. ELITE SCHOOL.

University: New College, University of Oxford; degree in Classics.

Edited Isis, the magazine for Oxford University students.

Jo Johnson (Conservative MP, brother of the above)

School: ETON

University: Balliol College, University of Oxford; degree in Modern History.

Edited Isis, the magazine for Oxford University students.

Leo Johnson, another brother, is a partner in PricewaterhouseCoopers. Leo has an MBA from INSEAD. He holds an M.Sc. in Resource and Environmental Economics from University College London, where he was Dow Scholar, and a B.A. from New College, University of Oxford, where he was Stephens Scholar.

Jacob Rees-Mogg

Parental background: son of William Rees-Mogg, a former editor of The Times. He is married to the heiress Helena de Chair.

School: ETON

University: Trinity College, Oxford; degree in history

President of the University Conservative Association

Annunziata Rees-Mogg

Sister of the above, and looking to join him as a Member of Parliament.

Has been a leader writer for The Daily Telegraph, deputy editor of MoneyWeek, and editor of the European Journal.

The Labour Party

After the election defeat of the "left-wing" Labour Party and the resignation of Gordon Brown as

leader, five candidates put themselves forward to be the new leader.

Check out their backgrounds:

Diane Abbott

Parental background: born to Jamaican immigrants

School: Harrow county grammar school (selective)

University: Newnham College, University of Cambridge; degree in History.

After campaigning on behalf of state education for decades, she chose to send her own son to private school!!!

Ed Balls

Parental background: father is Michael Balls, a zoologist Emeritus Professor at Nottingham University.

School: Nottingham high school (private boys' school)

University: Keble College, University of Oxford; First in PPE. Kennedy Scholar at Harvard University.

Married to Yvette Cooper: first married couple to serve together in the British Cabinet. Cooper went to Balliol College, University of Oxford where she was awarded first class honours in Philosophy, Politics and Economics. She gained a Kennedy Scholarship to Harvard University and finished her studies with an MSc in Economics at the London School of Economics.

Andy Burnham

School: St Aelred's Roman Catholic high school (State education system)

University: Fitzwilliam College, University of Cambridge; degree in English.

David Miliband

Parental background: "I am the child of Jewish immigrants and that is a very important part of my identity.

University: Corpus Christi College, University of Oxford; First in PPE; took a degree in Political Science at MIT, where he was a Kennedy Scholar.

Ed Miliband (brother of David, and winner of the leadership election)

University: Corpus Christi College, University of Oxford; degree in PPE, followed by the London School of Economics, where he obtained a Masters in Economics

Have you noticed that the Labour candidates have almost identical profiles to the Conservatives and Liberal Democrats? They all go to Oxford and Cambridge and take degrees in PPE, history or English. They work as political researchers for the current crop of politicians, and then they themselves become members of Parliament as soon as opportunities arrive. They never work in the real world, never mix with real people and yet these are the people elected to represent the people. What a joke!

The Piers Gaveston Society

Another example of an elite, secret Oxford club is the Piers Gaveston Society, dedicated to luxury dining, drinking and drug-fuelled excess. Membership is restricted to 12 specially chosen undergraduates. Hugh Grant, the buffoonish British actor, was a member. An enormous number of successful English actors come from extremely privileged backgrounds, and are often the children of previous generations of well-connected, influential and wealthy actors (nepotism and cronyism at their worst!).

Another famous member of Piers Gaveston was Count Gottfried von Bismarck, a descendant of Otto von Bismarck, the famous Prussian “Iron Chancellor”, showing the international dimension of the Elite’s activities at Oxford.

The society’s members love indulging in bizarre sexual extravaganzas. They organize bacchanalian parties for hundreds of friends, choosing secret luxury venues such as grand country mansions (in the manner of the movie *Eyes Wide Shut*). They are treated to copious champagne, caviar, drugs, followed by an orgy. They regard decadence and debauchery as the highest good.

The Millionaires’ Death Club by Mike Hockney is based on clubs such as the Bullingdon Club and the Piers Gaveston Society. These people think they were born to rule the world.

The Piers Gaveston Society was named in honour of the favourite (and gay lover) of the English King Edward II. Edward’s mighty army was humbled at the Battle of Bannockburn in Scotland in 1314 CE by a much smaller army under Robert the Bruce, the man who succeeded William Wallace as the leader of Scottish resistance to the English. Bannockburn is a superb example of a small, well-disciplined, well-drilled force under brilliant leadership inflicting a catastrophic defeat on an army three times larger. Anyone who has seen *Braveheart* will be familiar with the deadly archers used by the English, plus their heavy cavalry (the medieval equivalent of tanks). The English had some 3,000 expertly trained armoured knights, riding large, specially bred horses. The Scots had enormously fewer archers with inferior bows, and only a few hundred small horses serving as light cavalry. The Scots shouldn’t have stood a chance, but they made maximum use of the terrain and conditions to neutralize all of the advantages of the English army. Bannockburn established Scotland as an independent nation until 1707 when Scotland agreed to enter into union with England to form Great Britain.

Meritocracy - how it works in practical terms

MANY PEOPLE THINK MERITOCRACY is something vague and abstract, but it couldn't be simpler. What it does straight away is to remove the right of everyone over 18 to vote. People no longer have an automatic vote. Instead, a new voting system is established in which people are permitted multiple votes related to subjects in which they have demonstrable ability and knowledge i.e. by virtue of academic qualifications or work experience. Instead of voting for a politician in your geographical area, you vote for experts in your own fields of expertise. So, for example, if you have an economics degree then you are allowed to vote for which people should be running the economy. Anyone who doesn't have an economics degree or doesn't work in an economics job or can't otherwise demonstrate their expertise in economics, doesn't get a vote regarding economics. If you want to vote, get qualified. Otherwise, you will be deemed ignorant of the subject, hence it would be absurd to give you a vote regarding a subject about which you know nothing. You can have as many votes as your areas of evident merit. If you have no merits, you get no votes.

In a meritocracy, politics based on geographical constituencies and on political parties vanishes. All people standing for elections are independents. Their tasks are restricted to their own areas of merit. The only restriction applied to the policies they devise is that those policies must be consistent with the Meritocratic Constitution. For example, if economists are mandated to ensure that the economy is to be run for the good of the Commonwealth and to ensure that no cartels or elites are allowed to come into existence, any policies they enact that contradict the Constitution will be reversed, and the Meritocratic Supreme Court will fire them. New elections will then be held to find a new set of economists to run the economy meritocratically.

This is a simple system. It abolishes politics as we currently experience it (and endure it). It places merit at the core of the new politics. It gives everyone a vote according to their merits, and the unmeritorious get no vote at all since they don't deserve it. It ensures that the Meritocratic Constitution is obeyed.

Make no mistake, meritocracy is the culmination of the political dialectic, the inevitable replacement of democracy (plutocracy). It is the essential precursor of the drive towards the Community of Gods and the Society of the Divine.

Meritocracy is the system by which humanity is guided in *Star Trek*. If we ever want to travel through space as they did in the TV series, we must put human excellence rather than the wealth of the privileged at the top of our priorities. Money must, eventually, be entirely removed from the equation. Money has allowed an entirely fake idea of merit to be established. Your "worth" has been tied to the amount of money you have rather than your actual talents, yet those born into privilege immediately have more money (hence more "merit") than everyone else despite having done nothing. Merit is about YOUR skills, not about what your parents did or how much money they had when you were born.

It's time to get real. It's meritocracy or nothing. Democracy – a disguised plutocracy – must be smashed to smithereens. Modern democracy is the most sinister and insidious political ideology ever devised. It has allowed a privileged elite to remain in permanent power while pretending to serve the people. Never has there been a more fraudulent, deceitful and hypocritical political system. The idiot masses have swallowed the propaganda of the rich and become the slaves of the rich, while imagining themselves free. In terms of psychological manipulation of the gullible, democracy represents GENIUS. It is the best and most successful tactic ever devised by the elite. They have constructed the perfect means to dominate, exploit and manipulate the people while giving the impression they are doing it all in the name of the people and for the people. Hitler, the greatest fan of the BIG LIE, couldn't have created a bigger lie than democracy.

It should be emphasized that it's not the politicians who win democratic elections that run democracy. The power elite behind the curtain pull the strings, and make their puppets dance. Some of the politicians even know what's going on, though they never make any real attempt to

change anything, and they would be assassinated if they did (like JFK). American President Theodore Roosevelt said, “No man should receive a dollar unless that dollar has been fairly earned. Every dollar received should represent a dollar’s worth of service rendered – not gambling in stocks, but service rendered. The really big fortune, the swollen fortune, by the mere fact of its size acquires qualities which differentiate it in kind and degree from what is possessed by men of small means. Therefore, I believe in a graduated income tax on big fortunes, and in another tax which is far more easily collected and effective – a graduated inheritance tax on big fortunes, safeguarded against evasion and increasing rapidly in amount with the size of the estate.”

We agree, except we would make inheritance tax absolute. Everyone knows what has to be done – the rich must have their fortunes removed from them and the class of super rich should be legally prevented from coming into existence ever again – so why don’t we all get on with it rather than babbling about 9/11, love, mystical hogwash, New Age bullshit and all the rest of the nonsense that stops people from standing up to the rich and seizing their wealth?

The New Education

We need a new education system where people LOVE to learn. As Austrian physicist Victor Weisskopf said, “Knowledge has to be sucked into the brain, not pushed into it.” You have to be motivated to learn otherwise you won’t learn a thing. The sausage factory “learning” of State schools is a joke. Private schools carefully cultivate every precious student (whose parents are paying a fortune for him to be there – and they want a high return on investment). If it’s good enough for those cunts, it’s good enough for the rest of us, right?! If you accept second-class treatment, you become second class. If you want the best, demand the best. The rich do, so why don’t you?

The Jewish lobby

In June 2010, Helen Thomas, the longest-serving reporter in the White House press corps, with a reputation for fearlessly speaking the truth to the powerful, said to a rabbi that Israeli Jews should “get the hell out of Palestine” and go home to “Poland, Germany, and America and everywhere else.” Her career was instantly terminated. She was compelled to fall on her sword and announce her retirement. Why is no one in the American media allowed to ask a perfectly reasonable question about Israel? Anyone who does not provide unequivocal support for Zion is shut down by the elite.

Your Moral Choice

Abrahamists choose to define themselves as people who would willingly kill their own children if “God” ordered it. Is that how you think a moral, rational person should define themselves?

The very first thing the God of Abraham sought to establish – his defining principle – was that his followers should be willing to kill anyone, even members of their own family to show their loyalty and obedience to him. Can any morally good person accept a religion that starts off with murder? Why didn’t Abraham’s God proclaim the opposite message – that you should never kill anyone?

“Avoid London - Area Closed - Turn on Radio.”

This was the chilling, apocalyptic sign that appeared over motorways leading to London on 7 July 2005, the day London was attacked by Islamic suicide bombers.

Motorways all over the world will be showing such signs in the next few years as the global economy collapses and violence erupts in every country.

The First Outbreak of the Global Revolution

“If the head of the stream is dirty, the whole stream is dirty.” -- Jamaican Proverb

Where will the final Revolution break out first? Fittingly, it will be Great Britain, the birthplace of capitalism and which spread its noxious creed around the world via its evil Empire. All of the ingredients are in place for meltdown in the UK. The country is ruled by an unaccountable head of State – the Queen – and a government of ridiculously posh, privileged, millionaire toffs who have been privately educated and know nothing about ordinary people. They are gleefully presiding over horrific spending cuts, particularly in the State sector (which they despise). Unions have vowed to fight. Students rioted last year over massive increases in tuition fees. An astonishing degree of anomie exists amongst the underclass. Violent, feral gangs are everywhere. There are tensions between different immigrant communities. The far right is growing. The police have been shown to be a) inept and b) corrupt, obsessed with pandering to Rupert Murdoch’s evil media machine. The “expenses scandal” has shown the politicians to be grotesquely corrupt, spending all of their time trying to rip off the public purse. They too have been shown to be the puppets of Rupert Murdoch. Because of the phone and computer hacking scandal, Murdoch himself is now holed below the waterline. All of the institutions of Britain have failed. All authority figures are held in contempt. There has been a total collapse in the people’s confidence in the ruling elite. This will be magnified as recession and enormous spending cuts devastate the economy.

Black kids in ghettos will rise up, overwhelm the police, and the rest of the country will join them. Britain is long overdue a revolution.

The British government has supported the overthrow of despicable Arab dictators by armed force, but what will they do when they confront the reality that the British people now regard them as a despicable dictatorship? Will their armed bullyboys and the army protect them? Will their privileged corrupt judges continue to support them? Britain is rotten to the core. The politicians, judges, media, police and army have all been exposed for the corrupt criminals they are. They are not a legitimate ruling regime. They are a tyranny, just like the tyrannies of Islam. And it has always been an established principle of modern social contract theory that the people have the legal right to overthrow regimes that are acting against the interests of the people. PRIVILEGE itself is a conspiracy against the people and a fundamental breach of the social contract. All governments of privilege are ENEMIES OF THE PEOPLE, and it is lawful for the people to depose them.

“In order then that the social compact may not be an empty formula, it tacitly includes the undertaking, which alone can give force to the rest, that whoever refuses to obey the general will shall be compelled to do so by the whole body. This means nothing less than that he will be forced to be free; for this is the condition which, by giving each citizen to his country, secures him against all personal dependence. In this lies the key to the working of the political machine; this alone legitimizes civil undertakings, which, without it, would be absurd, tyrannical, and liable to the most frightful abuses.” -- Jean-Jacques Rousseau, *The Social Contract*

What was the fatal mistake of the elite? As we said, it was to over promise and under deliver. You always pay the price of raising expectations too high. The perfect images of the Society of Spectacle promised heaven but delivered hell.

Why are there so many different religions?

“O senseless man who cannot make a worm, and yet makes gods by dozens.” -- Michel de Montaigne

There is only one true religion – Illumination. Why? Because Illumination proclaims

MATHEMATICS as the ontological AND epistemological basis of reality. Nothing exists that is not, at root, mathematical. All order, organisation, patterns, formulas, equations and information are manifestations of mathematics. A non-mathematical universe is impossible. All genuine knowledge is mathematical since mathematics alone is TRUTH.

Existence provides objective truth and subjective truth. The latter is mere opinion and is mostly wholly false. Objective truth is mathematical. Science succeeds because it reflects mathematics. Illuminism succeeds by extending science to ontology i.e. Illuminism declares that mathematics isn't merely a tool for helping us to uncover the patterns of reality, mathematics IS reality. Zero, infinity and the imaginary number have actual existence – they are not mathematical devices used to help us solve problems in an instrumental sense (instrumentalism says that getting the right answer is more important than understanding what the answer actually means). ZERO = the SOUL. INFINITY = THE CAPACITY OF THE SOUL IN THE MENTAL DOMAIN. THE IMAGINARY NUMBER = THE ORIGIN OF TIME.

Once you extend science to accommodate the soul, you have turned an atheistic, materialistic ideology into a glorious religion based on mathematical idealism. Zero, as an ontological reality, as an entity that truly exists (and of which there are infinite instances), is the mathematical guarantor of true religion. Zero explains the soul, God, consciousness and the afterlife. Science has failed to address fundamental reality because it has lacked the imagination and mathematical nous to confront and understand the reality and meaning of zero. Materialism asserts that zero doesn't exist and the whole of the materialistic ideology flows from that one assertion. The whole of idealism, on the other hand, is based on the real existence of zero. Descartes, without realising what he had done, made the fundamental equation of reality crystal clear when he said that there were two substances: matter (extended) and mind (non-extended). As soon as you realise that Descartes' non-extended mind is nothing other than the domain of ZERO as an ontological reality, you have solved the primary mystery of existence. As for matter (extension) – it is simply all of the numbers greater than zero. Mind and matter together constitute COMPLETE ontological reality. They constitute COMPLETE MATHEMATICS. Scientific Materialism is a subset of Illuminism because it is incomplete mathematics whereas Illuminism is complete mathematics. That's the world in a nutshell. It's all in the math!

The Emperor's New Clothes?

Australian Keara O'Neil was shopping in an "upmarket" fashion store called GASP when she was on the receiving end of rudeness from a snobbish member of staff. She complained to GASP's head office and this was the reply she received:

Dear Keara O'Neil,

Having now had the privilege of having both versions of events, I am now in a position to respond to your complaint.

From the very outset, one thing that you should be mindful of is; our product offerings are very, very carefully selected, so to ensure that we do not appeal to a broad customer base. This is something which is always at the forefront of our minds when undertaking buying duties.

The reason for this is to ensure that we only carry products which appeal to a very fashion forward consumer. This by default means that the customer whom is acclimatised to buying from "clothing for the masses" type retailers, is almost frightened by our range, sometimes we have found that this type of customer, almost finds our dresses funny, and on occasion noted comments such as 'it looks like a dead flamingo'. When we receive comments like this, we like to give our buyers and ourselves a big pat on the back, because we know we are doing our job right, and modus operandi is being upheld.

Our range is worn by A list celebrities to the likes of Kim Kardashian, Selena Gomez and Katy Perry to name only a few. Now, as one might appreciate, the style counsel for these types of celebrities are not ones to pick "run of the mill" type clothing, and they do so on the basis to ensure that the styles are cutting edge, and only worn by a select few. Similarly, these items are

priced such that they remain inaccessible to the undesirable.

Insofar as our employee goes; Similar to our product offerings, our employees are selected with a similar approach. Chris whom served you is a qualified stylist whom has a sixth sense for fashion, and Chris's only problem is that he is too good at what he does, and as I am sure you are aware, people whom are talented, generally do not tolerate having their time wasted, which is the reason you were provoked to leave the store.

Whilst I concede that you work for chain retailer, unfortunately that does not make us like for like. It is probably fair to assume, a lot of what I have said in this email, either doesn't make sense to you, or you totally disagree with it all, which is what I would expect (unless of course I have you totally wrong – which I doubt).

Let me guess, you would never, ever hire Chris in the course of your duty, would you? This is the very reason, why your comment “from one retailer to another” is so disproportionate, it's almost as though we are in a totally different industries. Chris is a retail superstar, who possess unparalleled ability, and I am sorry you feel upset by him, but he knew you were not going to buy anything before you even left your house.

So if you would like to do us any favours, please do not waste our retail staff's time, because as you have already seen, they will not tolerate it. I am sure there are plenty of shops that appease your taste, so I respectfully ask that you side step our store during future window-shopping expeditions.

Thank you for your enquiry.

(GASP area store manager Matthew Chidgey)

In a follow-up, Chidgey added, “We respect that not all consumers strive for a glamorous appearance; some prefer to simply blend in. [...] We respect and welcome all customers whom wish to visit our store, even though the intention to buy may not exist. But we ask that their opinions be expressed through blogs, social media or around a warm latte, but certainly not inside our stores.”

So there! That will teach all the “blenders” not to go into high-status shops where they don't belong. This is a microcosm of the way the Old World Order operates. This is how “status” is used as a weapon. This is the essence of snobbery.

The Spectacular Con

THE PURPOSE OF THE Spectacular Society is to bring about the condition described by Dr. Carter Godwin Woodson: “When you control a man’s thinking you do not have to worry about his actions. You do not have to tell him not to stand here or go yonder. He will find his ‘proper place’ and will stay in it. You do not need to send him to the back door. He will go without being told. In fact, if there is no back door, he will cut one for his special benefit.”

The spectacle is designed to deceive and dupe. It pacifies and depoliticizes. It makes you more interested in *American Idol* and *X-Factor* than in your own life and who’s governing you. It’s a “permanent opium war” intended to stupefy its viewers, to disconnect them from awareness of the forces that control their lives. The spectacle diverts anger away from the criminal elite and toward phantoms, fictions and illusions, invented to “entertain” you. Conspiracy theorists help the elite by constructing ludicrous stories about pan-dimensional Reptilian aliens. The Jews were convinced that David Icke had invented a coded language to talk hostilely about Jews since surely he couldn’t really believe that shape-shifting lizards existed ergo they must be a disguised reference to Jews. Well, why *doesn’t* Icke talk about Jews? Aren’t they right at the centre of the conspiracy? So fuck the Reptilians and let’s start talking about Zionist control of America: of the banks, corporations, media, foreign policy and military objectives. American Zionism caused 9/11. Osama bin Laden attacked America because of its extreme support of Zionist terrorism in Palestine. Real simple.

The Spectacle leads you away from where the bodies are buried. It conceals the truth. It hides the perpetrators of exploitation and injustice. Like a heroin hit, it manufactures feelings of euphoria, and leaves you always craving more. You get severe withdrawal symptoms if the drug is removed.

The Spectacle brings about catharsis. It temporarily purges you of negativity by showing you a Hollywood movie where everything works out right after a long struggle against the odds. If you watch a film about the heroes winning, you feel that all is well with the world. But life’s nothing like that; the heroes are usually destroyed. The bad guys almost always win in real life. Don’t confuse fictional success with real success.

Left and right wing shows are as bad as each other. They are for entertainment purposes. They give their respective audiences what they want. They deliver chatter, laughter, smiles, jokes – they don’t deliver action and revolution. They don’t propose new political ideas and parties. They are vacuous. Left and right engage in a tiresome *Punch and Judy* show. You think there’s some kind of genuine debate going on, but you’re wrong. They’re all looking at the ratings. They’re all in show business, not the business of actually changing anything. And these guys are all rich.

Don’t be fooled. Political satire isn’t politics. Anything on the media is entertainment. It’s spectacle.

Empty gossip, cheap jokes, trivial analysis, grandiose posturing, synthetic anger, celebrity guests – it’s the same formula used by left and right wing “political” shows. Different spin-doctors are used, but they use the same spin cycles, the same tricks of the trade. Truth, ideas, philosophy, science – all of these are ignored. You get a Mythos show, not a Logos presentation of ideas. It’s all about emotions. There’s no analysis, no information, no knowledge. The spectacle and the Logos are never seen together.

The spectacle is all about how you feel, not how you think. Its purpose is to keep you intellectually starved and emotionally addicted – like a baby.

The spectacle wants to turn the whole world into a gigantic advertising billboard, to perpetually fill us with desires for capitalist objects, and to make us associate the purchase of those objects with pleasure, freedom and self-expression. The spectacle would love to take the sky itself and turn it into a global screen. It would like to turn the stars and planets into advertising holograms, beaming out spectacular images of perfection. 24/7, day or night, we would be unable to escape the spectacle.

How do you beat the spectacle when the spectacle has become reality? Hyperreality, as

defined by Baudrillard, is the condition where we can no longer distinguish fact from fiction. But, of course, if our reality consists of that inability to separate authenticity from the “authentic fake” then reality isn’t hyperreality at all – it’s our sole reality. That’s exactly where the elite want us – in a fantasy world where we can’t hope to understand the truth of anything because we have no idea what the truth is and no reference points of truth. Religion has never been anything other than a fantasy world where the most incredible garbage is asserted to be true and taken to be true even though it has been scientifically and logically refuted in every imaginable way.

It’s time to smash the mirror into a trillion pieces, to shatter the spectacle, to break the spell it has cast over us.

100% Inheritance Tax

You never experience this tax because you have to be dead before it applies. Is that not the perfect tax? LIFE IS PAID FOR BY DEATH! WHAT COULD BE SIMPLER? WHAT COULD BE MORE JUST? WHAT COULD BE MORE MERITOCRATIC? THE END OF THE DYNASTIC ELITES, THE MONARCHS, THE NOBLES, THE ARISTOCRACY, THE IN-CROWD, THE OLD WORLD ORDER. ALL THE MONEY IN A NATION IS CONTINUALLY RECYCLED. NO ONE CAN HOARD IT. THE MONEY IS ALWAYS REINVESTED IN THE LIVING, IN ALL FAMILIES, NOT JUST SOME.

Moral Hazard

You should memorise this concept because it sums up the rule of the privileged elite. Moral hazard occurs when a party insulated from risk behaves differently than it would if it were fully exposed to the risk. When the rich take extraordinary risks in order to gain extraordinary profits for themselves and then expect the State and the taxpayers to pick up the pieces if their gambles go hideously wrong (as they invariably do), that’s moral hazard. When the gambles fail, it should be Wall Street not Main Street that loses everything. The Constitution must be written to ensure that outcome. Speculators should be jailed for the crime of reckless endangerment of the economy.

The Failure of the First Phase of the Movement

“The Movement” was a rather disastrous enterprise from the outset. It attracted an unhealthy mix of new-agers, conspiracy theorists, hippies, vegans, wacky people looking for anything to stimulate them, fantasists, Mr Angries and lonely introverts.

There was no sign that the Movement wanted to BECOME anything in particular. It was a talking shop when in fact it should have been a hothouse for developing a blueprint for a new society. The Tea Party may be deranged, but at least they know what they stand for and what they’re campaigning to achieve. Could that ever have been said of the Movement?

The Movement never had an identity and it was incapable of creating one. It was highly instructive, but not in a positive sense. The idea of establishing an international activist movement seems to be pure pie in the sky. The *Zeitgeist* Movement is on a much bigger scale but it too is struggling to amount to anything serious, and serious divisions have arisen between *Zeitgeist* and *Project Venus*. So, what’s new?

The Movement should simply dissolve itself or become something much clearer: the MERITOCRACY movement, the sole purpose of which is to establish and promote a Meritocracy Party. Any topics other than meritocracy are banned. The Meritocracy Party is to be a left-wing answer to the Tea Party. The great thing about the Meritocracy Party is that no one can dare to challenge the basic concept. Who in their right mind would denounce merit?

Adam Curtis

The Illuminati use the work of a particular British documentary maker – Adam Curtis – to give new members a crash course on why the modern world is the way it is. Above all: *The Century of*

the Self, The Power of Nightmares, The Trap and All Watched Over by Machines of Loving Grace.

Other excellent activist documentaries are:

The Corporation -- Jennifer Abbott and Mark Achbar.

Capitalism: A Love Story -- Michael Moore.

Inside Job -- Charles Ferguson.

Enron: The Smartest Guys in the Room -- Alex Gibney.

Shock Doctrine -- Mat Whitecross.

Starsuckers -- Chris Atkins.

The Yes Men Fix the World -- Andy Bichlbaum and Mike Bonanno.

The Secret Army

World salvation can come from an unexpected quarter – gangs. They can be the irregular army of the Global Freedom Uprising. The gangs of the world hate the establishment, the authorities, the police, law and order. They have been failed by society. Religion has abandoned them. To whom do they turn? They have only themselves, so they become gangs. No one will look out for them other than their fellow gang members.

But they are not criminals: they are doing what they need to in order to survive. The real crime was on the part of society that left them to rot in ghettos where they had no choices in life, no hope of accomplishing anything. What kind of system does that to people?

The gangs can become the liberators. They can become the soldiers of the army of light. They are loyal, brave, energetic, daring, fearless. They see through the bullshit of society. Yet the sad truth is that the system has brilliantly manipulated them. The people most likely to be victims of gangs are members of other gangs. DIVIDE AND RULE – the ancient mantra of the elite. You stick the underclass in ghettos and let them fight each other like rats in a sack.

All the establishment has to do is to get the gangs to squabble with each other, to ferociously defend their “territory”. The rest takes care of itself. But what if the gangs stopped fighting each other and came together to form a SUPERGANG? That’s what happened during the British riots. Gangs called a truce and came together for a common purpose. The result was devastating. The police were unable to respond and chaos erupted. The police were massively overstretched. This means that if a similar exercise were carried out in the future but on twice the scale, the British police would be unable to stop it.

Imagine a Revolutionary Supergang with an urban general of the calibre of the ancient world’s Hannibal of Carthage. Many experts regard Hannibal’s victory against the Romans at Cannae in Italy as the greatest example of military prowess ever demonstrated.

Cannae was one of the worst defeats ever suffered by Rome. It was the prototype battle of annihilation that every general has dreamt of emulating ever since. The Germans of WWII were experts at using Hannibal’s tactics. At Cannae, Hannibal faced a vastly numerically superior Roman army. Despite that, using the first recorded pincer attack, he was able to outflank the Romans and encircle their army. The much greater numbers of the Romans became irrelevant. Most were trapped in the centre and were unable to wield their swords. Only those on the outside of the trapped mass could fight and they were no match for the superior Carthaginian soldiers who relentlessly slaughtered them. The Romans at the middle of the crush could do nothing other than wait to be massacred.

In the modern day, in an urban environment, a gang simply needs to confront the police in a particular location. The police will respond and, if they have the manpower, seek to outflank the gang and trap them. However, if the gang has a strategic reserve to commit, or allied gangs that it can summon, it can in turn encircle the police. Streets can be blocked off and the police trapped.

One day, perhaps soon, an urban Hannibal will emerge who will unite all of the warring gangs, study the tactics of the great generals and deploy them in city environments. Thanks to social networking, he can gain an immense amount of information about the disposition of the enemy, summon reinforcements, and direct them to exactly where they are needed. The British riots showed that the police can’t think on their feet and can’t call on reserves quickly enough.

They are sitting ducks.

The Nightmare

All systems of thought other than mathematical materialism and mathematical idealism are garbage and nonsense. They contain zero truth content. Abrahamism never once made a true statement. Only irrational people follow Moses, Christ or Mohammed. But this world of ours has never been anything other than superlative at generating endless legions of the mad.

Why do so many people hold so many deranged beliefs? Is that not the surest proof that the world wasn't created by a sane Creator and indeed not by any Creator at all? The prevalence of insanity is only comprehensible in an evolving universe which does not know what sanity is and is slowly struggling towards it.

What would be the sanest world? – one in which everyone acts rationally. Reason and logic are the anchors of sanity, yet reason and logic were the last human qualities to appear. They are still barely present in most people. They are like fragile babies that could easily be killed off by the predators of faith and unreason.

Enlightenment is the agenda of reason and logic. What was the Enlightenment saving us from? – from faith, superstition and irrationality. How can anyone who claims to be on the side of the Enlightenment possibly be a Christian? It's a category error. Christianity represents toxic hatred of reason, logic and knowledge. Jesus Christ never once mentioned reason, logic or knowledge. He never once referred to science, philosophy or mathematics. How can any intelligent person be a Christian?

Is Jesus Christ the God of retards? He must be – why else did he never once say anything clever even though he is supposed to be the Creator of the Universe? How could anyone read the sayings of Jesus Christ and even for one microsecond succeed in deluding themselves that these are utterances of GOD? You would have to have an exceptionally low opinion of God to think that Jesus Christ had any connection with him at all. Read the banalities and platitudes of Jesus Christ alongside the dazzling works of Plato, Leibniz, Hegel and Nietzsche. Surely, it would make more sense to worship any of these men rather than that dumbass Jesus Christ. Jesus Christ is God for Dummies. It's impossible for any intelligent person to believe in Christianity. Christianity is an all out assault on reason. Christianity, like Islam and Judaism, flies the flag of NO QUARTER in its dealings with rationality. Rationality should hoist the red Jolly Roger and do likewise to Abrahamism.

The religion of Abraham must be removed 100% from the human race. There ought not to be one trace of it left behind. Every Torah, Bible and Koran should be burned to ashes. Every Synagogue, Church and Mosque should be demolished and levelled. In 100 years' time, Abrahamism ought to be no more than an echo of a mad idea that once flickered perversely across human consciousness: a flash of nightmare that lit up a torture chamber for one horrific instant.

9/11

“America has been hit by Allah at its most vulnerable point, destroying, thank God, its most prestigious building.” -- Osama bin Laden, 7 October 2001

Rather an odd thing for a Muslim to say if 9/11 was a Mossad/CIA operation.

15 of the 19 hijackers on 9/11 were Saudi Arabians espousing Wahhabism, the most virulent form of Islam that calls for Islamic domination of the world and the global imposition of Sharia Law, for absolute intolerance of heresy and apostasy, for the wholesale destruction of Israel, for women to be totally subordinate to men, for Islamic theocracy to replace democracy.

Mohammed Atta, the Egyptian psychopath who led the hijackers, stipulated in his will: “The person who will wash my body near my genitals must wear gloves on his hands so he won't touch my genitals...I don't want any women to go to my grave at all during my funeral or on any occasion thereafter.”

The Wahhabites particularly despised New York, with its Zionist powerbase in Wall Street, its large Jewish population, its sexually liberated women, its fondness for drink, drugs and partying. It was a perfect target.

The hijackers wanted to drive out the American infidels located in several military bases in Saudi Arabia. These infidels were defiling the sacred homeland of Islam, the holy ground where Mohammed himself once walked. They wanted to attack America for its constant support for Zionist Israel, regardless of the number of atrocities carried out by the Zionists against the Muslim Palestinians. They wanted to call, in the most dramatic fashion, for an Islamic Caliphate uniting all the Islamic nations. And they wanted to end the sanctions that had been imposed on Iraq for many years, leading to a humanitarian disaster that was harming children in particular.

Why don't the "Truthers" think the Wahhabites were supremely motivated to strike against the Great Satan? It's because they are absolutely ignorant of Wahhabism and have made no attempt at all to study it. Know thy enemy! The Truthers haven't the vaguest idea who the enemy is.

Here's the "logic" of the Truthers. The elite want to impose drastic controls on the people (true). A major terrorist incident would give them the pretext (true). Therefore, they conclude, the elite engineered the terrorist incident themselves. They seem entirely oblivious to the fact that many people all across the world hate America and wish it serious harm. They think, perversely, that only Americans are motivated to attack America (!). They also think that no one other than the CIA, Mossad and U.S. Special Forces are capable of pulling off a terrorist incident. They think that 9/11 was some extremely sophisticated operation that a bunch of "rag heads" could never have accomplished.

In fact, 9/11 was about as crude as you could get. Nineteen Muslims used box cutters and fake bombs to hijack four planes and terrify their passengers (none of whom would have expected to die since hijackings had never before resulted in suicide operations) and then crashed them into buildings not long after take-off. There's nothing difficult to grasp. The only thing cruder would have been something along the lines of the previous Islamic terrorist attack on the Twin Towers in 1993 – when they drove a truck bomb packed with explosives into the public parking garage beneath the World Trade Centre. Their intention was that the North Tower's foundations would be so weakened by the massive explosion that the tower would topple over and hit the South Tower, bringing both towers down and killing thousands. "If at first you don't succeed, try and try again!"

Why can't people accept the blindingly obvious facts? Was 1993 a dry run by Mossad and the CIA? Surely the Truthers must offer a full explanation of 1993 as an inside job if we are to conclude that 9/11 was an inside job too. After all, it would be extremely bizarre to conclude that 1993 was carried out by Muslim maniacs but 9/11 wasn't. If the Muslims did it once, they could certainly do it again, and do it better with a more effective plan.

Sharia Law – which advocates the stoning of adulterers, amputations of limbs for theft, the death penalty for homosexuality and apostasy – is supported by around 80% of Muslims. These people should not be regarded as gentle, compassionate, forgiving people. They are intolerant savages and anyone who has ever read the Koran knows that only the Torah exceeds it in terms of brutality and terror. Why would anyone think these people couldn't be responsible for 9/11?

Most Muslims want Islam to be a central guiding principle of their society i.e. for Islam to be very much in the public sphere. They believe that democracy and Islam are entirely compatible, yet it's impossible to believe that non-Muslim minorities would be properly protected in explicitly Islamic States. There's no sign of genuine modernisation or enlightenment in any Islamic country, and failing to separate the State and religion in extremist countries can never lead to democracy – only to theocracy (like Iran).

The Wizard of Oz - Devil Worship?

From the book "The Illuminati Formula Used to Create an Undetectable Total Mind Controlled Slave" by Fritz Springmeier & Cisco Wheeler:

“The man who wrote the book *The Wizard of Oz* was a member of the Theosophical Society. L. Frank Baum lived in South Dakota and created *The Wizard of Oz* book as a theosophical fairy tale incorporating the ‘ancient wisdom’ of the Mystery Religions. The books have so much material from inside the secret world of the Illuminati that the few who understand the Illuminati wonder if Baum wasn’t an insider. The moral of the book is that we must rely upon ourselves, for we alone have the power to save ourselves. This was part of the original lie of Satan in the garden. Satan has simply dressed up the same original lie into different packaging and is distributing it worldwide as the most popular American fairy tale. L. Frank Baum explained how he came to write the book, ‘It was pure inspiration...It came to me right out of the blue. I think that sometimes the Great Author has a message to get across and He has to use the instrument at hand. I happened to be that medium, and I believe the magic key was given me to open the doors to sympathy and understanding, joy, peace and happiness.’ (Hearn, Michael P. ed., *The Annotated Wizard of Oz*. NY: Clarkson N. Potter, 1973, p. 73.) In Baum’s time, the head of the Theosophical Society, H.P. Blavatsky had been putting out her journal called *Lucifer*. In other words, I highly suspect Baum knew what the Theosophical Society was all about, and that he himself was deeply into the occult. The book *The Wizard of Oz* came out in 1900. (It wasn’t until 1939 that the movie was made.)

Shockingly, the real Illuminati do indeed love *The Wizard of Oz*, although, unfortunately, the Wizard is presented as a rather benevolent conman who means well rather than as a psychopath – like the God of Abraham. Dorothy and her friends overcome their fear of him, and they see that their belief in him is ill founded. It’s a tragedy that the dumb-dumb Christians didn’t realise that *The Wizard of Oz* is none other than their false, fake God and that if you get past the curtain, you see what a pathetic person he is.

The Ayn Rand Game

Ayn Rand in *Atlas Shrugged*, her hymn of love to the dollar, presented a tale of all of the super rich in America leaving the mainstream world to go and live in an idyllic, secluded valley in Colorado. By removing themselves from the ordinary world, they would force the “socialists” who were oppressing them to run the nation on their own. Without the help of the entrepreneurs, the socialists would surely fail.

We propose something along similar lines. All of the intelligentsia, the meritocrats, the rationalists should start developing a parallel society where Abrahamism and the super rich privileged elite play no part. The 20% of the world’s population who are smart enough to leave the past behind, will gradually isolate themselves from the rest and let them ooze back to the primordial slime whence they came.

Imagine the Abrahamists and the privileged elite trying to cope without any help from the intellectual elite. It would be a catastrophe. We can already see what nations are like without an intelligentsia – Islamic countries; totally backward. Islam should be held up as the supreme example of what happens when you reject reason in favour of faith, when you allow a whole society to be brainwashed by an irrational ancient book (the Koran).

Anticipation

Ian Kershaw, a biographer of Hitler, suggested that rival groups and individuals competed for Hitler’s approval by seeking to anticipate his desires. The same thing is true in the present day of celebrities and the super rich. Hordes of people spend their time wondering will they must do to secure the favour of these “gods”. Why don’t they live their own lives for a change?!

The Death of the Past

THREE DOCTRINES MUST BE KILLED OFF once and for all before humanity can move on.

- 1) The death of Abrahamism. This evil ideology is the unholy enshrinement of the master-slave doctrine. It proclaims that our purpose in life is to be perfectly obedient and to slavishly and unquestioningly obey our “rightful” masters. We must have “faith” that our masters always act in our interests and we must never use our reason (the fruit of the Tree of Knowledge) to challenge the established order. Anything wicked in the world is never the fault of the masters, but of disobedient slaves who lack sufficient faith – and they will be punished for their failings with eternal hellfire.
- 2) The death of karma. This evil doctrine proclaims that our current lives are the products of our past lives and if we are having a terrible time now it’s not because we are the victims of wicked regimes but because we are being punished for unspecified and unknown sins performed in an unremembered past existence. This justifies any conceivable atrocity and allows the blame to be transferred entirely from the perpetrator to the victim. The victim invariably deserved it.
- 3) The death of privilege and heredity. These are extremely similar to karma but now, instead of being punished for your own sins in previous lives, you are punished for the failings and sins of your parents. If your life is shit, it’s because your parents failed to hand onto you the advantages from which the children of the privileged benefit. If you’re not wearing a crown, it’s the fault of your parents for not belonging to the right bloodline. Your parents, not the elite, are entirely to blame for your misfortunes.

These three doctrines guide our world. They place the blame for everything on you or your parents. The elite, the masters, are of course never to blame. They are the Teflon rulers.

Only the most pathetic and moronic people would allow themselves to be suckered into believing the propaganda of the elite. In truth, they are responsible for EVERYTHING. All of the blame can be laid at their door because they are the ones with the power. It wasn’t you who destroyed the economy. THEY DID. You don’t have the power to wreck anything. THEY DO.

Quality

The elite are committed to quality. They want a quality education, quality health service, quality partners, quality jobs, quality consumer goods and quality places to live. When it comes to the masses over whom they rule, quality suddenly becomes quantity. Pile it high and sell it cheap. Stick the grunts and gooks in ghettos, in factories, in offices. Give them a production-line lifestyle, a sausage factory education. Let quality be what distinguishes the elite from the rest. The elite have “class” while the masses – anonymous and faceless – are just numbers that all run into one another.

You notice quality, but not quantity, except as an undifferentiated force. When the elite interact with each other, they see quality. Everyone has a “name”. They come from a “good family”. They have a distinguished family history. They are SOMEBODIES. They despise the nouveau riche because they are vulgar, have no class or quality, no name, no history. They are nobodies who got lucky.

As for the rest of us, we are all nobodies – the League of Nobodies. We are the bystanders, the anonymous crowd murmuring “rhubarb” in the background. We don’t count. We are too numerous to be counted. Our function is to be “boosters” – to boost the noise level when the elite are in town. It’s our function to scream and beg for the autographs of our betters (they, of course,

never ask for our autographs). The elite look at us but never see us. We are to be the background colour, there to provide the atmosphere, but we are indistinguishable one from the other. We are an amorphous mass.

The elite are always developing themselves. They spend virtually no time watching TV because they have plenty of things going on in their lives – unlike the League of Nobodies who can't get off their TV chairs.

Isn't it time to stop being a Nobody? Then read *The Last Bling King* by Mike Hockney. Join the League for the Liberation of Nobodies. Become a name. Become a person. Make the elite SEE you.

They will certainly see you if you are ramming 100% inheritance tax down their throats, if you are campaigning for a Second Enlightenment to sweep away faith and privilege.

What do we say? NO TO CIRCUMCISION. MAKE IT ILLEGAL. NO TO THE TEACHING OF FAITH. BAN ALL FAITH SCHOOLS. Irrationality has no place in the modern world. Stupid religions must be persecuted, not allowed to grow. Make all the Jews, Christians and Muslims wear yellow Stars of David, Crosses and Crescents so that they can be seen in broad daylight for the retards they are. Every day, their absurd Torture God who orders fathers to kill their children, should be denounced as the Devil he assuredly is. He is the God of Terror, which is why so many of his followers are Terrorists. The War on Terror is the War against Jews, Christians and Muslims. They are evil incarnate. They think that the purpose of God is to enslave humanity, to deny human beings the right to eat of the fruit of the Tree of Knowledge i.e. to keep them in a state of perpetual stupidity. They think that a God who orders human sacrifice of members of your own family is to be worshipped rather than resisted to the uttermost end. These are the most fucking retarded people you could ever encounter: people who can't distinguish good from evil, who can't see that a God who orders a father to kill his son is an eternal monster, not God.

Identity Construction

NOTHING IS MORE IMPORTANT than who gets to construct identity. Forget parents, peer groups and decrepit traditions – find your true self and form your identity around who you really are rather than who others want you to be. Ditch the fake you. Become real and authentic.

Nothing is more important than who gets to control the media message. Rupert Murdoch, a corrupt and depraved right wing fanatic who runs an empire of sleaze, criminality and cover-ups, has been allowed to control 40% of the UK media. How can anyone think that any person, let alone this monster, should be allowed such a monopoly over the channels of communication to the minds of the people? Would you give Satan 40% control of the media, and allow him to spin his web of evil 24/7? Yet Murdoch might as well be the Devil for all the damage he has done. Playwright Dennis Potter rightly wanted to shoot him.

The State

Only the State can drive the world forward. It is the engine of human progress. When people like Sarah Palin trumpet the “family” as the supreme good, where is her evidence that the nuclear family has ever achieved anything of worth? How can two mediocre parents create a high quality environment fit for the cultivation of Gods? Only the State has the scale, power and access to the finest minds to do so.

Stupid jobs make stupid people. Smart jobs make smart people. It’s up to the State to create those smart jobs. The family certainly can’t do it.

Visibility

Given the power of lobbyists, banks, corporations and media moguls over the government, shouldn’t their personal financial affairs be fully visible to the people so that we can see what they’re up to? We want full disclosure of their finances down to the last cent. We want to know exactly how much tax they pay. Those in power are the servants of the people, not their masters, so the people should demand complete disclosure of the financial affairs of the elite, of all people in positions of power and influence.

In order for the consent of the governed to be granted, it must be on the basis of complete transparency of ALL of those in power, whether elected or not. If the bosses of private banks and corporations can exert control over governments then they are part of the government and they must be as accountable as any member of the government. Otherwise, they are a shadow government that rules without accountability i.e. they are an unelected tyranny.

We demand the economic empowerment of the masses through an enormous redistribution of wealth from the privileged to the people.

We demand a system of total social mobility i.e. we demand the complete abolition of the force obstructing social mobility: privilege.

We demand that those people living on the margins, the fringes of society, be restored to the mainstream and have their dignity returned to them.

Nobody people versus *Somebody people*. It’s time for the nobodies to win.

WE ARE SPIRITUAL AND PHYSICAL REVOLUTIONARIES.

SCABS – all those people from the unprivileged classes who defend the rights of the privileged. These people have a false consciousness and live in bad faith.

The Panther Party

WITH THANKS TO M.C. WINDBREAKER for his ideas concerning the agenda of the Panther Party. Can you do better?

1. CONTROL OF ALL LOCAL INSTITUTIONS.
2. FULL EMPLOYMENT.
3. GUARANTEED HOUSING OF A HIGH AND PRACTICAL STANDARD.
4. THE BEST EDUCATION.
5. UNIVERSAL, FREE HEALTH CARE.
6. FREEDOM FROM POLICE BRUTALITY AND LEGALIZED MURDER.
7. FREEDOM FROM UNWARRANTED STATE SURVEILLANCE.
8. A PROHIBITION ON ALL WARS OF AGGRESSION.
9. JAILS TO BE CONVERTED INTO INSTITUTIONS OF REFORM NOT OF REVENGE AND PUNISHMENT.
10. A REVALUATION OF ALL INSTITUTIONS: THEY MUST OPERATE IN THE INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE AND NOT OF THE ELITES.
11. THE ADOPTION OF POSITIVE LIBERTY OVER NEGATIVE LIBERTY: THE STATE SHOULD BE ABOUT IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF PEOPLE'S LIVES THROUGH DIRECT INVOLVEMENT WITH THEIR LIVES (RATHER THAN LEAVING THEM ALONE TO GO SHOPPING).
12. AN END TO THE ADVANCEMENT OF INDIVIDUALS BY ANY MEANS OTHER THAN MERIT. THE TWO-TIER SOCIETY OF THE PRIVILEGED VERSUS THE NON-PRIVILEGED MUST BE ABOLISHED.
13. A COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE TO THE FAMILY TO BE PROVIDED, TO GIVE PEOPLE FROM DYSFUNCTIONAL FAMILIES A CHANCE TO ESCAPE.
14. A RATIONAL REDISTRIBUTION OF MONEY IN SOCIETY: IT IS ABSURD FOR EXCESSIVE WEALTH TO BE LEFT IN THE HANDS OF AN UNELECTED, UNACCOUNTABLE ELITE FEW WHO EXERT ENORMOUS POWER AND CONSTANTLY PROMOTE THEIR OWN INTERESTS OVER THOSE OF THE PEOPLE.
15. A COMPLETE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE "ANTI-ELITE" LAW. We demand a new statute that empowers investigation of the wealthiest 1% of our society. We demand that the top 1% be subject to the following questions by a public legislative body: 1. Which educational institutions has the individual attended? 2. What clubs were attended by the individual during their time at these institutions? 3. Which private clubs, Masonic Lodges, and/or secret societies is the individual currently a member of? 4. What is the individual's religion? 5. How much money has the individual earned in the past year publicly & privately. How much of this individual's total earned was taxed? 6. Is the individual friends or relatives with anyone else listed in the top 1% wealth list?
16. THE PROVISION OF LOCAL ORGANIC FOOD CULTIVATION AND HEALTHY FOOD OPTIONS FOR ALL COMMUNITIES, PARTICULARLY THOSE WITH DIETS TRADITIONALLY HIGH IN JUNK-FOOD. A good, healthy diet for all is essential for a good,

healthy society.

17. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MERITOCRATIC REGULATORY FUNCTIONS WITHIN GOVERNMENTS AT CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL LEVELS. PRIVILEGE, NEPOTISM AND CRONYISM MUST BE ERADICATED FROM SOCIETY.

18. A LEGAL SYSTEM FIT FOR PURPOSE THAT PROVIDES EQUALITY OF TREATMENT REGARDLESS OF PERSONAL WEALTH. NO ASPECTS OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM SHOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER. THE LAW MUST NEVER AGAIN BE BOUGHT BY THE WEALTHY. THERE CAN BE NO TWO-TIER LAW. THE LAW IS FOR ALL, EQUALLY, REGARDLESS OF WEALTH.

19. THE ABOLITION OF DEMOCRACY. This form of government has never been anything other a disguised plutocracy and oligarchy. The elite have always been able to manipulate democracy to suit their own ends. When a system is created that presents the illusion of people power but ensures that power is retained firmly by a moneyed elite, this is the most insidious form of tyranny.

20. THE END OF INSTITUTIONAL ABRAHAMISM. Abrahamism has never been radically separated from government, as it ought to have been. It tacitly impacts on all aspects of government and public discourse. It is embedded in all of the central institutions of State. This relationship must be terminated. There should be a full separation of Church and State to the extent that the State should hold commissions of enquiries into Abrahamic religions and determine whether they are compatible with civil and human rights and whether they interfere with education by valuing faith and superstition over reason and knowledge. It is unacceptable for humanity to be defined as the slaves of an unseen force. Humanity will have no supernatural master, especially no tyrant who orders fathers to perform human sacrifice on their sons. An entirely new spiritual space must be constructed, based on a radically new vision of God within the mathematical, philosophical and scientific domain. God must be brought into the world of reason, not of supernatural miracles.

21. THE END OF MONEY AS THE MEASURE OF HUMAN VALUE. Money must never again be used as a weapon by the elite to advance their interests and to oppose and sabotage the interests of the people.

22. THE END OF THE INDIGNITY TO WHICH MANY POOR PEOPLE ARE ROUTINELY SUBJECTED BY THE AUTHORITIES.

23. THE END OF THE DOCILITY AND PASSIVITY THAT IS ALLOWED TO INFECT HUGE SECTIONS OF THE PUBLIC.

24. SENSIBLE ALLOCATION OF LAND, FOOD, HOUSING, EDUCATION, CLOTHING, JUSTICE & PEOPLE'S CONTROL OF MODERN TECHNOLOGY.

25. IS ALL OF THIS BEYOND THE CAPABILITIES OF AN ENLIGHTENED, RATIONAL GOVERNMENT? WHY SHOULD IT BE?

NOVUS ORDO MUNDI

EX I OMNI

Phantom: a Statement

Magus,

After writing my letter expressing support for the "New Movement", I am afraid that I have made a great mistake in doing so. While I still stand by my condemnation of the foolish, irresponsible

and potentially dangerous actions of some members (with the full exclusion of Pho'), I have been left without any reasonable doubt that the New Movement is not capable of any real change. These people are stagnant, anti-intellectuals without any skill or capacity to change the world. At BEST, they will only harm newcomers by polluting their minds with their passive, sentimental dross and soft-hearted attitudes towards the very cause we have all taken up – to overthrow the Old World Order, to stop Abrahamism and pierce the ignorance of the masses with the Light of Reason.

The treachery of the Movement has gotten out of hand. Its members have resorted to the defacement of Pho's reputation and to petty insults. The very people that created the Movement have been stabbed in the back, the goals of the Illuminati turned on their head in lieu of new sentiment. The Movement has become an abomination. It should be formally disowned and disbanded. I for one approve of the dissolution of M.

Let merit stand on its own. No starry-eyed drivel should strangle the very goals that we seek to achieve. A New World Order is not made with hugs and kisses, with heart-to-heart conversations or "unconditional love". It is made with the sweat of hard toil upon the backs of genius, it is made with determination and devotion, it is shaped by skill and by art and a piercing intelligence that dims every star with its brilliance. All people that take an interest in the full vision of Illuminism stand on their own. They define the group – M failed because it was the precise opposite. Everybody should have a plan, a vision, a method and a project to work on. It is the task of the individual to distinguish themselves by their efforts. Many Eyes and One Vision.

Let this be my last address, Phantom is no more.

We entirely endorse this statement. The administrators of the Movement were on the whole engaged in something that, from the beginning, we found quite distasteful: a kind of New Age sentimentality based on "love will change the world". Group hug, everyone. These people have now denounced us and repudiated us. We received a message from them a few hours ago to inform us of the fact. Well, that's as it should be. It's right that they should form their own independent group and put into action their own ideas, and we wish them good luck.

Their message was signed: "Torquemada and his Nuns (aka the admins of the Movement)". That sounds like some sort of sex game, and we sincerely hope it is. The world needs as much BDSM in torture chambers as it can get.

It's hard to build any organisation and you certainly can't have sentimentalists and radicals in the same boat. We always advocated the separation of psychological types, and we feel enormously happier with the type of approach and stance advocated by Phantom. The ideology of emotionalism and "cosmic love" is one that is inconsistent with reason.

The Path of Illumination

<http://www.facebook.com/Path.Of.Illumination>

To help disseminate the principles of Illumination, our work began quietly alongside our fellow Illuminati diligently exerting their efforts on another communications project. The intention is to train interested parties into capable leaders that can provide the foundations for a better society. Now that there are sufficient numbers of people to make this work possible, we will interact with interested parties in gradual stages so as to help them gain a basic foundation that will serve the better interests of humanity.

We welcome all atheists, agnostics, serious Gnostics and skeptics. The brave Faustian investigator, willing to doubt anything and willing to travel to ineffable depths and incomprehensible heights to find knowledge is the ideal seeker. Such people are rarer than the finest material treasures. They are Pearls among seekers.

The Illuminati recognize that nobody can usher in a new Golden Age without being sufficiently Enlightened in regards to the workings of the Universe. The knowledge that is shared is not dependent on us giving you any orders or direction, it's entirely dependent on your own

efforts. Persistence, Determination, Honesty and the Pursuit of Excellence are what will take you to the stars – not devotion to some spiritual “master”. We are not the voice of God, merely messengers. The voice is your own, our task is to help humanity awaken to a greater purpose in life and realize their own Inner Divinity, actualize their latent potential.

Our goal is to bring humanity to a new stage of evolution, to HyperHumanity, a Community of Gods, a Society of the Divine. The dreaded conspiracy theorists fear a New World Order, when the world they fear has already arrived and has been here for millennia. We wish to overthrow the Old World Order and usher in a new Golden Age that will bring us to this next stage of evolution.

This communication cell is to become a base for archiving knowledge and information relevant to the construction of a New World Order, to the refinement of the individual and the betterment of society as a whole. Discussions engaging in polemics are indeed welcome. Preferred material for sharing belongs to the fine arts, to music and to science, to philosophy and psychology, literature and anthropology, esoteric theory and practice, to mathematics and the like.

We are not interested in conspiracy theories nor in new age pseudoscience; we are not interested in spiritual wise men spouting their latest “realization”, nor their own version of saving the world. People who have only an ephemeral interest in the betterment of humankind should leave. Those that want to shout our message off the rooftops and move on to their next obsession are not welcome here.

The serious-minded and committed seeker is welcome. Organized, well-grounded, skilled and refined men and women are most welcome. We wish to bring together the best of humanity and unite them under a banner that will once and for all topple the corrupt oligarchies that have rigged the world in their favour. This is a test of endurance. Patience will always triumph.

We are the Ancient Order of the Illuminati, the heirs of Pythagoras. Our Fire has burned for Aeons. The Flame of Prometheus lives on and ever more we strive towards Abraxas, the True God. Once more, the Temple of the Mysteries reveals itself to humanity. The time has come for all men and women to grow up and reach for the stars.

The trio involved in tending this page are: Praetorian (Π), Cygnus (Ψ) and Sigma (Σ). They administer and guide interested parties in this Great Work.

Be a part of the Revolution. Be a part of The New Order of the Ages.

Note that the above initiative is not undertaken by the same communication cell of the Illuminati that authored this series of books, but it is an approved cell.

Nineteen Eighty-Four

A tribute to George Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*

In 1948, George Orwell wrote *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. Our “liberal” Western democracy claims that it has prevented Orwell's chilling vision coming to pass. In fact, it has succeeded in producing something even worse. O'Brien, the sinister and manipulative senior member of the Inner Party who destroyed Winston Smith, the novel's protagonist, would have been proud of what our society has “achieved”. Here's why...

Through the Looking Glass by O'Brien

Remember Room 101? – it contains the worst thing in the world. I invented it myself. My finest work, perhaps. Yesterday we had Winston Smith in there. He was terrified of rats. Such a prole.

Winston Smith. A snivelling individual if ever there was one. And to think he imagined that I, O'Brien of the highest echelon of the Party, might enter into an alliance with him.

Today I've decided to subject myself to an experiment. I'm going into Room 101, this time to be on the receiving end. I already know what the worst thing in the world is for me: the society advocated by Winston Smith.

In I go, into a plain room with whitewashed walls. In a moment, the *Incubus Drug* – a gas that penetrates to the darkest corners of my mind where my profoundest terrors lurk – will be introduced into the air. It will gather my fears, intensify them, and bring them to life.

Here comes the gas. Already, I can visualise Winston Smith, smiling slyly at me. He will be my guide, just as Virgil was for Dante in *The Inferno*. I feel sick.

The horror begins. We're standing in a carriage of a train that travels underground. We're both wearing pinstriped suits and carrying leather attaché cases. A crowd of similarly attired men is jostling us. I can't breathe. I feel a panic attack coming on. Mercifully, we alight before I faint. We push through a throng, trudge up a broken-down escalator and emerge into a crowded street near St Paul's Cathedral.

We enter a large glass building and sit down in an open-plan office full of...I can only describe them as human automatons. They're worse than the proles. Telescreens display endless arrays of numbers. Telephones ring incessantly. Machines bleep. The automatons shout and scream. They seem to do nothing but buy and sell, but only in a virtual sense: no actual goods ever materialise. These automatons don't do a single creative thing, just like our own proles.

All the while, Smith smiles at me...that same sly grin.

This nightmare continues for ten hours, and I thought *we* were cruel. Now I'm beginning to respect Winston and his kind.

We leave the building and again we descend into the crowded underground and perform our earlier journey in reverse. I imagine this is how the journey to Hell begins.

We exit at a mainline railway station and board a train. Again, I am jostled, forced to stand in stifling heat amongst a sweating, smelly horde who talk unceasingly about property prices and retail therapy (whatever that is). I'm breathless once more.

Winston is reading a newspaper. A cursory glance reveals that its authors would surely rise straight to the top of the Ministry of Truth. Every article is a Two Minutes Hate, not directed against an enemy power but some hapless individual or other. Everything they've printed is clearly false. In my society, the past is falsified to make it consistent with the present. In Winston's society, the present is falsified merely to provide entertainment.

I notice that many women are reading magazines that could easily have been produced by our Pornosec, while others have their faces buried in novels that, as I realised after peering at one that had been discarded, may well have been created by our novel-writing machines.

At last we escape from the train. Winston is still smiling. All around us are posters, but they

don't proclaim the merits of Big Brother. Instead, they announce the alleged indispensability of soap powders and automobiles and a host of baubles and beads serving no purpose whatever. What's wrong with these people?

We reach a small terraced house that apparently costs a million pounds even though you can't swing a cat, and Winston invites me in. He switches on a telescreen and I watch a programme about the Thought Police. In Winston's society, they are known by the brilliant name of the Politically Correct. I wish I'd thought of that. They gibber in a language almost identical to *Newspeak*. They terrify even me. Some of them would appear to be members of the senior Anti-Sex League.

Next, a grey man appears and Winston announces that this is the Prime Minister. I am taking notes because I have never encountered such a fine exponent of doublethink. I have to force myself to think that way...it appears to come naturally to the leaders of Winston's society – the members of the Capitalist Democracy Party. This party is divided into three factions called Conservative, Labour and Liberal Democrat. I am unable to identify any way in which these factions differ. For all intents and purposes, this is a totalitarian regime.

The slogans of my Party are: War is Peace; Freedom is Slavery; Ignorance is Strength. The slogans of Winston's Party would appear to be: Ignorance is Freedom; Stupidity is Strength; Inequality is Equality; Hypocrisy is Justice; Delusion is Truth. An excellent set of slogans!

Winston's society has many Emmanuel Goldsteins. The people are expected to throw as much abuse at them as they can muster. The Enemy of the People now goes under various names: Osama bin Laden, the President of Iran, the President of North Korea etc. One Enemy of the People was called Saddam Hussein. They went to war with him over Weapons of Mass Destruction, which he told them he didn't have, and, indeed, he didn't. They, the proven liars, relentlessly called him a liar. How wonderful. A few years ago, one of the Goldsteins was called Gerry Adams and, in an attempt to mock him, they refused to broadcast his voice, but actors were allowed to do an impression of him and say precisely what he'd just said. How bizarre is that? I really am learning valuable lessons here.

Just as Oceania is always at war, so are Winston's nation and its allies. They call it the War on Terror. Marvellous. It's the perfect means to keep the citizens on a permanent war footing (allowing all sorts of civil liberties to be swept away) and in a perpetual state of fear from which only the Government can save them. Why didn't BB think of it?

Next, I see a telescreen programme about the unemployed. These are unpersons in Winston's society. It would be easy to find work for them all simply by reducing the number of hours worked by others. But the workers in Winston's society refuse to consent to this because they prefer that others should be redundant than that their pay packets should be diminished. It brings a lump to my throat.

As I watch more programmes, I discover so many amazing facts about Winston's society. There are more surveillance cameras than people. Even we haven't managed that. Diaries are freely available in Winston's society; but none of the citizens can write, and they're all so brainwashed by consumerism that they don't have any subversive thoughts to express anyway. There are no dissidents in Winston's society – there are only shoppers.

Winston's society has people called "Spin Doctors" who are the equivalent of our senior officials in the Ministry of Truth. Not a word of truth ever passes their lips.

Yesterday, I told Winston that the Party required him to acknowledge that $2+2=5$, and I had to torture him to make him see sense. Here, they agree without hesitation. I think that's because they can't count.

The leaders of Winston's society don't need to control the past or rewrite history – they just need to provide things for people to buy. The people know nothing about the past, and don't care. A "glamour model" was asked if Winston Churchill was a Prime Minister, a President, a King or a Rapper. She answered that he was the first black President of America! A supermodel mistook the leader of the Conservative Party for a plumber (I better not let Big Brother hear about that). All of our elaborate tactics never produced results as effective as these: complete ignorance of everything that has ever happened. We must copy the non-education system of this society. Of course, I mean education.

It wouldn't matter if all the clocks struck 13 in Winston's society because time has become irrelevant, and the citizens themselves are irrelevant. They're lower than the proles.

The gas wears off. I'm back in Room 101. Well, what a turn up for the books. Far from being Hell, Winston's society is a very Heaven, the realisation of all of Big Brother's principles. I shall have to seek out Winston at the Chestnut Tree bar and shake his hand. He was right all along and will be welcomed into the Inner Party immediately. We shall implement Capitalist Democracy without delay. It turns people into morons, and they aren't even bothered...as long as they can shop.

If you want a picture of the future, imagine a human with a pound sign stamped on his face and pushing a shopping trolley – forever.

Here's how our modern society reflects Big Brother's totalitarianism:

Big Brother = Capitalist Liberal Democracy. (No mechanisms exist, in any practical sense, to replace this form of government. It is therefore totalitarian.)

Inner Party = the Upper Class/Super rich. (The State is designed to meet their needs.)

Outer Party = the Middle Class. (They aspire to rise to the Inner Party, and are terrified of being relegated to proles.)

Proles = the Working Class. (They aspire to rise to the Outer Party, and are terrified of being relegated to unpersons. They spend all of their leisure time trying to blot out the appalling lives they lead. Heavy users of alcohol, cigarettes and drugs. Excessive TV watchers, particularly of chat shows, soap operas, hospital and forensic dramas, detective shows etc).

Unpersons = the Under Class. (The State is reluctant to acknowledge their existence.)

Doublethink: People are so stupid that not even in principle would they be able to understand that they hold hosts of contradictory opinions. Orwell's warning about doublethink has been realised in every regard.

"Big Brother is watching you" = CCTV cameras, GCHQ, MI5, MI6, Special Branch.

Newspeak = political correctness. (To "narrow the range of thought".)

Emmanuel Goldstein – any enemy identified by the Government, or by tabloid newspapers.

Pornosec = Tabloid newspapers.

Two Minutes Hate = front pages of tabloid newspapers.

Party Slogans = the outpourings of Spin Doctors.

Ministry of Truth = Spin Doctors/Tabloid newspapers.

Ministry of Love = MI5/MI6/Special Branch/CO19/Police. The police have killed more innocent people than Islamicists.

Ministry of Plenty = Shops, particularly supermarkets, particularly *Tesco*: give the people plenty of what they don't need.

Ministry of Peace = Ministry of Defence that continually engages in offensive wars.

Winston's Diary: all freethinkers are labelled "mad" and are relentlessly mocked by tabloid newspapers.

Oceania's perpetual war = the War on Terror.

Goldstein's Book = "free speech" and the possibility of producing new political movements (but in practice neither is there free speech nor any hope of overthrowing the tyranny of Capitalist Liberal Democracy).

Fiction Department = Tabloid newspapers; Government League Tables; Official Government statistics.

In 1984, the Party maintains power by keeping its citizens ignorant. Capitalist Liberal Democracy does exactly the same thing. It provides a hopeless State education system so that the privately educated Inner Party members can maintain indefinite control.

In 1984, a huge effort goes into controlling the past, present and future (to serve the Party's interests). Capitalist Liberal Democracy erases the past by avoiding teaching history in any

meaningful way. It controls the future by placing its citizens in debt (Mortgages/Credit Cards). The proles had no chance in 1984, and they have no chance now.

What are the discernible objects of Capitalist Liberal Democracy?

- 1) To maintain the Inner Party in their positions of wealth and power.
- 2) To generate hordes of compliant consumers who love to shop till they drop, thus sustaining the wealth of the capitalists (who own the goods being sold). “I shop therefore I am.”
- 3) To promote consumerism as the greatest good. People are relegated to mere units of consumption. Your value in society is directly proportional to how much you can afford to consume.
- 4) To prevent anyone from becoming too intelligent. (The Cassius Principle – “He thinks too much. Such men are dangerous.”)
- 5) To reject philosophy, science, history and indeed all academic knowledge, except insofar as it supports consumerism and the production of consumer goods.
- 6) To keep the people permanently stupid/ distracted/ in a stupor/ apprehensive, via dumbed-down TV, cigarettes, alcohol, tabloid newspapers, Hollywood movies, junk food, shops full of “desirable” junk, texting, web surfing, social networking, personal debt, mortgages, credit cards, War on Terror.

WAKE UP!!! WAKE UP!!! WAKE UP!!! WAKE UP!!! WAKE UP!!!

Down with Big Brother, down with Capitalist Liberal Democracy.

There is only one escape route – Vote for the Meritocracy Party.