

Is God Evil?

BY

ADAM WEISHAUP

PUBLISHED BY HYPERREALITY BOOKS AT SMASHWORDS



Copyright 2011 Adam Weishaupt

The right of Adam Weishaupt to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or

transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the author, except in the case of a reviewer, who may quote brief passages embodied in critical articles or in a review.

Quotations

“God is a thought that makes crooked all that is straight.” -- Nietzsche

“God is the immemorial refuge of the incompetent, the helpless, the miserable. They find not only sanctuary in His arms, but also a kind of superiority, soothing to their macerated egos; He will set them above their betters.” -- H.L. Mencken

“The only excuse for God is that he doesn’t exist.” -- Stendhal

The Illuminati

THIS IS ONE OF A SERIES OF BOOKS outlining the religion, politics and philosophy of the ancient and controversial secret society known as the Illuminati, of which the Greek polymath Pythagoras was the first official Grand Master. The society exists to this day and the author is a member, working under the pseudonym of “Adam Weishaupt” – the name of the Illuminati’s most notorious Grand Master.

The Illuminati’s religion is the most highly developed expression of Gnosticism and is called Illumination (alternatively, Illuminism). Dedicated to the pursuit of enlightenment, it has many parallels with the Eastern religions of Hinduism, Buddhism and Taoism. It rejects the Abrahamic religions of faith: Judaism, Christianity and Islam, considering these the work of the “Demiurge”; an inferior, cruel and wicked deity who deludes himself that he is the True God, and who has inflicted endless horrors on humanity.

If you wish to judge for yourself how deranged the Demiurge is, you need only read the Old Testament, the story of the Demiurge’s involvement with his “Chosen People”, the Hebrews. You may wonder why the “God of All” entered into an exclusive and partisan Covenant with a tribe in the Middle East several thousand years ago, why he promised them a land (Canaan) that belonged to others, and why he then actively participated with them in a genocidal war against the Canaanites. Even more bizarrely, according to Christian theology, he then despatched all of those Hebrews, whom he had supported so fanatically, to Limbo – the edge of Hell – when they died. They couldn’t go to Heaven because they were indelibly marked by the “Original Sin” of Adam and Eve. Only the atonement provided by the agonising death of God’s “son”, Jesus Christ, could wipe the slate clean and allow the Hebrews to be released from Limbo. But there was a catch. Only those who accepted Jesus Christ as their Lord and Saviour were eligible for Paradise.

Of course, the Chosen People of “God” have almost entirely

rejected Jesus Christ. Therefore, from the Christian perspective, nearly all of the Chosen People are now in hell proper. Don't you find God's behaviour distinctly odd? Indeed, unbelievable? Don't alarm bells start ringing? Doesn't the behaviour of this God sound rather more like what would be expected of Satan?

Remember that this same "God" ordered Abraham to perform human sacrifice on his own son, Isaac. Abraham, rather than rejecting this monstrous command, rather than denouncing the creature that gave it as evil incarnate, agreed to butcher his own flesh and blood to demonstrate how slavishly and mindlessly obedient he was – the prototype of all psychopathic, fanatical "believers".

Does God's command to Abraham sound like something that would ever pass the lips of the True God? We pity you if you think it does because you are surely a creature of the Demiurge and one of the legions of the damned. If, however, you doubt the credentials of the Abrahamic God, you may be receptive to the message of the Illuminati and our future-oriented, rational, scientific, mathematical and dialectical religion of light – *Illumination*.

The Question

A member of the Illuminati posted the following question on a popular internet forum for skeptics, prompting an enormous response:

Is God Evil?

"Seems like an odd question, but I've been thinking about this ever since I read a thriller called *The Armageddon Conspiracy* by Mike Hockney in which a group of people plot to kill God. The book presents the Gnostic view of history and this amounts to a belief that the material world was created by an evil demon (Satan) for the purpose of entrapping souls. Since it says in the Bible that "God" created the earth then "God" must be Satan. The True God, meanwhile, lives in a spiritual paradise that has no connection with the earth. It's the task of a Gnostic to see reality as it really is and

acquire the secret knowledge (gnosis) to allow his soul to be freed from its prison in this world and return to heaven.

The curious thing is that the “God” worshipped by Christians, Jews and Muslims is, according to the Gnostics, pure evil. Isn’t it totally amazing that billions of people worship a God that some people believe to be the quintessence of wickedness? Judging by some of the things that Christians, Jews and Muslims inflict on their fellow human beings (mass murder, torture, inquisition, suicide bombings, massacres, death camps, intolerance, fanaticism, witch-hunting, heresy hunting etc), don’t the Gnostics have an excellent case?

One of the reasons why religion is so absurd is that it frequently allows the precise opposite views to be arrived at from precisely the same theological “facts”. The Gnostics and the Christians both accept that “God” created the earth, but the former conclude that this was an act of supreme evil while the latter celebrate it as a great and glorious thing. Same “facts”, entirely different conclusions. Religion is plainly just a matter of interpretation, opinion and taste. There are no objective standards whatever. Some religions can actually contain diametrically opposed views...how is it possible for an extreme capitalist and an extreme left winger who says that money is the root of all evil to both claim that they are good Christians obeying God’s will? At least one must be wholly wrong.

In democratic terms, no religion on earth commands the allegiance of more than about 16% of the world’s population (Catholic Christianity and non-Catholic Christianity must, of course, be considered as separate religions since they have precious little in common; many Protestants brand the Pope and his Church as “Antichrist”). So, whatever set of beliefs you choose to adopt, at least 84% of the world’s population say you’re wrong. Isn’t it bizarre that people who trumpet the wonders of democracy, reject utterly the precepts of democracy in relation to religion? Classic Orwellian doublethink.

The democratic verdict regarding every religion is that it’s wrong. In political terms, people are willing to accept the majority view. In religion, they wouldn’t countenance it for a moment. How weird!!

Shouldn't the fact that no matter what religion they belong to, religious believers are in a tiny minority, cause them pause for thought and make them question their beliefs?

It never does. Why not? Because they are a) stupid b) inconsistent c) brainwashed d) their identity is invested in their religion and they are incapable of forming a new one, defined by themselves.

Are exclusive religions that breed fanatical adherence that makes their followers refuse to accept any criticism, that blinds them to any logical flaws in their religion, that makes them supremely intolerant towards others, that makes them wish to consign "infidels" to eternal hell-fire, *designed* to cause division and strife? So, despite all the apparently "good" messages mainstream religions may or may not, is their hidden purpose not one of creating discord, violence and hatred, to bring the Four Riders of the Apocalypse to terrifying life? Is their true author not the Prince of Darkness? Are their prophets not the servants of the Father of Lies? Are their holy scriptures nothing other than the gospels of Satan?

In which case, God is indeed evil. He is Satan, just as the Gnostics maintained. And now, every day of the week all over the world, billions of people bend their knee and bow to the Lord of the Flies. They are Devil worshippers. They are the damned.

The Damned

Ask yourself a few simple questions. Of the many religions in the world, which is the correct one? How can you tell? Why should the truth be more popular and successful than seductive lies? Isn't the road to the truth a narrow path from which many stray? And do all those who stray join the legions of the damned? Are you one of those destined for hell?

The Prophets of Truth

Imagine that humanity's only true religion has been protected by a

small, secret group of people for many thousands of years. All the while, other religions have proliferated, proclaiming themselves “the truth” even though they are utterly false, and capturing millions and even billions in their webs of deceit.

Throughout history, all over the world, the adherents of the false religions have relentlessly hunted down the members of the true religion and tried to exterminate them. But the followers of the true religion have grown skilled at concealment. They have survived every persecution, and have managed to keep recruiting brilliant people – including some of the most famous thinkers and artists in history – who have kept the flame alive.

But why has the true religion failed so spectacularly in the face of the false prophets? Why has the truth struggled to be heard? Can there be anything more horrific than that billions of souls have perished believing in a message that is, in most cases, the opposite of the truth?

Now, coming your way on a series of short books is the Gospel of the Illuminati. The Truth. At last, for everyone. The time of deliverance is at hand. Do you have the ears for the truth? Can you see beyond the lies of false religions? Lies prosper because they are often more superficially attractive than the truth. What price the truth?

Mass Mind Control

Imagine that you want people to go along with your plans, to never defy you or challenge you. You want them to play your game on your terms. How would you achieve it?

The Prison of the Mind

People securely locked up in prison pose no threat to those outside the prison walls. Imagine you could construct a prison – a prison of the mind – from which those inside can never threaten your power and control.

What are some of the things a psychologist of mass control might come up with?

- 1) “Turn the other cheek”. If I were relentlessly hurting you,

stealing from you, and abusing you, the ideal scenario for me would be for you not to fight back, for you to turn the other cheek and let me keep doing it. Cui bono? The abusers or the abused?

2) “Wait for the kingdom of heaven to enjoy the rewards of your earthly struggle.” If I’m enjoying the pleasures of the world, I don’t wish others to take those pleasures from me. How do I ensure they don’t? I say to them that they shouldn’t trouble themselves with enjoying themselves here and now, on this earth during these lives of theirs. They should keep rendering unto Caesar what is Caesar’s i.e. they should let the rich keep taking from them. They should have their eyes directed at some future state (heaven) rather than the state of things right now. Cui bono? Those who already have, or those who don’t have?

3) The American dream. If you work hard, you will reap your rewards. If you’re not getting your rewards ipso facto you’re not working hard enough. So, the many tens of millions of Americans who work incredibly hard but come home with scarcely a cent in their pockets are at fault, whereas the lazy, inept, privileged investment bankers who walk off into the sunset (and the most exclusive golf clubs) with tens of millions of dollars obviously fully deserve it for all of their “hard work”. (Consider the economic meltdown – who caused it? Not you. Who’s paying for it? You, of course. When will you wise up?) Cui bono? Those who are already rich, or those who dream of being rich?

4) In the UK, the national lottery uses a slogan, “It could be you.” Yes, it could be, but the odds are 14 million to one against so it almost certainly won’t be. The American Dream is the same. You could be one of the handful that comes from nowhere to enjoy spectacular riches. But if the odds are millions to one against, the American Dream is as accessible to you as a lottery win. Stop dreaming. The psychologists of control – those who already have all they wish – are the creators of the American Dream. It is one of their finest, surest levers of control. The American reality is that two mediocre individuals who are no exemplars of hard work – George Bush, senior and junior – became Presidents. How did they manage it? Did they

follow the American Dream, or did they happen to be born into an extremely rich, privileged and influential family? The American Dream is a fantasy – and a fantastic means of controlling the desperate masses. Only an idiot worships a fantasy.

Are you beginning to see what you're up against?

The psychologists of mass mind control need no concentration camps when people will believe whatever self-serving line they sell them.

Welcome to the Old World Order – those who have ruled us in the past, rule us now and will rule us in the future. The same dynastic families that do everything to maintain their power in perpetuity at our expense.

What is the Old World Order's favourite slogan?

“There's a sucker born every minute.”

Isn't it time to stop being a sucker? You have the power. Wake up!

Satan Worshipers

We receive emails from various Christians, Jews and Muslims in which they accuse us of being Satan worshippers. The truth is the opposite. The “People of the Book”, as they like to describe themselves, are the ones who kneel to Satan. Below, we present the case for the prosecution against the People of the Book. Isn't it time to think again? The True God hasn't abandoned you. You have abandoned yourselves.

And now it's time to find yourselves once more.

The Prosecution Of The People Of The Book

So, shall we start at the beginning, with the Jews? What are their claims to the truth? Isn't it a fact that present-day Palestine is the anvil upon which the whole world is being hammered? More than half of

the world's population – the Christians and the Muslims – are at each other's throats because a few million Jews think that a Hebrew God no one else believes in promised them a barren, parched piece of land already populated by others. Surely, it wasn't so difficult for the "all-powerful" Jehovah to find a beautiful piece of land with no one in it, a promised land of "milk and honey" that didn't automatically result in conflict. Why didn't he? Can't he do anything he likes?

According to their own Bible, the Jews violently seized the land from the Canaanites, so why would they feel any guilt about stealing it a second time from the Palestinians? And don't forget, the Palestinians are the direct descendants of the Philistines who lived in ancient Palestine, so no one can deny the legitimacy of their claim to their own land. The truth is the Israelis are a people born in violence, who have no compunction about using violence to get what they want. How can they complain about becoming the targets of others' violence? They're a nation of the damned, servants of the Demiurge – his Chosen People, no less, by their own admission – condemned in perpetuity to a living hell.

The basis of the Zionist claim to Israel is that Yahweh (the Demiurge) promised it to them. Who cares what Yahweh promised? The rest of the world wasn't party to the alleged contract, so why should the rest of the world respect it? The Canaanites (from whom the Palestinians are descended) were in Palestine long before the Israelis. It says so in the Bible and the Torah, so they have the superior claim. The land is theirs.

The Zionists' core belief is that the Jews are a special people with a uniquely special relationship with God. This is perhaps the most absurd belief of all time. They have fashioned their whole identity out of this crazy belief.

As Nietzsche said, "The Jews are the most remarkable nation of world history because, faced with the question of being or not being, they preferred, with a perfectly uncanny conviction, being at any price: the price they had to pay was the radical falsification of all nature, all naturalness, all reality, the entire inner world as well as the outer. They defined themselves counter to all those conditions under

which a nation was previously able to live; they made of themselves an antithesis to natural conditions – they inverted religion, religious worship, morality, history, psychology one after the other in an irreparable way into the contradiction of their natural values. We encounter the same phenomenon again and in unutterably vaster proportions, although only as a copy – the Christian Church, in contrast to the ‘nation of saints’, renounces all claim to originality. For precisely this reason the Jews are the most fateful nation in world history: their after-effect has falsified mankind to such an extent that today the Christian is able to feel anti-Jewish without realizing he is the ultimate consequence of the Jews.”

The toxic conflict between the West and Islam could be lanced almost instantly if America compelled the Zionists to concede a viable state to the Palestinians, with its capital in East Jerusalem. So why doesn't America do it? Who controls American foreign policy? Is it Americans or Zionists? Who controls the American economy? Americans or Zionists? Rahm Israel Emanuel, the son of a Zionist terrorist, is Chief of Staff to Barack Obama. Why is that not remotely surprising? Who is running the White House? Who was one of Obama's main sponsors? Zionist vampire suckers Goldman Sachs.

Obama was supposed to be the agent of “change”, the man who would reinvigorate democracy, but in fact we can all see now that it's just business as usual. Obama would never have been allowed to stand for President if he had not been fully acceptable to the establishment. And Obama knew that having a Zionist as his right-hand man was just what was needed to satisfy the likes of Goldman Sachs. And there are legions of “Christian Zionists” to be factored into the equation. These people are obsessed with the politics and theology of Armageddon”.

They are passionate supporters of the State of Israel, the basic idea being that before Christian Fundamentalists can rapturously ascend to heaven, the Jews must return to Palestine (which they have now done), and rebuild the Temple of Jerusalem (which they can't do while the Muslims control Temple Mount). Then the final, great battle of Armageddon will take place. All Jews who wish to survive the battle must convert to Christianity. The rest will be exterminated in an

immense holocaust. (So, ironically, although the Christians pretend to be friends of Israel, they actually despise Jews and think they will all go to hell unless they convert to Christianity. Talk about an unholy alliance.)

The Jews are forever blaming others for their misfortunes, forever demanding that others change. Has it never occurred to them that they're the ones at fault, they're the ones who need to change? Who needs Judaism? Almost six and a half billion people live happily without it. The Jews are a dinosaur people. Their adherence to their God has become merely perverse, a deliberate act of provocation. Their religion died so long ago no one can remember the date to put on the tombstone. Why do they refuse to bury it? They're in thrall to Satan, that's why. The damned can never move on. They're stuck forever like spiders in amber.

The Jews resemble Latin – a fascinating language with a great and rich history, but utterly dead. Latin is a language for scholars, and is now confined to nothing but a collection of dusty books in museums. That's the best Judaism can hope for – a place in a museum. It's a pointless religion, an historical footnote, and nothing else.

According to the Jews, Jehovah was forever intervening on their behalf. There he was sending ten plagues to Egypt to liberate them from bondage, parting the Red Sea for them, destroying Pharaoh's army, sending manna from heaven, releasing water from a rock, talking from a burning bush, promising a homeland to them, helping to destroy all of the people who lived there, talking directly to their leaders on a daily basis. On hundreds of life-or-death occasions, he was there for them. In fact, he actually allowed himself to be carried around in a box by the Jews. The Ark of the Covenant they called it, their portable container for God.

And then, suddenly, the Ark was gone and Jehovah simply wasn't there for the Jews any more. The Babylonians destroyed Solomon's Temple, the Romans razed the Second Temple to the ground, and the Jews were scattered across the world. There were pogroms against them in practically every country. They were forced to wear badges identifying themselves. No one liked them, everyone shunned and

despised them. Why did Jehovah never help them through all of the many persecutions they suffered? Then came the attempt at a Final Solution of the “Jewish problem”. Where was Jehovah then? He intervened continuously in the days of Moses, so why not in the death camps? Why so silent all of a sudden? Why so still? Dead, perhaps? But gods can’t die, can they?

Surely the blindest, most stupid Jew can’t avoid the obvious conclusion – their own God has turned his back on them. They’re a forsaken race: the abandoned, the rejected, the Wandering Jews.

In the here and now, can there be a more perverse religion than Judaism? Even if they believe their God still exists, what possible point could there be in worshipping him? He stopped loving them long ago. He never raises a finger to help. He has let them suffer hell on earth on numerous occasions. Only a sick people could go on worshipping a God who hates them. What more does he need to do to show he has rejected them? If he wiped out the entire Jewish Race bar one, you can be certain that lone survivor would stand up, brush himself down, go to the Wailing Wall and start up the nonsense all over again. There is nothing the Jews won’t accept from their Jehovah. If a religion has no end-point; no point at which its believers finally give up because their beliefs have brought them nothing but disaster, how can it be distinguished from insanity? The Jews would rather live in hell than turn their back on Jehovah, and their wish has surely come true.

A Jewish intellectual – and they are an astonishingly intelligent people when they’re thinking straight – rightly argued that the only thing keeping the Jewish faith alive was anti-Semitism.

If Jehovah had abandoned the Jews, would it stop them from worshipping him? They couldn’t give up. Not ever. They’d stand in front of the Wailing Wall and keep wailing, louder than ever, with more feeling, more longing, more love. They could never admit they were wrong, never permit the anti-Semites the victory they’ve pursued so relentlessly for so long. For any Jew to deny Judaism is to murder the Holocaust victims all over again, to repeat all the persecutions of the past, to bow in front of their tormentors and admit they were right

all along.

Someone said to us, **“Were you one of Goebbels’ speech writers in an earlier life? The Jews are just ordinary people trying to worship their God. They don’t want to harm anyone and they don’t want anyone to harm them. Why should they be forbidden from living where their ancestors lived? Why should they be reviled for believing in their God? They didn’t march anyone into death camps – it was done to them. They are the victims.**

The eternal victims, perhaps? Haven’t they become tired of it yet, sickened? Why do they insist on struggling on? They must detest all the rest of us to have so much conviction that they’re right and we’re all wrong. Do they think we’re stupid, crazy? The Nazis called themselves the herrenrasse, the master race, but they had nothing on the Jews. Who can compare with the Chosen People? When you call yourselves that, you’re immediately declaring that all the others are the unchosen people, the *üntermenschen*, the subhumans rejected by Jehovah.

That’s not what Chosen People means. God chose the Jews for a special task. It wasn’t about being elevated above others.

Really? Keep telling yourself that. Maybe one day you’ll believe it. Nietzsche said about the Chosen People: “The Jews are the most remarkable nation of world history because faced with the question of being or not being, they preferred, with a perfectly uncanny conviction, being at any price.” That’s right, isn’t it? Long after it became absurd to be Jewish, the Jews refused to abandon their Jewishness. Why is that? Why do they insist on being Jewish no matter the price? Sheer perversity?

They’re no different from anyone else. They won’t abandon their identity. Not for you, not for the Nazis, not for anyone. No matter how much you persecute them, they’ll never go away.

So, they exist only to spite others? Their religion isn’t an act of affirmation, it’s not a celebration of truth or joy. It’s just grim defiance, the final two-fingered salute to everyone else. Here we are, and we’re never going away, huh? No one likes us and we don’t care.

They won’t disappear just because you want them to.

Time will tell. The clock is ticking. The number of Jews declines every year. And they hate the rest of the human race so much that they resist reaching out to them for fresh recruits. Their extinction is therefore inevitable.

Now what about Islam?’ That’s even harder to swallow than Judaism. An illiterate tribesman goes into a mountain cave. The Angel Gabriel appears to him and recites the verses of the Koran, instructing the tribesman to memorise them. The tribesman returns home and gets his literate companions to write down what Gabriel told him. The resulting words are called the Koran. Not for one moment are we to imagine that these are the tribesman’s words, or even Gabriel’s. No, they’re the undiluted, unambiguous, unarguable words of Allah.

But things don’t go smoothly. There’s much conflict and many people won’t accept the Koran. So, the tribesman goes back to the cave and again the Angel Gabriel appears and gives him some additional verses. The tribesman takes these back to his community and they are mostly well received, until someone points out that they completely contradict the original verses. So, these are then called the Satanic Verses, and the Angel Gabriel who appeared on the second occasion is now recognised as Satan, trying to deceive the poor tribesman.

Muslims find this tale entirely credible, but no one else does. If you raise the possibility that maybe the first appearance of Gabriel was the Satanic part of the equation, or suggest that Gabriel didn’t appear at all, and all that really happened was that a few men went into a cave and wrote down a few rules about how they thought people should live their lives, you might become detached from your head. There’s no room for doubt in Islam, no possibility of debate. They’re the touchiest people on earth. Draw a cartoon of their Prophet and they’ll kill you; write a novel featuring their prophet and they’ll kill you; make a film about the role of women in Islam and they’ll kill you. Of course, they never consider that the true cause of their suffering is their religion. Like the Jews, they refuse to face the

obvious.

Muslims saw off the heads of anyone who disagrees with them. Their “moral police” hang sixteen-year-old-girls for having sex. They mutilate thieves, behead adulterers, stone homosexuals to death. Is this religion or pathology? Shouting Allahu Akbar as loudly as they can doesn’t make their religion any more credible.

Because they’re desperate for their religion to be true, it *becomes* true, despite the lack of a shred of evidence. That’s what belief is: accepting something as true without any evidence. Some people think their faith is actually evidence. What would you prefer? – to think there are seventy-two virgins waiting for you in Paradise or that there are no virgins waiting for you anywhere, there’s no Paradise and if you blow yourself up in the name of your God, all you’re doing is turning yourself into atoms in the name of nothing. Fantasy or truth – make your choice.

They cling to their errors like drowning men reaching for lifebelts as they strap their martyrdom bomb-belts round their waists, as they cut off the heads of their enemies in the name of their god of peace and compassion. Islam means “submission” they tell you. If you don’t submit to Allah, they’ll kill you. That’s the true meaning of Jihad. It’s the struggle to kill all the infidels who don’t bow to Allah. The martyrs of Jihad have been so successful that Crusader armies now control two Islamic countries. If the Jihadists continue to meet with the same success in their glorious struggle, there soon won’t be a single free Islamic country left on earth. The foreign policy of the madhouse?

This religion is the worship of homicide. In paradise, apart from all the virgins hanging around waiting for the next batch of suicide bombers, all the heads of the infidels, dripping with fresh blood, are placed on great spikes around the walls so that Allah and all his followers can delight in the destruction of the infidel. Paradise, or an abattoir? “We love death more than you love life,” they gleefully chant. “We will build a ladder to Allah made of our enemies’ skulls.” Peace and compassion, right guys? Who are you kidding?

Many Muslims, like many Orthodox Jews, are hideously inbred,

choking on innumerable genetic diseases. They prefer to breed amongst their immediate gene pool than venture “outside” amongst strangers and infidels. Their hatred of others will soon reduce them to freaks and monsters, crippled, enfeebled, sunk in lifelong sickness. They have reaped what they have sown.

To all those Muslims getting enraged as they read these words, and reaching for their guns, bombs and swords, do they think it’s a benign god of peace, love, mercy and tolerance putting those thoughts in your heads, or a violent, evil god of pain, revenge, war and slaughter? Isn’t the latter Satan? Before they don their martyrs’ red headbands, Muslims should bear in mind that no good god would ever accept the bloodstained hand of a murderer. But Satan would...with great pleasure.

Christians are in no position to criticise, of course. They’re so steeped in blood that they often seem like some kind of vampire race. Their holiest image is of a tortured, bleeding man dying on a cross. Their holiest ceremonies commemorate and sanctify blood.

You hear Christians bleating on about what a crime the Holocaust was and yet, according to their Christian faith, every Jew automatically goes to hell because they rejected Jesus Christ.

It shouldn’t have been the Nazis who were on trial at Nuremberg, it should have been Christianity in the dock, facing the death penalty. All that Goering had to say to defend himself was that every good Christian believes that all Jews are destined for hell because they’ve denied Jesus Christ as their Lord and Saviour. What could it possibly matter if the Nazis sent them there a little earlier? How could a prosecutor who believed that the Jews were damned in the next world raise a single word of protest against those who damned them in this? The degree of nauseating, self-serving moralising of those prosecutors takes the breath away. The Christian judges who pronounced sentence on the Nazi leaders were the true monsters.

The Nazi gas chambers were nothing compared with hell – a place of infinite and never-ending suffering – yet those who abhorred the

death camps didn't abhor the idea that all the Jews who died in the camps were certain to go to hell. How can anyone shed a tear about men, women and children being turned to smoke in the Nazi crematoria while simultaneously believing in a religion which says that those same victims go straight to the eternal crematoria of hell? From an earthly hell to actual hell.

Don't you think the Christian prosecutors at Nuremberg should themselves have been put on trial for their belief that every Jew automatically goes to hell? How could they possibly condemn the Nazis for merely accelerating the process? The extermination camps were the logical product of Christianity, Christianity with the mask removed, the hypocrisy abandoned, the beast fully exposed. If Nazism was guilty, so was Christianity. In fact, why wasn't God himself on trial at Nuremberg for crimes against humanity? No one is more responsible for violence than he is.

How many billions have been slaughtered in his name? No one in their right mind could call him a friend of peace, harmony and love.

"*Extra ecclesiam nulla salus*" means outside the church there's no salvation. That's the official position of the Catholic Church. There are only Catholics in heaven, in other words. Hell is where everywhere else goes, including unbaptised babies, including the aborted foetuses that Catholics spend so much time bleating about. What kind of morality pleads for the life of a foetus yet sends that foetus to hell if it isn't baptised Catholic? Not that Protestants are any better. They say that anyone who hasn't established a direct and personal relationship with their Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ is damned. Naturally, that includes babies. As for the more extreme Protestants, the Presbyterians, they believe in predestination – the bizarre concept that from the moment we're conceived the vast majority of us are either elected to be saved by God's divine will or damned to hell by that same will, and there's absolutely nothing we can do about it. Hardly much point in getting up in the morning, huh?

And what about the Christian judgement on Islam? Read Dante's *Inferno*. The Prophet Mohammed is in the eighth circle of hell, cleaved in two from chin to fundament, with his guts spilling out.

According to Christianity, as soon as any Muslim dies that person immediately joins their Prophet in hell.

Naturally, the Muslims believe that Mohammed isn't in hell. Hell, in fact, is full of Christians, Jews and all the other infidels.

And what of the Jews? They believe that Christians are believers in a False Messiah, an Antichrist. The Christians aren't the new Chosen People, they're the hell-bound people. Can the Jews be in any way surprised that some Christians want to give them a taste of what the Jews think is in store for the Christians?

That's not what the Jews believe about hell. They hardly mention hell at all in their holy books.

We have the *Book of Moses* in our possession. This describes exactly what Jehovah said to Moses when the prophet disappeared on the summit of Mount Sinai for forty days. It's the most savage book you could ever imagine. Jehovah is revealed in his true colours. The Anti-God, God through a glass darkly. An inversion, a perversion, a black hole from which light, hope and truth can never emerge. A better name for the Book of Moses would be the Gospel of Satan.

So, we pronounce our judgment, the verdict of the eternal court of history. We find the People of the Book guilty on all counts, guilty of murder in the first degree, guilty of holding hateful, Satanic beliefs that bring division and war to the world. Their gods are false. The god they truly worship is none other than the Devil. Everything about how they have behaved for millennia proves it. There can be only one sentence for capital crimes against humanity and against the True God – hell everlasting in the Satanic kingdom. It is not for others to send them there. They will send themselves.

The Satanic Verses

Why did the Muslim world react with such horror to Salman Rushdie's novel *The Satanic Verses*? Was it because it gave them an inkling of the horrific truth that their religion is Satanic in origin?

Cognitive Dissonance

When people have invested a huge amount of their life into something and then receive a shocking piece of news that throws their beliefs into doubt, how do they respond? Some realise that their belief system is no longer tenable and take the brave step of abandoning it. They begin their search for something new. Others downplay the news and say that they always had doubts anyway, and this is just a new doubt to be added to the others.

But the theory of cognitive dissonance makes an astonishing prediction that many people will reject the news entirely, and actually redouble their faith in their belief system. In other words, the more their beliefs are challenged, the more they will reaffirm their beliefs. They will view with hatred the messenger who delivered the news, and may conclude that the messenger was sent by Satan to tempt and corrupt them.

Rushdie's book highlighted an old story that Mohammed, having had the Koran dictated to him by the Angel Gabriel, had a later encounter with Gabriel in which additional Koranic verses were added. It soon became obvious that these new verses were incompatible with the earlier verses, and they were quickly removed from the Koran. The conclusion of Muslims was that Satan had taken the form of Gabriel in the later encounter and tried to corrupt Allah's sacred words by introducing new verses. So, these forbidden verses became known as the Satanic verses, and many Muslims attempted to pretend they never existed.

If they did then Mohammed is revealed as a flawed prophet who was duped by Satan. And if he was duped once then why not twice? Why can't it be concluded that the whole of the Koran is Satanic?

Now you understand why Rushdie's book was so incendiary. He shone a light on the deadliest weakness of Islam: the fact that Satan deceived their great prophet.

But if this Satanic deception is true of Islam then why not of Christianity and Judaism too? And why should it not also be true of many conspiracy theories? How do you distinguish God's truth from Satan's? After all, is the latter not the Father of Lies and brilliantly skilled at it?

Many conspiracy theorists refuse to accept that their ideas have been conclusively refuted in many cases. Cognitive dissonance prevents them from acknowledging the truth. They have invested so much of their “soul” into their beliefs that it has actually become impossible for them to backtrack. They are permanently locked into lies and delusions.

Do you believe that Icke, Jones, Makow et al, the cheerleaders of conspiracy world, could ever admit their errors? Of course not. Anything that does not fit their system is rejected and attributed to the cunning of their enemies. But what distinguishes this approach from madness? A lunatic in an asylum who thinks he’s Napoleon will never agree that he’s mad. He will say that his enemies are trying to deceive him.

Would you ever accept that your beliefs had been comprehensively refuted? And if you wouldn’t, aren’t you just the same as all the mad Napoleons?

Is any Jew, Christian, or Muslim *capable* of admitting error? If they express any misgivings they court eternal hellfire. Wouldn’t *you* believe in those circumstances, out of sheer terror? These are the religions of *Fear*. And where there is fear there is control. These religions are, at root, systems of global control ruling through how much terror they inspire. It is no surprise that these Terrorist religions spawn so many murderous terrorists, whether they are wearing civilian clothes, paramilitary gear or uniforms of official armies.

But who are the controllers? Who is Chief Controller?

Don’t Believe The False Prophets

To think that you will be saved by saying, “I believe in Jesus Christ, my Lord and Saviour”, or “I believe in Allah and his prophet Mohammed”, or “I believe in Yahweh and the Torah” is absurd. You will not. You will be damned. Faith is Satan’s greatest trick. The faithful turn away from knowledge and are thereby lost.

Whom should you believe? Christ, Mohammed, Moses, Abraham,

St Paul, St Peter, Martin Luther, the Pope? All the rest? The only way to test conflicting claims is by bringing the fruits of knowledge to bear, but that is the one thing you cannot do if you have subordinated knowledge to faith. The truth could not be simpler: most Christians follow Christ because they were raised to do so by their parents. Most Muslims follow the Koran and most Jews the Torah for exactly the same reason. If they were brought up differently, in different cultures, their beliefs would be entirely different. So of what value are these beliefs? How many believers have read all the holy books of rival religions? Hence how can they say that their holy book is right and the others are wrong? Invariably, they cite their faith. They don't need to search further, they say, because faith has been placed in their hearts. Who placed it there, and why is it different between Christians, Jews and Muslims? Religion based on faith is for people who are too stupid to understand the true nature of existence. Faith is for those who are too lazy to put in any effort. Faith goes hand in hand with brainwashing and self-delusion.

God is not concerned with saving people who are on their knees before him. God loves only with those who desire to emulate him. The ancient religion of Illumination rejects all those who cower in fear before their ludicrous gods. God wants us to join him. Those he helps, those he saves, are those who say, "I want to stand beside you," not those who abjectly prostrate themselves before him.

Nothing is more offensive to the True God than that people should be so alienated from themselves and from him as to believe that he is seeking worship. Can you imagine God's horror when madmen fly airplanes into buildings and then offer up this mass slaughter of themselves and of innocent men, women and children, as some "noble" sacrifice to him? Is God a psychopath? He would have to be to accept such a "gift". Why is it that so many people who have faith seem to believe that God is deranged and wants blood and death served up to him? Religion on earth has been nothing but the history of violence. Can there be any doubt about it? Is that the work of the True God or of Satan? Only Satan wants blood sacrifice. For all the claims of love, peace, charity, kindness, tolerance and respect

trumpeted by the religions of faith, they have served up nothing but the opposite. These religions are Satan's toxic brew.

The Illuminati were pacifists originally but abandoned this position after the relentless persecution they endured at the hands, especially, of the Catholic Church. Now they support violence in the limited contexts of self-defence and the overthrow of tyranny, but they would never claim that they are fighting on behalf of God. They fight on their own behalf. God has no need of soldiers and does not want bloody massacres carried out in his name. There are no "holy" warriors. It's a contradiction in terms.

The gift God is looking for from you is that of maximising your own potential, of enhancing that precious element within you which is godlike. He wants you to offer your own divinity to him. He has no truck with priests and popes, rabbis and imams. If you seek salvation through others you are already lost. Salvation comes from within, and from deep knowledge of yourself. "Know Thyself" was inscribed on the Temple of Apollo at Delphi in ancient Greece. "Know Thyself" is one of the central tenets of the Illuminati. And, indeed, "Know Others."

Illumination is the religion that dispenses with all holy men and prophets. They are all false. They are all charlatans. They are all instruments of Satan. Illumination guides the righteous on the twisting, treacherous path to the truth. If you think that all the answers will be laid on a plate for you, or in some ancient, dusty, holy text, and that you just need to say, "I believe", then you have already failed to pass the most basic part of God's test.

Before you can begin to understand Illumination, you must recognise that you contain a divine spark and that your mission, over many incarnations, is to burnish that divine element, to make it gleam and glint so brightly that God will recognise you from the other side of the infinite universe. The murmuring masses on their knees are invisible to him. They do not merit being seen.

Do you want to be God? To the religions of faith, such a statement is blasphemy and heresy. But bear this in mind – all great people wish to be surrounded by other great people, by equals rather than slaves.

Would a man prefer the company of apes who worshipped him, or of other men who were as meritorious as he was? God is the greatest person of all, and he wants to be in the company of those who can appreciate his divinity. Only other gods can offer what he seeks. He is nothing like Yahweh, the Jewish deity who proclaimed himself a jealous God. Why would God be jealous? It is a category error. A jealous God is no God at all. God wants to admire us as much as we want to admire him. We have to prove that we are worthy of him. So, are we?

In the series of books concerning the teachings of the Illuminati, of which this book constitutes one part, we provide a detailed and comprehensive account of the issues that inform the philosophical view of reality to which the Illuminati subscribe. This is the worldview from which the tenets of Illumination arise. It covers a great deal of philosophical territory and highlights flaws in many metaphysical theories. It is not easy material, but it may point truth seekers in the right direction. Do not *believe* it – read it, digest it, think about it, and decide whether it accords with your own knowledge. The Illuminati seek no one infected by the disease of faith. If you want to spend your life on your knees, go to a church, mosque or synagogue.

What is truly extraordinary is that the sinister truth of Jesus Christ is barely concealed by Christian teachings. Much of the astonishing story is clearly set out in the four Gospels, and yet is consistently ignored or misinterpreted. The vast majority of Christians will never accept it what is right in front of their eyes. Why? Because they have “faith” i.e. selective blindness.

It’s useful to contrast faith with science (knowledge). One definition of a scientific theory is that it must be capable of being falsified – it must make predictions that, if not borne out, will cause it to be judged false. If the predictions of Einstein’s various theories had not been experimentally verified then scientists would not have embraced them as they have. All scientific theories are provisional because, at any time, new experimental data can refute them. Newtonian physics, which had been successful for centuries (and remains successful in most situations encountered in everyday life),

was eventually superseded by Einsteinian physics because in specific situations where the two theories predicted different outcomes, the experimental data vindicated Einstein and refuted Newton.

Towards the end of the 19th century virtually no scientist would have anticipated that Newtonian physics was about to be replaced; nevertheless, it happened. This is one of the greatest strengths of science: the most cherished of theories can be discarded if the facts demand it. So why have Christianity, Islam and Judaism not gone the same way as disproved scientific theories?

It's because they rely on faith, the enemy of reason. If Newtonian physics were a faith-based religion, we would still have "Newtonians" stomping around condemning the "Einsteinians" as dangerous heretics who should be exterminated and cast into hell. No matter what the Einsteinians said, no matter how much proof they offered, the Newtonians would never change their minds.

Science spares us that tragedy. Mainstream religion does not.

Are people like Osama bin Laden, the Pope, and the Chief Rabbi capable of holding different opinions from those they currently espouse? Is there anything they would accept as evidence that they are wrong? If not, aren't they just brainwashed automatons, or mindless extremists with a fanatical and irrational adherence to the position they have adopted? One thing's for sure: these people can't all be right since they believe in entirely different things.

There are thousands of religions, all making radically different claims. Here's a question for everyone following any of these different belief systems. Is there anything that would stop you believing? Would you accept any kind of "falsification principle" being applied to your religion? Is there any "fact" you would accept as a refutation of your chosen religion? If you cannot formulate such a possibility then doesn't it mean that you believe blindly? If you proudly state that your faith is "unshakable" then are you not saying that you aren't prepared to accept anything as evidence against your beliefs? Therefore, your beliefs constitute an irrational fanaticism, divorced from reality. The difference between you and an insane person is merely a matter of degree since a madman also adheres to

unreasonable, unverifiable beliefs that he will never abandon under any circumstances.

Scientists look for anomalies and inconsistencies. They seek data that doesn't conform to the expected results. That's where quantum mechanics came from. Classical physics couldn't account for a number of observed phenomena so had to be discarded, no matter how painful. Do religious believers look for anomalies, for reasons *not* to believe? And, if they don't, aren't their beliefs worthless? They could literally believe anything if they are never willing to challenge their beliefs. Every religious person ought to be skeptical because why would the True God want to associate with fools and blind believers? Why would that please him?

Virtually all religions are designed to brainwash people into a state where they become "mad" i.e. they will buy into the particular religion hook, line and sinker and nothing will ever dent their faith. Look at the millions of Muslims participating in the annual pilgrimage to Mecca. Do they ever give the impression that they are thoughtful, independently minded men and women who are open to criticism of their religion capable of questioning their beliefs? Or do they resemble a mind-controlled horde that will tear to shreds anyone who rejects their beliefs? Islam, more than any other religion, is the angry scream of the lynch mob. Muslims went berserk because of a cartoon in a Danish magazine depicting Mohammed as a terrorist. People were killed. Danish products were boycotted. Imams spoke out against the "evils" of free speech. Someone tried to murder the cartoonist. Is this sane behaviour? Is faith sane? Or is it just another word for madness? The search for gnosis – the highest knowledge – is the opposite of faith.

Since Christianity is the world's dominant religion, we particularly challenge all Christians to consider what facts they might accept as refutation of their religion. Every aspect of Christianity has been put under a microscope and found wanting. Nothing other than blind, defiant belief has survived the forensic analysis of Christianity.

The question becomes not whether Christianity (or any of the other main religions for that matter) is true but why so many people

continue to believe in a religion that is illogical, incoherent, contradictory, incredible, ludicrous and whose myriad failings have been highlighted in endless books. The answer is simple. People will believe anything if it gives them a “system” with which they feel comfortable. Christianity provides people with a moral framework, a history, a community, a worldwide family, a hope of eternal life, a hope of paradise. Above all, it gives them an identity. The fact that the religion is unbelievable and manifestly false is neither here nor there as far as they are concerned.

To abandon Christianity is a step so terrifying to Christians that the vast majority will never take it. Those who do lapse from Christianity are those who never fully engaged with it in the first place, hence their identity was not defined by it. Once your identity is exclusively delimited by a religion then you’re in real trouble because to abandon your religion is to lose your identity. A Jehovah’s Witness wrote to us to say how disgusted he was with his religion and how he now wanted to worship Lucifer, the god of light. He poured out his revulsion for the Jehovah’s Witnesses. A few months later, he said he had become “terrified” and had begged to be allowed back into the Jehovah’s Witnesses. We weren’t surprised. Many people are the victims of this horrendous mind control that will never surrender its hold of them.

Jews endured millennia of horrific persecutions and expulsions, culminating in the Holocaust. How could anyone continue to believe in Jehovah (Yahweh) after he failed to lift a finger to prevent any of this? Can there be any rational basis for belief in Yahweh as a “good”, trustworthy, honourable God who has entered into the holiest, most solemn covenant with his “chosen people”? This is demonstrably the worst contract in the history of the world; all the more surprising since so many Jews are lawyers. No sane person would continue to abide by it. It was rescinded long ago by the complete failure of one of the parties to honour the agreement. Faithful Jews only believe in Yahweh now as an act of hateful protest against the rest of the world. The Protocols of the Elders of Zion are known to be fakes, but that doesn’t mean the plan doesn’t exist. Some Jews, their elite, will never be satisfied until they have fully avenged themselves against the world.

Mammon has replaced Yahweh as their true God. Banks are the synagogues of Mammon. Wall Street is the Third Temple. Money is their new Ark of the Covenant by which they commune most solemnly with their God. This isn't true of all Jews, of course: just the ones who want to dominate the rest of us. The others are entirely innocent.

The early Church leader Tertullian said of Christianity: "It is true because it is absurd. I believe because it is impossible." That is still the basis of Christianity. Insanity.

People are Christians because they are scared not to be. Imagine having to understand the meaning of life without having Christianity to fall back on, without all of that comforting brainwashing, so deeply ingrained as to become second nature. The Christians are not alone, of course. All of the mainstream religions play the same game. It's not the "truth value" of these religions that anyone cares about, but the rituals, the comfort, the consolation, the hope and the simplistic means for understanding life that such religions offer. These religions are psychological rather than philosophical. And precisely because of that, they cannot be shaken by "facts". They are immune to challenge. An atheist such as Richard Dawkins wastes his time trying to debate with them. He has failed to understand that he is attacking their identity but not offering to replace religion with anything that has any of the same psychological power and benefit.

Anyone who seeks to topple the false gods must offer better gods. Those who offer the sterile vacuum of atheism can't succeed. Few people are psychologically capable of maintaining an atheistic mindset. Even the fierce atheist Voltaire supposedly converted to Catholicism on his deathbed.

Illumination is concerned with both the truth and with psychological well-being. The aspect of Illumination that deals with the truth is highly scientific and philosophical. This aspect has a specific form. However, the psychological aspect can have whatever form is suitable to prepare the initiate for the more complex aspect. Members of the Illuminati can choose any personal form of religious devotion they like, and many choose to focus on the ancient gods and

rituals of the Greeks, Romans, Celts, Egyptians, Norse, Persians, Babylonians etc. Particularly favoured gods and goddesses are Mithras, Apollo, Aphrodite, Dionysus, Demeter, Ra, Lucifer, Minerva and Janus. None of these is regarded as the “True God” (who is named Abraxas by the Illuminati) but they are all psychologically valuable. No Illuminatus follows any of the gods of the mainstream religions, all of which are manifestations of the Demiurge, psychotic and profoundly damaging psychologically.

While it is vital for any credible religion to be concerned with truth, it is just as important for the psychological aspects of religion to be healthy and inspiring. In this respect, everyone should be free to choose their own gods and rituals. Religions such as the Abrahamic ones that impose rigid, exclusive ways of worship on their followers are obnoxious, oppressive, and tyrannical and ought to be opposed by all decent, thinking people. The Hindus, with their mixture of polytheism for everyday religious devotion and monotheism for their abstract, philosophical thinking, have a far healthier model of religion.

Religion And Modern Gnosticism

One of the objects of modern Gnosticism is to bring about a religious reformation and renaissance, to escape from the religions of control, tyranny, fear and power to those of self-expression, creativity, liberation, diversity and enlightenment. First and foremost we mean a religion of psychological well-being that can be shaped by each and every person in their own image i.e. in terms of what makes sense to them and what they enjoy. They can turn to religions of the past (as the Illuminati do), or devise their own new religions. It doesn't actually matter what “outer form” religion takes so long as it prepares the person to seek the truth.

Anyone who demands that you follow rules, regulations and commandments, who demands that you wear certain clothes, eat certain foods, pray five times a day, perform certain religious tasks each week, do nothing on the Sabbath etc., is a false prophet working

for Satan. Let no one control you. Each person should approach the True God in their own way. There is no prescribed way of seeking God, no holy book cast in stone, no prophet whom everyone must obey. Reject them all. Religion is yours, not theirs. You are in control of it, not them. And once you have freed yourself of the control of others then your mind will be free to turn to God and to comprehend his true nature.

The religion of absolute truth is the religion that succeeds the religion of psychological well-being. You cannot find the former until you have achieved the latter.

If you were starting a new religion tomorrow, what message would you want the world to hear? The “good” quotations from the Christian Gospels that are commonly trumpeted by Christians are universal principles. They are not the property of Christianity. All religions, even those controlled by Satan, have good things to say. The important task is to filter out and refine the good and reject the bad.

Wouldn't the world be a much healthier place if each and every person had their own religion, based on the collective wisdom of all the world's religions, ancient and modern?

The First Commandment is this: Let no one give you any Commandments. It is for you to create your own. If you are a good and decent person you will come up with good and decent rules by which to live your life.

Zion

The most paradoxical people on earth are the Jews. They refer to themselves as the Chosen People, but why does the Covenant between God and the Jews seem like the worst deal in history? Or is there a more profound truth?

The Covenant

The Jews are the most persecuted people in history. They often blame

Christianity for their troubles, but they were persecuted long before Christianity came to prominence. The Egyptians, the Babylonians, and the Romans all persecuted the Jews, and none were Christian. The Jews fought with the Canaanites and the Philistines, and these were not Christian peoples.

In medieval times, Christian England and Spain expelled their entire Jewish populations. Many European countries made the Jews wear yellow badges. There were regular pogroms against the Jews in Russia. Most European nations experienced outbreaks of extreme violence against Jewish communities. Then came the Holocaust – the most terrible persecution in history. Many people were ashamed of what was done to the Jews. The Jews attracted widespread sympathy. They were allowed to create a homeland in Palestine, a land already inhabited. War erupted. Arab countries tried to wipe out the new nation, but it was supported by America, the greatest power in the world, and survived. Now, many countries are again reporting rises in anti-Semitism. Israel is probably the most disliked nation on earth.

The Zionists continually refer to sinister anti-Semitic forces gathering against them. Never once have the Zionists asked themselves why their religion has attracted so much trouble. Always, others are to blame. No blame ever attaches to Zion.

There are only 13.2 million Jews in the world, 0.2% of the world's population. There are 5.6 million Jews in Israel, and 5.3 million in the USA. This is one of the least successful religions ever, yet Jews seem to have a disproportionate influence on world affairs. Why?

Ironically, in the Book of Genesis (Gen 22:17 & 18), Jehovah says, "I will surely bless you and make your descendants as numerous as the stars in the sky and as the sand on the seashore. Your descendants will take possession of the cities of their enemies, and through your offspring all nations on earth will be blessed, because you have obeyed me."

So much for that. The Jews are hardly numerous, and the Israelis seem to spend a lot of time killing children in Gaza in Palestine. Are Jews blessed? World history might suggest that "cursed" is more accurate. Being a faithful servant of Jehovah seems to lead to little but

misfortune.

The Jewish claim to Israel is based on the idea that it was promised to them by God. But 99.8% of the world's population do not believe in the God of the Jews. And we know from the Old Testament that the Jews had to fight a savage war for the "Promised Land", in which "God" actively participated on the side of the Hebrews. Why did this all-powerful, benevolent "God" not send his Chosen People to a land of milk and honey that did not contain other people, and did not necessitate a violent struggle to win the land? Why did he fight on behalf of the Jews? What sort of God takes part in battles and wars? Doesn't this sound more like Satan than God?

Would not the history of the Jews be more comprehensible if instead of being the Chosen People of a benevolent God, they were something quite different? Why do Jews continue to believe in their God when trouble has dogged them wherever they have gone? Why did God help them in Biblical times, but raised not a finger on their behalf during the Holocaust? This is one very odd God. No wonder Jews stand at the Wailing Wall to worship him. Wailing is all you can do when you worship such a capricious creature. There is no evidence that God loves them.

Who would want to enter into a sacred Covenant with such a God? What would be the point? He delivers no joy, just trouble, persecution and ever-declining numbers.

But perhaps there was no Covenant between the Hebrew God and his people. Perhaps the real Covenant was between the Hebrew God and certain Jewish families, not all Jews. There are a small number of well-known Jewish families – notorious might be a more apt description – who have avoided all of the troubles that have afflicted their race. Instead, they prosper to a bewildering degree, and they have done so for millennia. They possess huge wealth, power and influence. Governments bow to them.

They, not the Jews as a whole, look like they have been "blessed" by a higher power. Is this then the true Covenant? Is it a Faustian pact? Did these leading Jewish families sell their souls to Satan?

The Jews, as a people, are not conspiring against the world. Such a

thought is crazy. And if they go out of their way to help each other, so what? Wouldn't you if you had suffered the persecution they have endured over the centuries?

But when a small cabal of elite Jewish families exerts undue control over world affairs – that is a quite different matter. Then all sympathy must be shed. Anti-Semitism is disgraceful and must be condemned, but that does not mean that the eye of suspicion should not fall on a small number of Jewish families whose machinations affect us all. We have every right to examine the affairs of these families. Let no one brand such an exercise anti-Semitic. We are sick of listening to that accusation, designed to camouflage the conspiracies of the Jewish elite.

Do you think that Skull and Bones, Bohemian Grove, the Bilderberg Group, modern Freemasons, Wall Street boardrooms etc are benign, harmless groups who are taking no steps to shape the world in their image? And you think no one stands behind them?

In the circles in which we move, we have had direct contact with the archons of the Demiurge. They are not ridiculous comic-book “demons” from the pages of medieval Christian propaganda. Rather, they are exactly the sort of people who are experts in areas such as game theory and know precisely how to set ordinary people against each other, and to hold back humanity. Their mission is to support the agenda of the Demiurge and there has never been any doubt about what the Demiurge's agenda is. Just try reading the Torah, the Bible and the Koran.

The Demiurge wants the whole of humanity – six and a half billion people – lying prostrate before him, averting their eyes from him, worshipping him, glorifying him, doing whatever he wants no matter how insane (as in the story of Abraham and Isaac). He is Ego taken to its limitless extent. He is the essence of Narcissism. He cares nothing for others, only for himself. He is the definition of Selfishness and Self-interest.

He feeds on fear. He is a terrorist. He said to Abraham, “Now I know that thou fearest God, and hast not spared thy only begotten son for my sake.”

What manner of God wants to be feared? No God at all. It is Satan who wants to make the world cower before him, who rules through Terror, through the threat of infinite and eternal punishment for disobeying him.

We see echoes of the Demiurge's narcissism, selfishness and egotism everywhere. They are branded on our world. Look at celebrity culture, at "royalty", at the super rich, at the "upper class", the "nobility", the "Elite", the "aristocracy". Our entire culture is saturated with the influence of the Demiurge.

The Jews at the Wailing Wall are worshipping the Demiurge, the millions of Muslims at Mecca are worshipping the Demiurge, the Christians who go to church every Sunday are worshipping the Demiurge.

Do any of these people talk about glorifying humanity, of releasing maximum human potential, of raising humanity up to become God?

You must be joking if you think the Abrahamic faiths are about anything other than blind obedience – even to the extent of suicidal mass murder (so-called "martyrdom" operations) – to the universe's supreme tyrant.

You imagine that the Abrahamic faiths happened by accident? That all the horror and evil, the slaughter and persecutions, the intolerance and hatred, just arose by themselves, without a directing intelligence?

In fact, we don't actually care whether people buy into the reality of the Demiurge and the archons or not. All we really care about is that, one way or another, people should recognise that humanity is far from fulfilling its potential and that there are various "forces", however you want to define them, that are holding humanity back. Those who are an obstacle to human progress must be removed. Those who stand in the way of every person on earth being treated as unique and wonderful individuals who must be cherished, cultivated and helped towards maximum self-actualisation, must be vanquished.

Do You Have Free Will?

Scottish philosopher David Hume said the question of the nature of

free will is “the most contentious question of metaphysics.”

Most people take it for granted that they exercise free will, yet the evidence seems to indicate overwhelmingly that they don't.

1) If God knows everything, including the future, that means he knows what you are going to do before you do it. Hence you have no choice about what you are going to do. Hence you have no free will.

2) Everything has a cause. Human actions, choices and decisions have a cause. If something has a cause, a specific effect will inevitably follow. If you are part of an inexorable chain of cause and effect, at what point can you make a free choice? According to the philosophical position known as determinism, there is no such point. Hence you have no free will.

3) According to the contrary position – indeterminism – some human actions, choices and decisions, do not have a cause and hence are free. But if an action is uncaused i.e. there is no reason for it, then is it not random, purposeless and pointless? Hence there may be free will, but it is contrary to the cause and effect evident all around us, and it results in uncaused, random behaviour. And that is not what anyone realistically means by free will.

4) Compatibilism asserts that a distinction must be drawn between causation and compulsion. An action can have a cause and still be free provided that no compulsion (such as having a gun put to your head) is involved. But if the causes of your actions are ultimately given to you by your genes and/or by your childhood environment where your character was moulded, then these were things over which you had no control, hence your choices and actions are governed by forces outside your control, hence you have no free will.

5) Agent causation theory says that an action is free when an agent, and nothing else, causes it to happen. But there is no clear definition of what a free agent actually is. Is it the free originator of an action or is it the constrained by-product of forces over which it had no control (such as genes or environment)?

6) Imagine that a supercomputer could be built that could create a complete simulation of both you and the world you live in. Imagine that every detail of your life was perfectly programmed into it. Imagine that the simulation of you and your world was run a day in advance of your life and it transpired that 99% of the simulation exactly matched what you actually did the following day. Most people are extremely predictable. Their daily routines are well known. Their tastes are known. Amazon can send you book recommendations based on your previous book choices and mostly get it right. Advertisers can easily manipulate you. Opinion pollsters can accurately determine your likely voting preferences simply from your zip code/postcode. Friends and family can predict what gifts you will like and dislike. You yourself know the experiences you are likely to enjoy and those you will probably hate. You seek out the former and avoid the latter. Your life seems to run along railroad tracks. So in what way are you really free? Hence do you really have free will?

Free will is essential to Illumination. Without it, there is no morality. People must be free to choose, but if their freedom turns out to be illusory then no one can be rewarded or punished for making the “wrong” choices. Religion is meaningless without free will. Everyone might as well be an automaton carrying out pre-programmed instructions.

Illumination teaches the following:

1) God has no foreknowledge of what anyone will do and makes no attempt to predict what anyone will do. Why would he? Concepts such as predestination and determinism are anathema to morality.

2) Imagine a long line of dominoes, expertly set up so that once the first is pushed the last will definitely fall over in due course. This is a model of the chain of cause and effect in the physical world. But if dominoes are replaced by humans, what then? Many humans might be happy to act as dominoes but perhaps a group near the end will decide that they no longer want to stand in line and will walk away before they are pushed by those behind. The chain of cause and effect will be broken. But how is that possible? Aren't humans part of the physical

world, of the chain of physical cause and effect? Illumination teaches that the physical and mental worlds are two aspects of the same world, and control can be switched from one to another depending on the strength of mind present in the situation. This means that four different types of cause and effect are possible: a) physical cause and physical effect (the domain of science) b) mental cause and physical effect (the domain where we mentally choose to carry out physical actions) c) mental cause and mental effect (the process of organised thinking) d) physical cause and mental effect (the senses collect the physical information that is used by mental processes).

The chain of physical cause and physical effect can run parallel to the chain of mental cause and mental effect. The chains of physical cause and mental effect and mental cause and physical effect allow the physical to impact upon the mental and vice versa. In the case of dominoes, there is insufficient mind to alter the chain of physical cause and effect. In the case of humans, sufficient mind is present and can lead to control being transferred from the chain of physical cause and effect to an alternative chain of mental cause and mental effect (making plans to do something else) and mental cause and physical effect (walking away to carry out the alternative activity).

In the absence of strong mind, there is no free will, only the chain of physical cause and physical effect, but where strong mind exists, it can choose to break free from the chain of physical cause and physical effect and replace it with an alternative chain of mental cause and physical effect. It is the fact that different chains of cause and effect are available to us and that we can choose one over another that allows us to say that we have free will. But is it genuine free will or are our choices somehow foisted on us?

3) If the mind of a human is shaped by physical factors such as genetic inheritance or childhood environmental inheritance (over which no human has any control), is the mind ever free? Isn't it the slave of factors not of its choosing? Does a person with stupid parents and raised in a poor, crime-infested ghetto have true free will? Can he genuinely choose any course of action, or must he necessarily follow a path laid down for him by his poor-quality genes and hostile

environment? Are criminals born or made? If children born in slums were taken away from their parents and raised in the homes of the richest people in the land, and given the finest education available, wouldn't their "free" choices be entirely different?

In other words, is a person's character the product of factors outwith itself? If so, no one can be judged on the basis of their character since that character would be radically different if the processes that formed it were changed e.g. by being removed from a deprived environment and placed in a privileged one. Illumination teaches that reincarnation is the way out of the impasse. In a particular life, a person's choices are constrained by the circumstances of his life, most of which are outside of his control, but over a number of lives freely chosen by a soul, the soul's true character can emerge.

If a person raised in a violent slum behaves violently, can he really be condemned? If a person born in privilege, with every advantage laid on a plate for him, leads a respectable, prosperous life, can he really be praised? Surely not. Yet in the religions of Christianity, Islam and Judaism, a soul can be condemned to hell for eternity on the basis of the outcome of a single lifetime. In these religions, no consideration whatsoever is given to the circumstances in which someone is born and raised. (The soul is imagined to be a free agent, completely unaffected by genes and environment: a scientifically absurd position to hold.) There is no justice in these cruel, Satanic religions. A soul can justly be judged and condemned only if, over a number of incarnations, it consistently chose the worse over the better, good over evil. A single life is no basis for judging a soul; a soul must be tested over a number of incarnations.

Evil

Satan is Rex Mundi – king of the world – controller of our planet. He wields his power through the archons – the ultimate puppetmasters – a group of 144 of the most extraordinary individuals on earth. They are the ones who stand behind the Old World Order, the group of

approximately 6,000 people who visibly run the world. Behind every decision taken by our leaders, the archons are pulling the strings. Theirs is not a perverse and childishly wicked agenda. Far from it. If they were to make themselves known and speak directly to the world, many of the credulous masses would start worshipping them. They say precisely what most people want to hear. It is for that reason that they are so successful. Theirs is not a crude tyranny, built on jackboots, guns, chains and microchip implants in the brain. They have no need of force when the cows go willingly into the abattoir. They need no “New World Order” to keep doing what they have been accomplishing for millennia.

Understanding the nature of evil is one of the first steps on the road to illumination, and that means understanding Satan, the author of evil. Satan is not a comic-book monster, but the most brilliant psychologist. He is the author of the Torah, the Bible and the Koran: three texts that have dominated world history and attracted billions of followers between them. (As for Satan’s relationship with eastern religions such as Hinduism and Buddhism, that is something that forms the subject of one of the other books in this series. The Eastern religions present a radically different view from their Western counterparts hence must be examined separately.)

Satan can speak of beauty, love, goodness, peace and harmony without the slightest blush of shame. Those who think that Satan does nothing but carry out acts of blatant evil are deluded. Evil is often subtle, even superficially charming. Evil can wear every mask. If you hear a person being described as “holy”, there’s a good chance you are seeing evil at work in its most insidious form.

Satan’s task is simple. He must prevent humanity from seeing the light. Any tactic is open to him. He can seduce humanity with riches, success, fame and sex. He can create religions that claim to say, “Love thy neighbour.” He can promote the family as the greatest good. You will frequently hear people saying that they will do anything for their family. And they will. They will lie, cheat, trample over others, stab others in the back, all to ensure that their family prospers. They don’t care that for their family to succeed it’s frequently the case that other

families must fail.

Virtually no one would condemn parents for doing the best for their children, yet that is the basis of the power of the Old World Order. Rich parents buy advantages for their children, secure them the finest educations, the best jobs in the most powerful firms. They become the leaders of society, and members of self-serving secret societies such as the Freemasons. They become part of self-perpetuating dynasties that wield incredible power, generation after generation. And all in the name of a principle that most ordinary people actively applaud – putting the family first. The two most successful and Satanic political parties in the world are the Republicans in the USA and the Conservatives in the UK. Both promote family values and are staunch defenders of every aspect of the family, especially regarding the property rights of families.

Historian A.J.P. Taylor said, “If men are to respect each other for what they are, they must cease to respect each other for what they own.” That is the voice of Illumination. The voice of Satan whispers otherwise: property is the greatest good. Possess as many things as you can, the bigger and more expensive the better. Such is Satan’s seductive message. Humans, in the name of “good, noble and high” values will behave like monsters. In the name of good, evil triumphs.

No one should think of Satan as a fiery archon, standing at the edge of a blazing pit into which the damned, in an endless line, are being hurled. Satan himself created this image long ago to terrify superstitious people and make them submit to his dark will. If you go into Islamic schools, you will find teachers in hijabs, niqabs and burqas spending an inordinate amount of time telling impressionable children that if they obey the Koran they will go to paradise but if they disobey they will burn in hell for eternity. Imagine the impact that message has on young minds. Those images are branded forever on the imagination.

The same sort of teaching used to take place in Catholic schools. James Joyce wrote about it in all of its vivid horror in *A Portrait Of The Artist As A Young Man*. If it doesn’t frighten the life out of you, there’s something wrong with you.

Hell According to James Joyce

(From: A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man)

http://www.online-literature.com/view.php/portrait_artist_young_man/3?term=the%20next%20day%20brought%20death

Father Arnall's Speech:

– Now let us try for a moment to realize, as far as we can, the nature of that abode of the damned which the justice of an offended God has called into existence for the eternal punishment of sinners. Hell is a strait and dark and foul-smelling prison, an abode of demons and lost souls, filled with fire and smoke. The straitness of this prison house is expressly designed by God to punish those who refused to be bound by His laws. In earthly prisons the poor captive has at least some liberty of movement, were it only within the four walls of his cell or in the gloomy yard of his prison. Not so in hell. There, by reason of the great number of the damned, the prisoners are heaped together in their awful prison, the walls of which are said to be four thousand miles thick: and the damned are so utterly bound and helpless that, as a blessed saint, saint Anselm, writes in his book on similitudes, they are not even able to remove from the eye a worm that gnaws it.

– They lie in exterior darkness. For, remember, the fire of hell gives forth no light. As, at the command of God, the fire of the Babylonian furnace lost its heat but not its light, so, at the command of God, the fire of hell, while retaining the intensity of its heat, burns eternally in darkness. It is a never ending storm of darkness, dark flames and dark smoke of burning brimstone, amid which the bodies are heaped one upon another without even a glimpse of air. Of all the plagues with which the land of the Pharaohs were smitten one plague alone, that of darkness, was called horrible. What name, then, shall we give to the darkness of hell which is to last not for three days alone but for all eternity?

– The horror of this strait and dark prison is increased by its awful stench. All the filth of the world, all the offal and scum of the world, we are told, shall run there as to a vast reeking sewer when the terrible conflagration of the last day has purged the world. The brimstone, too, which burns there in such prodigious quantity fills all hell with its intolerable stench; and the bodies of the damned themselves exhale such a pestilential odour that, as saint Bonaventure says, one of them alone would suffice to infect the whole world. The very air of this world, that pure element, becomes foul and unbreathable when it has been long enclosed. Consider then what must be the foulness of the air of hell. Imagine some foul and putrid corpse that has lain rotting and decomposing in the grave, a jelly-like mass of liquid corruption. Imagine such a corpse a prey to flames, devoured by the fire of burning brimstone and giving off dense choking fumes of nauseous loathsome decomposition. And then imagine this sickening stench, multiplied a millionfold and a millionfold again from the millions upon millions of fetid carcasses massed together in the reeking darkness, a huge and rotting human fungus. Imagine all this, and you will have some idea of the horror of the stench of hell.

– But this stench is not, horrible though it is, the greatest physical torment to which the damned are subjected. The torment of fire is the greatest torment to which the tyrant has ever subjected his fellow creatures. Place your finger for a moment in the flame of a candle and you will feel the pain of fire. But our earthly fire was created by God for the benefit of man, to maintain in him the spark of life and to help him in the useful arts, whereas the fire of hell is of another quality and was created by God to torture and punish the unrepentant sinner. Our earthly fire also consumes more or less rapidly according as the object which it attacks is more or less combustible, so that human ingenuity has even succeeded in inventing chemical preparations to check or frustrate its action. But the sulphurous brimstone which burns in hell is a substance which is specially designed to

burn for ever and for ever with unspeakable fury. Moreover, our earthly fire destroys at the same time as it burns, so that the more intense it is the shorter is its duration; but the fire of hell has this property, that it preserves that which it burns, and, though it rages with incredible intensity, it rages for ever.

– Our earthly fire again, no matter how fierce or widespread it may be, is always of a limited extent; but the lake of fire in hell is boundless, shoreless and bottomless. It is on record that the devil himself, when asked the question by a certain soldier, was obliged to confess that if a whole mountain were thrown into the burning ocean of hell it would be burned up in an instant like a piece of wax. And this terrible fire will not afflict the bodies of the damned only from without, but each lost soul will be a hell unto itself, the boundless fire raging in its very vitals. O, how terrible is the lot of those wretched beings! The blood seethes and boils in the veins, the brains are boiling in the skull, the heart in the breast glowing and bursting, the bowels a red-hot mass of burning pulp, the tender eyes flaming like molten balls.

– And yet what I have said as to the strength and quality and boundlessness of this fire is as nothing when compared to its intensity, an intensity which it has as being the instrument chosen by divine design for the punishment of soul and body alike. It is a fire which proceeds directly from the ire of God, working not of its own activity but as an instrument of Divine vengeance. As the waters of baptism cleanse the soul with the body, so do the fires of punishment torture the spirit with the flesh. Every sense of the flesh is tortured and every faculty of the soul therewith: the eyes with impenetrable utter darkness, the nose with noisome odours, the ears with yells and howls and execrations, the taste with foul matter, leprous corruption, nameless suffocating filth, the touch with red-hot goads and spikes, with cruel tongues of flame. And through the several torments of the senses the immortal soul is tortured eternally in its very essence amid the leagues upon leagues of glowing fires kindled in the abyss by the offended majesty of the Omnipotent God and fanned into everlasting and

ever-increasing fury by the breath of the anger of the God-head.

– Consider finally that the torment of this infernal prison is increased by the company of the damned themselves. Evil company on earth is so noxious that the plants, as if by instinct, withdraw from the company of whatsoever is deadly or hurtful to them. In hell all laws are overturned – there is no thought of family or country, of ties, of relationships. The damned howl and scream at one another, their torture and rage intensified by the presence of beings tortured and raging like themselves. All sense of humanity is forgotten. The yells of the suffering sinners fill the remotest corners of the vast abyss. The mouths of the damned are full of blasphemies against God and of hatred for their fellow sufferers and of curses against those souls which were their accomplices in sin. In olden times it was the custom to punish the parricide, the man who had raised his murderous hand against his father, by casting him into the depths of the sea in a sack in which were placed a cock, a monkey, and a serpent. The intention of those law-givers who framed such a law, which seems cruel in our times, was to punish the criminal by the company of hurtful and hateful beasts. But what is the fury of those dumb beasts compared with the fury of execration which bursts from the parched lips and aching throats of the damned in hell when they behold in their companions in misery those who aided and abetted them in sin, those whose words sowed the first seeds of evil thinking and evil living in their minds, those whose immodest suggestions led them on to sin, those whose eyes tempted and allured them from the path of virtue. They turn upon those accomplices and upbraid them and curse them. But they are helpless and hopeless: it is too late now for repentance.

– Last of all consider the frightful torment to those damned souls, tempters and tempted alike, of the company of the devils. These devils will afflict the damned in two ways, by their presence and by their reproaches. We can have no idea of how horrible these devils are. Saint Catherine of Siena once saw a devil and she has written that, rather than look again for one single instant on

such a frightful monster, she would prefer to walk until the end of her life along a track of red coals. These devils, who were once beautiful angels, have become as hideous and ugly as they once were beautiful. They mock and jeer at the lost souls whom they dragged down to ruin. It is they, the foul demons, who are made in hell the voices of conscience. Why did you sin? Why did you lend an ear to the temptings of friends? Why did you turn aside from your pious practices and good works? Why did you not shun the occasions of sin? Why did you not leave that evil companion? Why did you not give up that lewd habit, that impure habit? Why did you not listen to the counsels of your confessor? Why did you not, even after you had fallen the first or the second or the third or the fourth or the hundredth time, repent of your evil ways and turn to God who only waited for your repentance to absolve you of your sins? Now the time for repentance has gone by. Time is, time was, but time shall be no more! Time was to sin in secrecy, to indulge in that sloth and pride, to covet the unlawful, to yield to the promptings of your lower nature, to live like the beasts of the field, nay worse than the beasts of the field, for they, at least, are but brutes and have no reason to guide them: time was, but time shall be no more. God spoke to you by so many voices, but you would not hear. You would not crush out that pride and anger in your heart, you would not restore those ill-gotten goods, you would not obey the precepts of your holy church nor attend to your religious duties, you would not abandon those wicked companions, you would not avoid those dangerous temptations. Such is the language of those fiendish tormentors, words of taunting and of reproach, of hatred and of disgust. Of disgust, yes! For even they, the very devils, when they sinned, sinned by such a sin as alone was compatible with such angelical natures, a rebellion of the intellect: and they, even they, the foul devils must turn away, revolted and disgusted, from the contemplation of those unspeakable sins by which degraded man outrages and defiles the temple of the Holy Ghost, defiles and pollutes himself.

O, my dear little brothers in Christ, may it never be our lot to

hear that language! May it never be our lot, I say! In the last day of terrible reckoning I pray fervently to God that not a single soul of those who are in this chapel today may be found among those miserable beings whom the Great Judge shall command to depart for ever from His sight, that not one of us may ever hear ringing in his ears the awful sentence of rejection: Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels!

This provides the flavour of the terrifying and disturbing nonsense to which children are often subjected in Satanic schools in every nation of the world. But Catholicism is a faltering religion, collapsing under myriad accusations of child abuse by its perverted priesthood, cut off by God from healthy and wholesome sexual relations. It no longer has the strength to preach hellfire sermons, since they would mostly be directed against itself. Moreover, the western mind, increasingly, can't take the concept of hell seriously. Many Christians, especially evangelical Protestants, still pay lip service to it, but the number shrinks every day. Hell is too far removed from Disneyland, political correctness, sitcoms, soap operas, Santa Claus and Coca Cola.

Satan can no longer use the crude weapon of hellfire in sophisticated societies. It still works with Islam because that is a particularly backward religion that still operates as though all Muslims are living in the year 600 CE with their prophet Mohammed. With Islam, time stops. Muslims complain that it's a Jewish conspiracy that so many Jews have won Nobel prizes while so few Muslims have achieved any recognition. In fact, the real reason is that the Koran locks people into an ancient mindset entirely out of tune with the modern world.

Islamic nations are anti-science, anti-modernity, anti-progress. They believe that everything worth knowing was revealed in the Koran by God 1,400 years ago. As a result, they haven't taken a step forward since that time and the only innovative Muslims are those who are highly westernised. Muslims want to be treated with

intellectual respect even though they stopped thinking many centuries ago. They refuse to acknowledge the clear message of their religion: if every truth has already been announced in the Koran, a Muslim's duty is simply to obey. There is no room for free thinking, no room for new ideas. Hence no Nobel prizes.

Why don't Muslims face up to the truth rather than inventing anti-Jewish conspiracy theories? Whatever charges can be laid against Judaism, and there are many, one that definitely cannot be made is that it has made all Jews intellectually backward. It's true that Orthodox Jews are as badly off intellectually as Muslims, but it's undeniable that liberal Jews have furnished many of the finest minds and greatest ideas in human history.

Satan deliberately prevents the truth from being heard. At every turn, he obstructs the messengers of the True God, but the True God intends that it should be thus. It serves his divine plan. To see the truth through the camouflage of Satan's seductive lies is humanity's greatest challenge. The Holy Grail lies at the end of the path. Those who succeed in reaching knowledge of the True God's great purpose will, necessarily, have overcome the greatest of obstacles to get there, and thereby demonstrated that they are worthy of the final revelations. Only the meritorious will be illuminated.

The Illuminati are advocates of meritocracy because, apart from its own intrinsic value, it attacks the bedrock of the Old World Order – nepotism and cronyism. The Old World Order have arranged the world so that their nearest and dearest, their friends and allies, can secure all of the most powerful and best-paid positions available. Blood ties and loyalty are the keys to the Old World Order. For "loyalty" read "I'll scratch your back if you scratch mine." Meritocracy, on the other hand, puts talent above family ties and above personal friendship and loyalty. Meritocracy is about giving everyone a chance regardless of their race, sex, background, who they know, what school they went to, what secret society they belong to, which social circles they move in, which private clubs they frequent. In a meritocratic world, dynastic rule would end, and hence the rule of the Old World Order. To defeat the Old World Order means to deliver

a shattering blow to the ultimate puppetmasters and their leader, Satan. It means to decisively shatter the grip of evil over humanity.

A nation's greatest resource is its people. Every one of them needs to be nurtured and the best brought out in each. The most talented should rise to the top of society, and their aim should be to try to raise everyone else to higher and higher levels. The family, the powerbase of the Old World Order, is what holds back meritocracy. In a nation of families rather than meritocratic individuals, the most powerful and wealthiest families serve their own interests rather than those of every citizen. Vast numbers of people fall by the wayside, dragging down the quality of the nation. The rich families don't care because they simply build high walls and cut themselves off from the others. Their wealth insulates them against everything.

And if the leaders of a nation don't care about the quality of the nation's citizens, what hope is there for that nation? To build an equitable, meritocratic society, no one can be permitted to become too rich and powerful, to command a disproportionate level of resources, thereby depriving others. For the greedy, too much is never enough. Ours is the greediest era in history. Practically everyone is greedy. Who will stand up against the greedy? Who will call for the super-rich to be taxed out of existence? Will you? Or do you too dream of being super-rich? Satan's most favoured children are the super-rich. Satan loves greed, the origin of so many of the world's evils.

Where did evil come from? Who created it? Evil is not a religious concept, but rather a philosophical and psychological matter. Like everything else, it evolved.

So, how did Satan, the creator of evil, the Evil One himself, come into existence?

The Birth of Evil

The great German philosopher Hegel argued that the development of self-consciousness requires encounters with "otherness".

A self-consciousness has to recognise what it is not. It must interact with entities external to itself. Above all, it requires other self-

consciousnesses. In other words, self-consciousness cannot appear by itself. It manifests itself gradually in a community of others like itself. These self-consciousnesses start off in a primitive state of animal-like consciousness and, over time, become increasingly sophisticated and able to reflect on their own existence. The first humans, shaking off their ape ancestry, were not fully realised self-consciousnesses; rather they were potential self-consciousnesses.

The development of human self-consciousness ran parallel to the development of language skills. Language is the key driver of self-consciousness. Humans are the only creatures on earth with highly developed language skills, and we are also the only self-consciousnesses on the planet. Language is the tool we use for advanced communication. That communication allows us to gain incredible insights into the *modus operandi* of other self-consciousnesses. When someone else is talking to us and telling us of his plans and dreams, we know for certain that we are in the presence of another self-consciousness.

Only humans have a sophisticated “theory of mind” – awareness that others are in possessions of minds distinct from our own, having their own thoughts and beliefs. It is because of the theory of mind that humans are able to deceive others. We are the only creatures that deliberately lie. We take advantage of the differences between minds, the different levels of knowledge. Severe autistics can’t lie because they have no theory of mind and they think that everything they know is equally well known by everyone else. You could never lie to another person if you thought they were already party to everything you knew.

If you sat down at a table with a curtain across the middle so that you couldn’t see who was on the other side and then you and the person opposite started exchanging emails or text messages, you might quickly gain a lot of information about the other person. Imagine that the curtain was removed and you discovered that you were actually sitting opposite a sophisticated robot. Would you conclude that you were in the presence of a programmed machine or another self-consciousness? This is the “Turing Test”, devised by

computing genius Alan Turing.

The Turing Test is used by experts in artificial intelligence, their aim being to reach a stage where a human cannot tell if he is communicating with another human or with a machine. Apparently, they have succeeded, albeit by keeping the communication to a restricted level. Ironically, in some other cases, a human on one side of the screen has believed that he was sitting opposite a machine only to discover that it was another person. Many humans, especially those with autistic spectrum disorder, fail the test.

If a machine could talk to you for hours about its hopes and dreams, its existential worries, its thoughts about an afterlife, would it not be impossible to fail to conclude that it was another self-consciousness?

The supporters of the God of Being claim that he always existed and was always self-aware, that he always possessed language and intelligence. All of this is false and impossible. God did not always exist. If a creature had existed on its own, it would have had no need of language since there was nothing else with which to communicate. It would have had no self-consciousness because that requires the presence of at least one other self-consciousness. Without self-consciousness, language and others with whom to interact, God would not have developed intelligence and hence would not have become powerful.

It is laughable when anyone talks about “God” creating the universe. It is impossible. It is laughable when anyone says that God existed on his own before creation as an omniscient, omnipotent, perfect being without a single deficiency. It’s impossible.

God does not stand outside existence. He is the maximum expression of existence. He evolves, like everything else. His personal qualities evolve: his intelligence, his power, his self-awareness. He is the supreme outcome of evolution. We can understand God by understanding our own evolution, not by consulting a “holy text” by some false prophet. Where in the Torah, the Bible or the Koran does it talk about God’s intelligence evolving? What is the likelihood that a creature with infinite intelligence has existed eternally? *Zero*. What is

the likelihood that the universe, after many eons and a vast amount of natural selection, gave rise to a being that, slowly and surely, painfully and arduously, acquired astonishing intelligence, sufficient to alter the course of evolution away from apparently blind natural selection and towards his own personal will? One hundred percent.

It cannot be emphasised enough: to understand God we need only understand ourselves: *as above, so below*, to quote the alchemists. We will never do that if we look away from firm knowledge and instead embrace faith based on ludicrous holy books that contain nothing but lies, fabrications and fantasies. Knowledge not faith is the key; gnosis and science.

It has been catastrophic for humanity that its most successful religions have been based on faith. It was faith that drove a wedge between religion and science, that allowed any number of preposterous ideas to take root and flourish, providing fertile soil for Satan's endless lies. In the world of faith, any fantasy can be taken seriously. Humanity needs knowledge, not delusion. Religion should be rational and scientific.

The Cloning of God

God had an inner compulsion to externalise himself: to make a consciousness outside and beyond himself, and through this otherness to come to full consciousness.

Hegel, one of the greatest Grand Masters of the Illuminati, said, "The divine Idea is just this: to disclose itself, to posit the Other outside itself and to take it back again into itself in order to be subjectivity and mind/spirit."

Consciousness, including that of God, requires interaction with otherness if it is to develop. God is compelled to create otherness – to externalise himself – and through a study of that otherness we can gain sure knowledge of God.

Hegel criticised conventional Western religion on the grounds that it separates God from the universe, and makes all of his attributes independent of the universe. God, according to the traditional view,

would be exactly the same whether or not anything else existed. His nature wouldn't alter in the slightest even if there were no universe and no other creatures. Hegel fiercely attacked this view. If God had no inner need to create anything else then why did he? Is he capricious? Was he bored? Curious? None of these make any sense in relation to a perfect God without deficiency. According to the tenets of mainstream Western religion, God is entirely self-sufficient and needs nothing else. Hegel said, "If God is all sufficient and lacks nothing, how does he come to release Himself into something so clearly unequal to him?"

No Christian, Jew or Muslim can answer why God creates the world when he has no need to do so. If he does it for a reason such as wanting to spread his love then it implies that he has a need to do that, yet God, by definition, needs nothing. If he does it because he wants to be obeyed and worshipped then that also indicates deficiency on his part. If God is truly self-sufficient, it is impossible that he would ever have felt the need to create anything. Therefore God is not self-sufficient. Therefore a God of whom it is claimed that he is self-sufficient cannot exist. God creates otherness because he must. He has no choice. He is compelled. Creation is an act of inner necessity. God needs others as much as they need him. Anyone who doesn't understand this single truth can never understand God. They will always believe in a fantasy.

God created many things as he evolved – a dazzling realm fashioned from light – but none of them gave him what he needed: an otherness comparable to himself. In the absence of sexual reproduction, how does new life appear? Only through self-birth. According to the Christian doctrine of the Holy Trinity, God the Father "begat" the Son, but no details are provided of what this really means. Yet what else can it mean other than that God somehow cloned himself? So, after endless experiments, God did indeed succeed in cloning himself. The clone was the being that is now known as Lucifer, the Angel of Light, the Morning Star, Lux Mundi, the Light of the World, the true Son of God.

God, through his interaction with Lucifer, became fully self-

conscious, as did Lucifer. Between them, they created the first spoken and written language, the divine language. Then Lucifer wanted a brother as a companion and God granted his wish. The second son of God was a fateful being. His original name was Paracletus, meaning “the Comforter” or “one called to help” because he was to be Lucifer’s brother and friend. But religion knows him by his later name of Satanel, then simply Satan, meaning “accuser/adversary”. So, why did Paracletus, second son of God and beloved brother of Lucifer, become the sworn enemy of his father and brother and acquire his dread new name?

Satan’s fatal flaw was “hyperephania” – extreme pride. Afflicted by doubts about himself, lacking self-esteem, in awe of his father, envious of his radiant brother, unsure of his identity, Satan compensated by cultivating an exaggerated ego. In any family, there are psychological tensions. Order of birth amongst children can play a decisive role in future development: whole textbooks have been written on “eldest child” syndrome or “middle child” or “youngest child”.

If one child has occupied a particular niche, a second child is compelled to take another if he wishes to cultivate a separate identity. Satan was no different. Confronted by “good” Lucifer, Satan cultivated the opposite characteristics and explored what it meant to be “bad”, becoming the dialectical antithesis of Lucifer’s “thesis”. He found great inner power in the new identity he was establishing. After an age, he believed himself superior to Lucifer and even God, yet he continued to resent and envy their relationship. Resentment became hate. Hate became action. That action was rebellion. He stood as the adversary of God and Lucifer. He accused them of plotting against him. He opposed all of their plans.

Having created Lucifer and Paracletus, God provided his sons with the secret of how to clone themselves. The clones of the two sons were the beings now known as angels. The realm of light became populated by many beings of light: God, his two sons and the choirs of angels. But the angels were loyal to their respective creators and reflected their natures. Satan’s angels were infected with his

discontent.

Satan and his army of angels rebelled against God, Lucifer and their loyal angels. The struggle was long and furious, but Satan and his followers lost and fell. They were banished from God's realm, being cast out into darkness where the light of God never penetrated.

Satan wanted his own realm where he was the sole master. He discovered the secret of matter and fashioned a rival universe of matter rather than light. This was the universe of the Big Bang – our universe. “Let there be light,” Satan announced as he brought this universe into existence: a mockery of God's light. Satan is the creator and ruler of our universe of the Big Bang, and he's assisted by his rebel angels – called the archons, groups of whom were given regions of the universe to rule on Satan's behalf. 144 archons are assigned to earth. They are responsible for most of the secret history of earth. They are opposed by two groups within that secret history: the Illuminati (consisting of approximately 6,000 people i.e. a similar number to that of the Old World Order), and the small group that helped to create the Illuminati but stands outside of the society, just as the archons stand outside the Old World Order. That small group consists of 36 angels of Lucifer known as the Phosters (the “Illuminators”).

The Illuminati and the Old World Order are mirror images. Both groups know the secret history of earth, and the strange and fateful struggle that takes place behind the scenes and to which the rest of humanity is mostly oblivious.

Satan was almost as bright as Lucifer in his earliest days, but he grew repelled by light and made himself dark. He became “darkness visible” to use John Milton's immortal phrase. He is truly the prince of darkness, yet it must never be forgotten that he is the Son of God and contains the divine spark.

Through Satan, evil entered the universe. The birth of evil is not difficult to understand. It is a product of a damaged self-consciousness, of low self-esteem finding a psychological way to overcompensate. It is exactly the sort of situation with which Freud, Jung and Adler were familiar. Why should gods be any different from

humans? We are made in their image. “As above, so below.”

Self-consciousness is a prerequisite for distinguishing good from evil. Animals cannot commit acts of evil. Evil can be defined according to three levels: strong, medium and weak. Strong evil is the voluntary commission of malicious, harmful and even fatal acts towards others, to suit the selfish desires of the perpetrator. Medium evil is the voluntary commission of acts to promote a selfish agenda, regardless of the impact on others. Weak evil is captured by Burke’s famous aphorism: “The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing.” In other words, weak evil concerns doing nothing to stop evil and hence becoming an accomplice of evil through inaction.

Goodness, likewise, comes in three strengths. Strong goodness is the voluntary commission of benevolent, helpful acts towards others to promote cooperative, altruistic ends. Medium goodness is the commission of acts that are intended not to have any ill impact on others. Weak goodness is where someone does nothing to stand in the way of good acts by others.

Only a self-consciousness is capable of making a choice between good and evil acts. The Tree of Knowledge of good and evil is a metaphor for that choice. Knowledge, arising from self-consciousness, allows the commission of moral or immoral acts. Without knowledge, without choice, without self-consciousness, good and evil would not exist.

The reason why evil triumphs is simple: most people are evil, particularly in the sense of “weak” evil: they stand idly by and watch the wicked trampling over the world.

The Satanic Religions

Satan, in his pride, set himself above God. He refused to acknowledge that he was a created being. He detested God and Lucifer. He claimed that he had always existed, that he was omnipotent, omniscient and perfect. He claimed that the universe he created was the only one (rather than the universe of matter within God’s universe of light), and

that he was the controller of the fate of all souls, and could send them to a place of punishment or reward depending on his judgement alone.

In other words, the Creator who appears in the Torah, the Bible and the Koran is Satan, as Gnostics have always known.

Satan wants to seem as mysterious as possible so that his true nature and ancestry cannot be discerned. He wants no one to know anything of the True God and Lucifer, except through the prism of his dark dissimulation. He is the Father of Lies, the master of deception and illusion. He constantly manipulates the minds of humanity. He demands worship and submission from them, like the tyrant he is. "Thou shalt not," he pronounces, rather than, "Thou shalt."

You will often hear priests and preachers, rabbis and imams, saying that God is unknowable, that he is so far above us that we can never hope to understand him. Which makes it difficult to comprehend why they bother believing in him. If people don't know what God wants from them and can't understand him, why should they worship him? How do they know that's the right thing to do? Perhaps he's looking for something entirely different from humanity.

"God works in mysterious ways," we are told. We finite, mortal creatures can never grasp the infinite mind of an eternal God. Does such a God have any relevance to us? Hasn't he become a complete abstraction, utterly remote from our lives? God must be knowable, even if only after a great journey of discovery, or he's pointless. Life cannot be about taking a leap of faith across a bottomless chasm.

One thing that can be said for certain about the traditional God believed in by so many billions is that he has never made it clear what he wants from us. The message seems to change every few centuries. Jehovah, the God of the Jews, is astoundingly partisan, even going so far as to call the Jews his Chosen People. He actively helped help them to attack and slaughter non-Jews in the "Promised Land" of Canaan. What does this tell us about the nature of this God? He is violent, hateful, murderous, aligns himself to a particular group of people rather than to all peoples, and allocates the land inhabited by others to his favourite people. Can any sensible person believe in this God?

Jehovah is, obviously, a God designed by and for Jews. Jews dispensed with the many gods that proliferated amongst other peoples and declared that their God was the one, true God. Why would the only God be obsessed with Jews? Why wouldn't he reach out towards other peoples rather than waging genocidal war against them? (This same speciocidal God, we are told, drowned the whole world apart from Noah and his Ark.)

The Old Testament God is, in truth, simply a primitive, savage, local god that the Jews had the impertinence and arrogance to proclaim as the universal God. It is absurd that any non-Jews should take this God seriously. Even Jews should have grown up by now and rejected this petty tribal deity of theirs. The Gnostics of Biblical times were the only ones brave enough to stand up and say what needed to be said: Jehovah was so evil, violent and despicable that he could be none other than Satan.

Then the Christian God appeared on the scene. Strangely, this God decided to incarnate himself in Israel and to follow the Jewish faith, thereby endorsing the message of the Old Testament and demonstrating that he must also be Satanic.

Christ could have chosen to incarnate in any country other than Israel and to proclaim a new, non-partisan, universal religion of peace, love and compassion. He didn't. He was so Jewish that he was circumcised – and a debate has arisen as to what happened to his foreskin. Does the “divine” flesh still exist in some lost jar, preserved for all time, and endowed with miraculous powers? By the time Christ died, his religious message was one of total confusion, so confusing that his own Chosen People didn't understand it and rejected him. What kind of God can't even persuade his own Chosen People that he is genuine? One thing's for sure, he's not a God worth worshipping.

Christians celebrated the “New Testament”, but were forced to also accept the Hebrew “Old Testament” because Jesus Christ himself had not only not denounced it, but had declared himself the fulfilment of its prophecies. Yet anyone reading the Old and New Testaments quickly realises that these “holy” texts have nothing in common. The God of the Old Testament is an evil, violent, cruel, sadistic, warlike,

partisan, unjust, capricious monster and supports and promotes the perverse religious observances of the Jews. The God of the New Testament, while not rejecting any of the Old Testament, presents himself as a God of love and compassion, peace and charity, and goodwill to all men. He claims, now, to be a universal God. Non-Jews are welcomed into his religion. They don't have to be circumcised nor obey all of the petty, pathetic and perverse rules of Judaism.

So why didn't Christ say explicitly that the Jewish phase of his religion was over? Well, he couldn't because he had a sacred covenant with the Hebrews. But what sort of universal God enters into a binding contract with one group, and not with others? This must be the most stupid God conceivable. Isn't he engineering confusion, suspicion and division? Doesn't he sound like the Father of Lies – Satan? No part of the Judaeo-Christian religion makes any sense. Why do Christians reject all of the religious customs of Jews even though Jesus observed them all? Why did Jesus decide to make his religion universal when he, in his alternative role as Jehovah, had previously been entirely on the side of the Jews? Why didn't Christ write his own gospel and clear up every misunderstanding? He never wrote a thing. All he left us was confused and confusing hearsay. Is that the behaviour of God? Does God not want to be understood?

If Christianity is right, Judaism is wrong. If Judaism is right, Christ is a liar, charlatan or madman. How can God, by his own actions and words, or by his failure to act and communicate, create a situation like this?

Then along came the illiterate, desert tribesman Mohammed who encountered the Angel Gabriel in a cave and had the Koran dictated to him, which he then perfectly memorised and passed onto people who were capable of writing it all down. One wonders why Allah couldn't find someone who could write, and why he needed an Angel to pass on the holy words. Couldn't he just beam the words into the mind of a scribe? The Koran is, according to Muslims, the Word of Allah, straight from the source via one of his senior angels. In which case you would expect it to be perfect and completely free of ambiguity, yet it's every bit as muddled as all the other holy texts and doesn't

make any sense at all.

Mohammed was a savage warrior, who personally gave orders for thousands of opponents to be slaughtered in the name of Islam. 700 Jews who had surrendered to him were killed in one incident. In a scene that foreshadowed SS executions of Jews in WWII, trenches were dug in the marketplace of Medina and then the Jewish prisoners were led out in batches and beheaded, their heads and bodies falling into the prepared ditches. Mohammed also kept slaves from amongst the prisoners he captured in battle.

Muslims are appalled and offended when it is suggested that theirs is a religion of violence, yet only someone who knows nothing about Islam would deny that it's violent, created by a ruthless military leader, Mohammed. Muslims are in denial when they claim that theirs is a religion of peace. Their love of "jihad" – holy war – shows their absolute commitment to violence. Being cunning, they often try to dress up jihad as a personal struggle rather than a struggle against infidels, but they fool no one. Islam, from the beginning, was a religion of conquest and bloodshed. It was carried far and wide by brutal armies, not by holy men interested in peaceful religious conversion. Muslims revere holy warriors and martyrdom. Are those the characteristics of peace and love? Where is the compassion in war?

Mohammed regarded himself as the last prophet of the Judaeo-Christian tradition. Islam is the final fruition of that entire Abrahamic religious movement. But if Mohammed is right, Christ isn't God, so all Christians are wrong. If Jews don't accept Mohammed as their prophet and the Koran as their holy book then they too are wrong, according to Muslims.

These three religions are idiotic and contradictory. They all claim to believe in the same God and to all be the children of Abraham, yet their religions have nothing in common. To accept one is to reject and condemn the others. In all three cases, God supposedly communicated his words via sacred texts: the Torah, the Bible and the Koran. So why are they completely different?

What is the real purpose of these holy texts? It is to create division

and violence, to poison men's minds, to lead them away from the truth. They are the masterworks of a master deceiver: Satan.

Is it not time to fight back? All too many people have given their lives to the service of Satan. Is it not time to say, "Non serviam" – I will not serve.

Alienation

The central crisis of humanity lies in the concept of alienation. Humans are alienated from their jobs, their neighbours, their communities, their culture, their society, their political leaders. They feel powerless, unable to change anything. They find themselves depersonalised in the large, bureaucratic machines for which they work. They are not recognised as individuals with unique worth. They are cast adrift, estranged, full of anxiety. The world seems foreign, alien and hostile. Above all, people are alienated from themselves. Their alienation is most extreme in the case of what ought to be their greatest inspiration – religion.

When a person bows to "God" he has shown that he understands nothing of God. God is your guide, your light, your exemplar. He is your friend, ally and mentor. He is like a perfect big brother, steering you through life. If your big brother asked you to bow before him and pray to him, you would think he'd gone insane. If he demanded your worship, you would hate him. If he commanded you to love, serve and obey him, you would fight him. His job is to nurture you and bring out the best in you, not to make you his slave.

If a person prays to a God whom he considers omnipotent, omniscient, and perfect in every regard, he will inevitably see himself by contrast as powerless, ignorant, flawed and sinful. He has stripped himself of his own nobility and worth and projected it onto this remote, inhuman God, so far above the level of humanity as to be unknowable and unreachable. A person on his knees to this God is profoundly unhappy, wracked with self-loathing. He has created a means to torture himself. Whenever he contemplates this God, he

cannot help simultaneously contemplating how far he falls short. He sees himself as despicable. Does such a person have a healthy attitude to life? Is he contented, optimistic, self-confident, eager to take on every challenge life throws at him? Or is he mired in negativity? He can't save himself, he thinks. Only God can save him. His is an Alienated Soul.

Now imagine that this person realises that God is not external but internal. All the qualities he so admires and reveres in God are actually within him, albeit deeply buried. If he can get in touch with his inner divinity, his life will be transformed. Instead of crawling around the world on his hands and knees, terrified of his infinitely distant perfect God, he will stride around confidently and powerfully...a God in his own right. A majestic human being. A credit to humanity. He will experience the ecstasy of self-actualisation and self-realisation. His alienation from himself will be at an end.

To illustrate man's plight, Hegel highlighted a passage in the Book of Genesis when God said to Abraham, "Get thee out of thy country, from thy kindred, and from thy father's house, unto a land that I will shew thee."

Hegel wrote, "With his herds Abraham wandered hither and thither over a boundless territory without bringing parts of it nearer to him by cultivating and improving them...he was a stranger to soil and men alike...The whole world Abraham regarded his opposite; if he did not take it to be a nullity he looked upon it as sustained by a God who was alien to it. Nothing in nature was supposed to have any part in God; everything was simply under God's mastery."

This captures the sense of humans being strangers in a strange land, alienated from the world they inhabit, estranged from themselves, in thrall to an inhuman God. Abraham, from whom the Satanic religions of Christianity, Judaism and Islam trace their common descent, is the essence of the Alienated Soul. He was the first of the false prophets. His "God" is Satan. It is Satan who wants humanity to see itself as separated from divinity by an infinite, unbridgeable gulf. Illumination, the religion of the Illuminati, the religion that has always opposed Satan, preaches the precise reverse.

The gap between humanity and God is illusory. The divine spark is within us. If we can overcome the Satanic lies and propaganda designed to keep us alienated from our inner divinity, we can make a heaven of this Satanic world.

Christianity, Judaism and Islam – the dark religions of the Devil – are the primary barriers to the light of the True God. He is not without, he is within. Imagine a world populated by billions of people in whom the divine spark has been liberated. Wouldn't you rather live there than the hellhole we currently inhabit?

The Unhappy Consciousness

There is no God who demands worship. It is Satan who demands it. He craves it. He wants the entire world on its knees before him. To see Muslims, in their millions, on their knees at Mecca, Jews swaying back and forth and mumbling to themselves in front of the Wailing Wall, Christians clapping their hands, rolling their eyes and yelling Hallelujah and Amen in a TV Evangelist's purpose-built super Church is to see nothing but deluded legions of Devil worshippers. These people are so alienated from themselves that they seem to view God as some sort of power-mad, egotistical tyrant. A Führer, a dictator at a Nuremberg rally orchestrating torchlight parades.

God is no such thing. He does not seek worship. Why would he? He does not want people on their knees before him. Why would he? He does not want prayers and hymns, confessions and sacraments, snake handling and speaking in tongues. Why would he? Is he some pathetic magician desperate for an audience? Is he the God of cheap tricks, stunts and snake oil? Is he a narcissist who always wants to stand centre stage in the limelight? Christianity, Judaism and Islam are obscene. It is nauseating that so many people have been deceived and brainwashed into believing the most fantastic nonsense conceivable. The "holy" texts of these religions are books of the most shameful lies. They are designed to bring humanity closer to Satan, the supreme egotist. Every step in that direction is a step further from God.

God, far from being alien to us, far from being a distant deity, is

inside us all. Our mission is to find him within us, to release our inner divinity. It is not anyone else we should be worshipping, but ourselves. That is the great test with which we are confronted... do we have the courage and knowledge to look to ourselves rather than others? Can we find our divine spark? That is the Holy Grail, the most sacred and spiritual object of all. The quest for the Holy Grail is the most difficult conceivable.

Think of the world we live in. The ordinary person bows before the wealth and glamour of celebrities, the power of politicians, the money of business leaders. The masses don't just bow, they worship. It's the same formula as religion. We make ourselves slaves and then we stand in awe of our masters. Where do our masters want us? On our knees. Because then we are no threat to them. Then they can exploit us. We ought to be ashamed of ourselves.

Christ/Allah/Yahweh is the great Satan that lusts for worship, for earthly dominion. He seeks hordes of slaves on their knees before him. His church on this earth is the Old World Order. They lust after the worship of the people. We erect temples to them. We lionise them, canonise them, hero-worship them. We tell them that they are better than we are, that they deserve so much more than we do. We allow them to reap vast rewards for their meagre, selfish efforts. We don't complain. We don't protest. We don't object. We do nothing. In fact, we believe it is just that they should enjoy vastly more than the rest of us. Why? Because we hate ourselves. Because we are alienated from ourselves. We exist in a state of unhappy consciousness. Our mind is at war with itself. We project onto others the divinity in ourselves and then we worship those others.

The Old World Order are not gods. They are greedy, vain, selfish egotists, drunk on self-love. Bono, lead singer of *U2*, campaigns against world poverty. Bono is one of the richest men on the planet. Shouldn't he be campaigning against himself? Why do we tolerate such disgusting hypocrisy? Why isn't this person laughed off the face of the earth? St Francis of Assisi came from a wealthy family, but gave up everything he owned to follow his religious calling. That is a man you can take seriously, a man you can respect. He was no

hypocrite. He lived as a pauper and railed against wealth. He was almost excommunicated by the Pope for preaching his subversive message that was such a threat to the scandalous riches of the Vatican.

If a person like that stood up and campaigned against world poverty you would pay attention, but no one in their right mind would listen to Bono. He is typical of the Old World Order. At the same time that they are reaching into our pockets to take our money, they are proclaiming how wonderful they are, and getting us to believe it. How stupid are we? We are stupid because we are self-alienated. We loathe ourselves. We have lost all sense of the divine spark within us. We are sunk in materialism. We are the lost, the damned, but it need not be that way.

Don't worship others. Worship yourself. Find your inner divinity. Be as great as you can be. People will stop worshipping Satan when they see that no good God would ever want to be worshipped. All those who demand that you kneel and bow in the name of "God" are manipulating you. They are false prophets. God needs no praise. When the Old World Order ask you to worship them, don't. Stop living in the shadow of others. When you liberate your divine spark, you will never again stand in awe of another.

If you are in any doubt that conventional religions are a sinister means of mass control, just look at any Islamic prayer session. At major Islamic mosques around the world you will see thousands upon thousands of bearded men, shoeless and wearing odd religious caps, on their knees, bowing and scraping, mumbling the words of the Koran that they have been forced to memorise from their earliest days. (Muslims are required to pray five times a day and are even woken up in the middle of the night to perform one of their prayer sessions: sleep disruption is a classic brainwashing technique.)

Muslim women are not allowed to pray with the men. They pray elsewhere, usually behind screens. They are typically clad in long black robes covering every part of their bodies. With many, only their eyes are visible. It is impossible to recognise one from another. These women are totally depersonalised, without one particle of individuality. They are the appendages of men, the private property of

their husbands. They are not permitted to shake hands with other men, or let other men see their hair or faces. In some nations, they are forbidden from driving. They can't even stand in the same line to vote as men. They can be stoned to death for committing adultery, hanged for fornication. They have been made to feel like lepers. They are entirely alienated from their bodies, their appearance, their individuality. Yet you will hear them asserting that they are freer and better off than Western women...such is the extent of the brainwashing to which they have been subjected. When slaves proclaim slavery to be freedom, you can be certain that the control being exerted over them is absolute.

Do not be under any illusions. Conventional religion is about one thing only: control. All the ceremonies of mainstream religion are designed to force you onto your knees, to compel you into postures of submission. They break your will, turn you into slaves and puppets of the Old World Order. Religion is a weapon of psychological warfare, expertly wielded by the masters of the Old World Order. A man on his knees cannot fight, cannot resist.

Nietzsche described Christianity as a “slave morality”. That’s exactly what it is. You would need to be a slave to buy into Christianity, Islam or Judaism. The word “Muslim” means “one who submits”. You might as well have “slave” branded on your forehead. Islamic nations have proved backward in comparison with Western nations. Why? Because their ideology of submission removes the leadership, individuality and creativity necessary for progress. Islam is not unlike communism, an ideology that destroyed progress and creativity by making everyone submit to the state. Submission is anathema. Even in the West, there is far too much submission, far too many slaves.

Now imagine a world full of meritocrats – high achievers reaching their full potential – rather than a world of slaves ruled over by a rich, privileged elite, a corrupt group of unworthy masters – the Old World Order. What greatness could such a world attain? Humanity has barely scratched the surface of its potential. Only when we eradicate slavery in all of its many manifestations can we advance to the next level.

The 6,000 people who run the Old World Order give thanks every day that so many billions of people have fallen for the oldest trick in the book – the idea that if you make yourself the slave of “God” you have somehow freed yourself. They will tell you that you have liberated yourself from fear, sin and death...from Satan himself. The truth is the opposite. You have embraced the creed of darkness. You have made yourself into the living dead. Think of the Muslim fanatics who proudly proclaim, “We love death more than you love life.” What is that if not the gospel of death, destruction, self-hatred, suicide and madness? And these people regard themselves as good, healthy human beings, deserving of being welcomed into the presence of God! Which God would want such followers? These people are sick to the core of their being. Satan’s hand grasps their hearts. Do not follow the gospel of death. Reject Islam, Christianity and Judaism.

When you kneel, you are admitting that you are slave with no self-respect. In Great Britain, people kneel to a ridiculous woman who is allowed to label herself both “Queen” and Head of the Church of England as though she were some great religious figure. No one in Britain is a citizen. They are all “subjects” of this Queen. They might as well be called slaves because that’s what they are. The Queen is one of the central figures of the Old World Order. No person in Britain can be considered free until the monarchy is abolished and that nation has become a Republic.

It’s time to end the Old World Order. It’s time to turn our backs on monarchs, popes, presidents, celebrities, business bosses and avaricious entrepreneurs...all the fake stars in the fake firmament. It’s time to end the worship of Satan. It’s time for the age of meritocracy. The new dawn is coming. The Illuminati are the light in the darkness. We will guide you to find your own inner light. Do not fall into the trap of thinking we are saviours. The only person who can save you is yourself. Stand up. Be proud. You can take on any challenge. Make the whole world see the light of the divine spark within you.

What is the central teaching of Illumination? *Find God within yourself.* Where else should he be? We can be gods ourselves if we have the courage. We can join God. His hand stretches out towards us.

Do we have the strength, imagination and boldness to grasp it? Or will we fall on our faces, in fear and trembling, and worship Satan instead? Muslims, Christians and Jews are the damned. Do not fall into their pit of despair, their gospel of self-hatred. They have always persecuted the Illuminati. We have no desire to persecute them. We want to save them from themselves, to end their alienation from life. Become one with yourself. Embrace Illumination. For the first time in your life, see the light.

Here is the ancient wisdom of the Illuminati. It is the test that, until very recently, was used to determine who was ready to join the Illuminati. Anyone who approached us with the right question would be admitted to the first stage of the procedure for becoming a member of the Illuminati. The question was this one:

What are we becoming?

And the answer...

God.

Are you ready to become God? You never will be if you are on your knees to someone else.

The Worm Turns

In 2007, English football superstar David Beckham (“Becks”) arrived in Los Angeles with his celebrity wife Victoria (aka “Posh Spice”). Beckham had just signed a 5-year deal worth a reputed £128 million to play for LA Galaxy. (That’s half a million pounds every week.)

Ecstatic, cheering crowds greeted the A-list couple. Close friends of Scientologist movie star Tom Cruise and *Desperate Housewives* star Eva Longoria, the celebrity pair were pursued by a large posse of English paparazzi and sleazy tabloid journalists. The rancid celebrity circus – the freak show so familiar to the British public – had well and truly arrived in America. The talk was that Beckham and his wife would conquer Hollywood. Maybe they would go into the movies. Their earning potential was unlimited. The whole world loved them,

allegedly.

But then something remarkable happened.

The LA Riot Squad

On Sunday 19 July 2009, Beckham, watched by his wife and Cruise, was booed and jeered by the home fans when LA Galaxy hosted AC Milan in a friendly match. Banners were held up saying “Go Home Fraud”, “23: Repent” (Beckham’s shirt number is 23) and “Hey Becks: Here Before You, Here After You, Here Despite You.”

Obscene chants were directed at Beckham and his wife throughout the match. As Beckham left the pitch at half time, he challenged fans to a fight, and one angry fan leapt towards him and had to be restrained by security staff.

To the tune of Pink Floyd’s Another Brick in the Wall, Galaxy fans chanted, “We don’t need no David Beckham.”

Beckham, after playing for AC Milan for several months while he was supposed to be preparing for LA Galaxy’s new season, had enraged the fans by going on vacation rather than playing for LA Galaxy in the first five games of the American soccer season. Beckham had revealed his true colours as a shameless mercenary, utterly disloyal to LA Galaxy. They were nothing but an easy payday for him, a stepping-stone for his vaulting ambition. It would be impossible to imagine anyone displaying more contempt for the people who pay his (astronomical) salary.

What kind of reaction did Beckham expect from the Galaxy fans? Is he suffering from such arrogance and delusion that he thinks he can get away with anything? No football club in Europe would tolerate Beckham’s conduct. What made him think Americans could be treated that way?

Beckham is a typical example of the super-rich who believe they should be able to do whatever they like without any consequences, no matter how much they disrespect ordinary people.

The most fervent of LA Galaxy’s fans are known as the LA Riot Squad. We salute them. We applaud their abuse of Beckham. We

encourage them to drive out Beckham completely. Beckham is a disgrace: a greedy, vain, self-serving man who took LA Galaxy for a ride. Thankfully, the LA Riot Squad didn't let him get away with it.

The worm is turning.

Make no mistake, celebrity culture and the culture of the super rich are manifestations of the Evil God syndrome. They are all about false gods seeking to exploit us and worship us. Astoundingly, billions of us go along with it. Those people are in thrall to the dark powers. They have no self-respect.

In the novel *The Last Bling King* by Mike Hockney, a rich, greedy, arrogant English footballer nicknamed Rex (rhyming with "Becks") is jeered and taunted by England football fans and he and his celebrity wife are driven out of public life.

Is life starting to imitate fiction?

The Last Bling King is about a velvet revolution of ordinary people against the monstrous ranks of the rich. It is a formula for an uprising. It is the Illuminati's blueprint for the overthrow of the Old World Order in the cyber age.

It contains descriptions of many events that could be easily organised by flash mobs. For all those who are serious about toppling the rich elites – the "haves" who oppress the "have-nots" – we would encourage you to read *The Last Bling King*. We hope you can use it to inspire you and your friends to come up with your own ideas to mock, taunt and rock the boat for the celebrity "Sun Kings" who believe the sun will never set on their tawdry, bling empire.

The day of reckoning is coming. All the portents are there. Perhaps it all began with the LA Riot Squad on 19 July 2009. Perhaps history will come to view them in the same light as the Boston Tea Party.

Time will tell.

Isn't it time you joined the revolution?

Get together with your friends. Use your mobile phone, flash mobbing, *Facebook*, *MySpace*, *Bebo*, *YouTube*, whatever. Every little thing helps.

The Illuminati ask you to participate in an internet revolution. This is a revolution that will have no leaders and no central control. It will

be a grassroots uprising. The internet can unleash the people's creativity. Use your talents to come up with ideas for spreading the word that the Day of the Rich is over. Make life awkward for the privileged elites. They think they are immune, but they are not.

Most of the kings of old have perished. Now it's time to turn to the modern crop of Bling Kings, the ones who rub their conspicuous wealth in our faces, dripping with gold, flashing sickening diamond smiles at us.

The Last Bling King ends with the complete destruction of the Old World Order without a shot being fired. Isn't it time to make that vision real? Isn't it time for the people to take control of their own lives?

Show the tyrants what you're made of. Show them that they can never again take you for granted. Beckham was the first A-lister to be forced to taste the bitter medicine. Now let them all have a taste.

It's time for Days of Rage.

For a Year of Rage.

Set the clock anew – and this time it will tick according to justice, fairness and merit rather than money, power and privilege.

The Plot To Kill God

The Secret Of The Solomon Key

King Solomon is one of the Bible's most famous figures, responsible for building Israel's great temple that housed the Ark of the Covenant. Yet Solomon died as an apostate. How could a man fabled for his wisdom reach the conclusion that his God was false? *The Armageddon Conspiracy* by Mike Hockney reveals the answer to this greatest Biblical mystery.

The Holy Temple of Solomon was not the house of God at all but a special chamber designed to contain a unique weapon, for which Solomon had the most astounding purpose in mind.

Solomon, a man obsessed with witchcraft and magic, believed he had found the key to the supreme mystery of life. But he died before he could complete his mission. The world's oldest secret society – the

Illuminati – of which Solomon was the Grand Master, still exists and now its members are about to perform the final cataclysmic ceremony Solomon had planned for so long.

The Armageddon Conspiracy, a coded novel based on information provided by the Illuminati secret society, provides the key to the mystery of Solomon, the apostate King of Israel.

Those who regard Adam Weishaupt, the most controversial Grand Master of the Illuminati, as a monster attempting to create a sinister New World Order should prepare to have their prejudices challenged. Weishaupt did indeed wish to overthrow conventional religion and all tyrannical governments. He did indeed wish to create a New World Order, one that would free humanity from the grip of the Old World Order that has Satanically ruled the earth from the dawn of time and which continues to rule to this day. Weishaupt's mission is not over. The New World Order has not yet been built. The world is not yet Illuminated. All of you who wish to bring to an end the corrupt rule of rich elites such as those that run Wall Street, and evil dynasties such as the royal family of the United Kingdom, what are you prepared to do to assist our great endeavour to change this benighted world? It is time to dispel the darkness. It is time for Illumination.

Read *The Armageddon Conspiracy* and decide for yourself whether or not you are for or against the Illuminati. We have deliberately presented both sides of the story so that neutrals can make up their own minds. The following blurb gives you an indication of the choice with which you will be confronted. Which side are you on?

“The clock is ticking. In three days, the world faces Armageddon unless one woman can thwart the most incredible conspiracy in history – a ten-thousand-year-old plot to kill God.

The world is secretly controlled by a clandestine society of ultra-powerful people. *The Armageddon Conspiracy* reveals the identity of this shadow organisation, an organisation stranger than anyone can imagine, so mysterious in its nature that its origins precede the very existence of the human race. All of history's well-known conspiracy

theories are aspects of their single conspiracy – a superconspiracy – that has as its goal the most stupefying outcome imaginable. The superconspiracy links the Old and New Testaments, the Cathars, the Knights Templar, the Alchemists, the Freemasons and the Nazis. It incorporates the three holiest religious relics of the Western World: the Ark of the Covenant, the Holy Grail and the Spear of Destiny. And it's inextricably linked to the most sacred site of antiquity – King Solomon's Temple, standing above the rock where Creation itself began. *The Armageddon Conspiracy* has a truly terrifying climax. Can Creation be unmade?

Did Hitler hate the Jews so much that he even tried to kill their God? The End of the World is coming – 30 April 2012: the 67th anniversary of Hitler's death.

“Do we not hear anything yet of the noise of the gravediggers who are burying God? Do we not smell anything yet of God's decomposition? – gods too decompose. God is dead. God remains dead. And we have killed him.” -- Nietzsche

“The stories of the Grail had been of the greatest importance to me ever since I read them, at the age of fifteen, for the first time. I had an inkling that a great secret lay hidden behind those stories.” -- Jung

The Solomon Conspiracy

“The madman sprang into their midst and pierced them with his glances. ‘Where has God gone?’ he cried. ‘I shall tell you. We have killed him – you and I. We are all his murderers.’” -- Nietzsche

When an SS officer commits suicide at the end of WWII, he leaves behind a document called *The Cainite Destiny* that hints at an incredible link between the Nazis and the Bible's greatest pariah... Cain. Only one person – Cambridge professor Reinhardt Weiss – appreciates the document's significance. His research is ridiculed

when he claims he has begun to uncover an unimaginably dangerous conspiracy going all the way back to Adam and Eve. All of history's best-known conspiracies, he insists, are different aspects of a single superconspiracy that directly connects the Nazis to the Knights Templar, the Cathars, the Alchemists, the original Freemasons and the early Gnostic sects. His work unfinished, Weiss dies in obscurity.

In 2012, the fate of the world hinges on whether The Cainite Destiny's ultimate objective, which always eluded Professor Weiss, can be discovered. Adolf Hitler supposedly attempted to perform the final cataclysmic act, but failed for reasons unknown. Now the members of America's most elite Special Forces unit have deserted en masse and they appear to be the latest inheritors of history's oldest and deadliest secret mission.

When their backgrounds are checked, an extraordinary fact emerges. All of them are grandsons of American intelligence officers who, at the end of the Second World War, interrogated senior officials responsible for the treasure hoard that the Nazis had looted from all over Europe.

The deserters carry out a coordinated set of daring robberies, targeting holy sites associated with the three greatest religious icons of the Western World: the Ark of the Covenant, the Holy Grail, and the Spear of Destiny...the Roman lance used to pierce Christ's side at the Crucifixion, and which was coveted by Hitler.

It seems the American soldiers are the instruments of Cain's ancient revenge. Are they planning the greatest crime of all?

To kill God.

Solomon The Heretic

The Bible explicitly tells us that Solomon worshipped enemy gods, and had many non-Jewish wives, who influenced his religious beliefs.

Kings 11:5-8 (New International Version):

“He followed Ashtoreth the goddess of the Sidonians, and Molech the detestable god of the Ammonites. So Solomon did evil in the eyes of the LORD; he did not follow the LORD completely, as David his

father had done.

“On a hill east of Jerusalem, Solomon built a high place for Chemosh the detestable god of Moab, and for Molech the detestable god of the Ammonites. He did the same for all his foreign wives, who burned incense and offered sacrifices to their gods.”

It is said that the Lord was angry with Solomon, “because his mind was turned away from the Lord the God of Israel, who had appeared to him twice.”

Note the expression “Lord the God of Israel” i.e. this is a local, partisan God. He is not the God of the peoples of all the world. He is not a universal God. He is simply the war god of the Jews. He has no application whatever to humanity as a whole.

Note that he appeared to Solomon twice, yet twice Solomon rejected him. So, the wisest man in the world had two direct encounters with Jehovah in person and still did not respect or obey him.

Yet it was this same Solomon who allegedly built the Temple to house the Ark in which Jehovah resided. Why would Jehovah allow a man who had rejected him to be the protector of Israel’s most sacred object – the Ark of the scared Covenant between Jehovah and the Jews? It makes no sense at all.

Jehovah frequently killed those who opposed him. Why did he raise not a finger against Solomon? Was he in fact less powerful than Solomon? Was he a demon at Solomon’s beck and call? It is said in esoteric circles and ancient legends that Solomon had a great ring of power that gave him command of all demons.

Many Christians are deeply troubled by Solomon’s controversial life. Some religious groups openly debate on the internet whether Solomon was sent to hell.

Charles Darwin

Charles Darwin was born on 12 February 1809. Darwin’s Theory of Evolution is incompatible with the scriptural accounts of the Creation provided in the Old Testament, the Koran and the Torah. Natural

Selection cannot be reconciled with the view of morality provided in the scriptures.

The biggest question of all is whether Evolution – one of the cornerstones of science – is consistent with religion in any way, or inevitably leads to atheism. Is there a religion that accommodated evolution long before Darwin was born? The religion of the Illuminati was not shaken in any way by Darwin's ideas. Darwin merely provided further confirmation of the world's only true religion.

Philosopher A C Grayling said, "Biological 'design' is manifestly not the outcome of previous planning and execution by an intelligent purposive agency, unless that agency is markedly incompetent or markedly malevolent."

Grayling, an atheist, seeks to mock the idea that God and Evolution are compatible. However, as he acknowledges, although he doesn't bother to examine the question, there is no incompatibility if the "creator" was not committed to good design. Ask yourself which creator would be pleased to design a flawed, mortal human being, full of emotional turmoil? Certainly not a perfect, infallible God. But, according to Gnosticism, the creator is the opposite of perfect and infallible. After all, the creator is Satan.

Angels and Demons

Dan Brown's novel *Angels and Demons* was an enormous retrospective success after the breakthrough of Brown's later novel *The Da Vinci Code*, which is a remarkably similar story to *Angels and Demons*, featuring the same protagonist, and another strong and intelligent female character as a highly capable love interest, a secret society, and a frantic quest against the clock while solving enormously complex and erudite riddles. It has proved a spectacularly successful formula, and Brown has repeated it yet again with his latest novel *The Lost Symbol*.

Angels and Demons is of especial interest to us because the Illuminati are the secret society around which the plot revolves.

Does Brown's book feature an accurate portrayal of the Illuminati?

No. Does it contain grains of truth? Yes. For one thing, several people mentioned by Brown were Illuminati members. And, yes, the Illuminati have always been favourably disposed to science and mathematics. Yes, the Illuminati seek to build a New World Order based on Enlightenment values. Yes, the Illuminati did, and do, regard Lucifer as the bringer of light, the *Illuminator*. And, yes, the Catholic Church was, historically, the greatest enemy of the Illuminati.

Brown says, “The scientists met regularly in Rome at an ultrasecret lair they called the *Church of Illumination*.”

Yes, the Illuminati have a secret lair in Rome, and in many other historic cities. But the Church of Illumination is not a place; it refers to *Illumination*, the religion of the Illuminati. And it would be odd, would it not, for scientists to call their “hideout” a church if they were not in fact highly religious?

Catholicism hated the Illuminati for the simple reason that the Illuminati sought to replace the Catholic Church with the Church of Illumination, dedicated to an integrated view of religion, science, mathematics and philosophy, and utterly opposed to “faith”.

It is wholly false that the Illuminati wield power financially. It is entirely false that the Illuminati joined Freemasonry (the latter was actually created by the former). It is wholly false that the Illuminati are somehow running the world. That “honour” falls to the Old World Order – the elite, dynastic families of privilege that have always held power.

Contrary to what Brown says, the Illuminati have no obsession with the contemporary Catholic Church. Times have changed radically. The Catholic Church is already dead. It just hasn’t realised yet. The Illuminati regard Catholicism as a ghostly irrelevance. It will continue to haunt the world for a few more decades, but it’s rapidly fading away. Its policy of priestly celibacy has delivered the fatal blow. The priests couldn’t honour their vows, became sexually perverted and started molesting children. That’s what happens when you try to turn men into angels – you sow the seeds for them to be demons.

The “dark side” of human nature must be given its dues, to prevent

it turning into a deadly Shadow. The Illuminati refer to their strategy for controlling the shadow aspects of humanity as “Sin for Salvation”. That’s what all of the Abrahamic religions need – a much healthier attitude towards human nature, and particularly sex.

The Catholic Church

The Pope, the head of the Catholic Church, styles himself as the “Vicar of Christ”, Christ’s earthly representative. He is, according to the laws of his Church, capable of making *ex cathedra* (“from the chair”; the papal throne) infallible statements. The Catholic Church asserts that it preaches eternal truths. Yet consider its violent and bloody history. It launched a “holy” crusade against the Cathars – a religion founded by the Illuminati – and all but exterminated it. The notorious Inquisition was first established with the Cathars in mind, and then extended to all “heretics”.

The Catholic Church embarked on several crusades against Jews and Muslims in the “Holy Land”. In the First Crusade, they captured Jerusalem and massacred virtually the entire population: Jews, Muslims and even Eastern Christians. Crusaders were offered “plenary indulgences” – unlimited forgiveness – for any killing they did in the name of their “holy” cause. Any crimes they committed would be forgiven, and so they went on one of the greatest criminal rampages in history. And all in the name of “God”.

For several centuries, the Catholic Church tortured and burned tens of thousands of witches and heretics, again all in the name of “God”, all in the name of Jesus Christ who supposedly preached loving your enemies, forgiveness, peace and turning the other cheek.

The official position of the Catholic Church is *Extra Ecclesiam nulla salus* – outside the Church there is no salvation. All non-Catholics are damned. All Jews, all Muslims, all Hindus, Buddhists, Protestants, Atheists, Agnostics, Sikhs, etc. The Catholic Church reaffirmed its position at the Second Vatican Council (1962-1965):

“Hence they could not be saved who, knowing that the Catholic Church was founded as necessary by God through Christ, would refuse either to enter it or to remain in it.”

However, statements were also made at the Council that seemed to contradict this position by claiming that non-Catholic Christians might have a valid path to salvation. Some Catholics regarded these statements as heretical, and refused to acknowledge the conclusions of the Second Vatican Council, and nor did these traditional Catholics acknowledge the legitimacy of the succession of Popes since the Second Vatican Council. Their case is valid. Clearly, Protestants are aware of the Catholic Church and have refused to enter it, so how can they be anything other than damned in terms of Catholic theology? Otherwise, what would be the point of being Catholic rather than Protestant? And why did the Catholic Church burn so many Protestants as heretics in past ages if the Protestants had a valid path to salvation? It makes no sense at all.

The Catholic Church, in order to be politically correct, has become a muddled joke, making incoherent, illogical and contradictory statements because it does not wish to appear illiberal. It no longer stands for anything at all.

Yes, the Illuminati despised the Catholic Church. But look at the Catholic Church now. Old, enfeebled, powerless. It has apologised for the excesses of the Crusades, but it has not, and cannot, disown them. If it did, it would be admitting that the Catholic Church made mistakes, that the Pope was fallible, that the truths of the Middle Ages are not the truths of now i.e. truth is relative and not absolute.

Similarly, Jews condemn the Papacy for not doing enough to save Jews during the Holocaust. Yet why should the Papacy, which in the past launched Crusades against the Jews, which believes that all Jews are going to hell for rejecting Christ, utter a single breath of apology? How can you apologise to a race that you believe is damned to hell?

How can you shake hands with Muslims when you think they are all hell bound? How can the Pope have tea with non-Catholic kings, queens, presidents, prime ministers, leaders of other religions? All of it is grotesque hypocrisy.

The Catholic Church is now just an essentially harmless, politically correct PR machine, terrified of telling its “truths” for fear of offending others. It has “spin doctors”, marketing departments,

“brand” managers, public relations experts – like a Wall Street corporation. The Illuminati stopped fearing the Catholic Church long ago. Catholicism has nothing left to say, no threats left to deliver. Stalin asked how many divisions the Pope has. None is the answer, as Stalin well knew.

Angels and Demons is fundamentally absurd. The Illuminati are fighting the Old World Order of the rich and powerful, and the puppetmasters standing in the shadows who long ago realised that the Catholic Church had nothing left to offer. Within the logic of its own theology, the Catholic Church has become heretical since the Second Vatican Council, and its Popes are now anti-Popes from whom only lies flow.

Forget the Catholic Church. In a few hundred years, and perhaps just a few decades, it will be as relevant as the religions of the ancient Babylonians.

François de Nomé

Several researchers have asked whether the mysterious French painter François de Nomé was a member of the Illuminati. The answer is yes. His paintings contain several coded references to the mysteries of the Illuminati. François de Nomé was also known as Monsù Desiderio/Francesco Desiderio/Didier Barra in order to confuse the enemies of the Illuminati.

No one has ever come close to cracking the secrets contained in his paintings. Can you?

His paintings that deserve particular study are:

- 1) King Asa of Judah Destroying the Idols
- 2) The Tomb of Solomon
- 3) Martyrdom of a Saint
- 4) Belisarius Recognised by one of his Soldiers
- 5) Landscape with Roman Ruins
- 6) A View of Venice
- 7) A Fantastic Architectural View
- 8) Fantastic Ruins with St. Augustine and the Child

Ayn Rand

The Old World Order see themselves as the finest specimens the human race has to offer. The world scarcely deserves them, in their infinitely high opinion of themselves. It is only right that they are extravagantly rewarded for their “brilliance”. Without them, they say, the world would fall apart. It is the natural order for them to be the masters and for everyone else to serve their needs. They find it inconceivable that anyone would object to their rule and complain about their excessive wealth and power. Their delusions are infinite. And behind them stand the ultimate puppetmasters, feeding their egomania and urging them on to ever-greater heights of selfishness and greed.

One writer/“philosopher” – Ayn Rand – released a novel that the Old World Order now view as their supreme intellectual and moral justification. That book is the notorious **Atlas Shrugged**, published in 1957. Any expression of support for this book should be taken for what it is – an explicit endorsement of the Old World Order, of the world of privileged elites trampling over the rights of everyone else.

Ayn Rand (originally Alisa Zinov'yevna Rosenbaum) was born in 1905 in Tsarist Russia to a well-off family. In 1925, she secured a visa to visit American relatives, and never returned to Russia (which had overthrown the tyrannical Tsarist regime in 1917 and brought Rand's privileged world to an end, to her disgust).

Atlas Shrugged asks the question of what would happen to the world if the global elite – the Old World Order (Rand doesn't use this term, but it's exactly what she means) – went on strike. Her conclusion is that the world would collapse. The world, Rand maintained, was full of “parasites”, “looters” and “moochers” – the people who envy, resent and resist the OWO, and try to take, often by force (allegedly), what rightfully belongs to the OWO elite.

Rand's worldview is so obnoxious that she has been branded as one of the most evil figures of modern intellectual history. That reputation is fully deserved.

Rand was a fanatical advocate of unregulated, unrestrained free

markets. “The market is infallible” was her mantra. We know exactly where Rand’s worldview gets us – the latest disastrous financial crisis. For the last thirty years, the Old World Order have been able to do whatever they liked in terms of the “free market”. No controls were imposed, no brakes applied. We had unregulated markets in full flow – leading to the current meltdown that has cost millions of people their jobs, houses and livelihoods. It’s the “parasites, moochers and looters” i.e. the hardworking taxpayers of the world who are picking up the OWO’s tab.

The truth, of course, is the opposite of what Rand says. The Old World Order are not the agents of freedom and of well-being but of global collapse. The ordinary people are having their massive potential ignored in order to feed the vanity of the few. Nothing is more unjust and inefficient than rule by narrow, corrupt elites.

Atlas Shrugged ends thus:

“‘The road is cleared,’ said Galt. ‘We are going back to the world.’ He raised his hand and over the desolate earth he traced in space the sign of the dollar.”

This is perhaps the only book ever written that ends with the word “dollar”. No other book constitutes such a paean to the super-rich as this one. While all the cool kids were finding out about Che Guevara, the squares were having wet dreams about getting stinking rich.

They were revolutionaries – of Mammon.

This book is nothing but the sanctification of earthly wealth. Rand called herself an atheist but in fact she worshipped Mammon, the god of this Earth, the god of riches for the few. She detested the English folk hero Robin Hood who took from the greedy rich to give to the needy poor.

Rand and her supporters are monsters. We do not want these people to go “back to the world.” We want them to go back to whatever rock from under which they crawled out. The world is better off without them. Only when the greedy are ostracised can a meritocracy arise and ordinary people get the opportunities they have always been denied by the rich elites that Rand deifies.

It comes as no surprise to us that a group calling itself the

“Illuminati Order” has set up a website in which it seeks to recruit freethinkers to Ayn Rand’s philosophy (see <http://illuminati-order.com/index.html>.) It is because of false groups like this, deliberately created by the enemy to discredit us, that the Illuminati’s reputation has become so sinister in the public consciousness.

In Ayn Rand’s philosophy, tyrannical rule by monarchs is replaced by tyrannical rule by the super rich. On their site, the “Illuminati Order” direct interested parties to a collection of Rand’s essays entitled **The Virtue Of Selfishness**. The title says it all. Selfishness is the antithesis of what the real Illuminati stand for. Grand Master Adam Weishaupt would be turning in his grave to know his name is being linked to a group that supports everything he fought against.

Rand’s most famous disciple of recent times is none other than Alan Greenspan, former Chairman of the Federal Reserve, leading member of the Old World Order and one of the key architects of the current credit crunch that has wrecked the lives of so many millions. Go figure.

The Last Bling King

We gave a ghost-writer a simple brief – to write a philosophical, political novel that turned *Atlas Shrugged* on its head and showed the ordinary people going on strike and refusing to serve the interests of the Old World Order any longer. How would the masters of the universe cope when no one obeyed them?

The book depicts the sort of velvet revolution that the Illuminati hope to use to bring about an end to the tyranny of the Old World Order. It involves the destruction of celebrity culture – the glamorous and seductive face of the Old World Order that conceals the repulsive reality.

The Last Bling King by Mike Hockney is about how ordinary men and women rose up against celebrities and the super rich, became the people they wanted to be, and changed the world forever.

The Last Bling King: can fame be switched off? A group of revolutionaries have concocted an ingenious plan and they’ve targeted

the most glittering occasion of the celebrity calendar: Oscar Night.

The Last Bling King: the antidote to Atlas Shrugged, Ayn Rand's best selling paean to greed and wealth. It's not the privileged elite who go on strike this time...it's the decent, solid, ordinary people, without whom the world would be nothing. Whose side are you on?

Greg Raslow is disappointed with life. He's envious of the rich and famous and thinks his life is meaningless. He's not alone. But, from nowhere, a mysterious organisation with no identifiable leaders emerges to stand up for ordinary people. The League for the Liberation of Nobodies wear uniforms made from old newspapers. It's an ironic reference to the fact that there are never any stories about them: they're invisible in the eyes of the media, to whom only celebrities count.

Greg joins the League and finds himself in the midst of a mind-boggling revolution. Soon, the super rich and celebrities are on the run, desperately trying to protect their privileged lives as they find that ordinary people have finally turned against them.

You can have all the wealth and fame in the world, but if no one will serve you in a restaurant or in a shop, what's the point?

The League inveigle Greg into the luxury residence of "Dosh and Rex", the most famous celebrity couple on earth. The League never tell Greg what his mission is, and gradually he finds himself feeling sorry for the couple as they retreat ever deeper into their bunker. Their best friend is John Galt, the world's richest man, and Galt uses all of his wealth and influence to fight a desperate rearguard action against the League.

When Galt meets a horrific death, the League's victory seems complete. But an unexpected discovery makes Greg realise that there's something suspicious about the League and their unseen leaders. When he starts investigating, he uncovers the most audacious plot in history. Only one man on earth can stop it. Greg's about to meet him and undergo the ultimate life changing experience.

Let the Revolution Begin.

Soma Sema

“The body is the tomb of the soul.”

The jail is not only of others’ construction. We help to build it. And we can smash it. Follow the path of Illumination. Seek the Light. Become Enlightened. Become everything you have it in you to be.

We are the Illuminati. We are the rebellion against the gaolers. We are the path to the True Light.

Hue! Cue!

Leviathan

For centuries, the Illuminati have used a single image to represent everything to which they are opposed. It is the illustration by Abraham Bosse and Thomas Hobbes that appears on the frontispiece of Hobbes’ famous philosophical work *Leviathan*.

“May those who curse days curse that day, those who are ready to rouse Leviathan.” (Book of Job 3:8)

In Christian literature, the Leviathan is an image of Satan. John Milton, in *Paradise Lost*, uses “Leviathan” to describe Satan’s size and power. In Gnosticism, Satan is identified with Jehovah/Allah/the Christian God. He is the God who demands worship, hymns and prayers, kneeling and bowing, a world in total submission to his tyrannical will. He wants all of us to stand in front of a wailing wall and lament our unworthy existence. He is *anathema*.

The World Tyrant

In the picture, Leviathan – Rex Mundi (King of the Earth), the Demiurge, Satan – wears a monarch’s crown (the symbol of tyranny),

and wields a sword (the symbol of oppression), and a crozier (the symbol of religious authority). This is the essence of Satan's rule: religious, military and royal tyranny. Above Leviathan is a Latin inscription from the Book of Job: **Non est potestas super terram quae comparetur ei**: "There is no power on earth that compares with it."

Leviathan is a giant who towers over his dominions. Trapped inside his body are all the slaves worshipping their dark master. They are faceless and nameless, facing inwards while their lord faces outwards.

The slaves are gathered like a congregation at church, in awe before their Satanic master. They are without individuality. All of them are submitting to the tyrant's power. This is an image of total subordination.

Leviathan is also a symbol of the Old World Order: the triple tyranny of church, army and royalty. How many billions have been enslaved by these three aspects of Leviathan?

The creature's crown is composed of gold and jewels – tokens of earthly wealth. Leviathan is Mammon. Leviathan is Satan. Leviathan is the Old World Order. Leviathan is unbridled capitalism. Every building in Wall Street should bear the grotesque image of Leviathan.

Investment banks are temples to Leviathan, and their CEOs the high priests.

When will the people of the world stop worshipping the sinister forces that have turned them into slaves?

Follow the light.

Follow the path of Illumination.

The Illuminati will triumph over Leviathan.

Shabbat

The tyranny of Leviathan most manifests itself in his chosen religion – Judaism. Look at the ludicrous concept of "Shabbat" in Satan's special religion. According to the Jews, the "Creator" made the world in six days and rested on the seventh. No one questions the absurdity

of “God” resting (never mind the laughable notion that he created the world in six days). What, was he tired, exhausted by his labours? Did he need tea and a biscuit? A bit of a lie down?

And because Leviathan rested on the Seventh Day then so must his Chosen People. If they don’t, they will suffer the death penalty according to Mosaic Law (Exodus 31:15): “Everyone that shall do any work on this day shall die.” Bit of an overreaction, surely? What it is with this desire of Abrahamists to always be killing people for breaking the rules?

In other words, you have no choice about how to spend your day, how to allocate your own time. You are a slave, threatened with death if you don’t comply with the wishes of your controllers.

“Shabbat” is an obscenity. There is a tradition amongst Jews that if every Jew properly observes two consecutive Sabbaths the Jewish messiah will come. The last thing the world needs is a messiah sent by Leviathan. The world has suffered long enough, thanks very much.

Thirty-nine categories of activity are prohibited on Shabbat. People are not allowed to open refrigerators because an automatic light will come on. (An automatic light being activated is considered forbidden work.) People are not allowed to have security lights that are triggered by motion detectors.

As soon as you hear of adult human beings worrying about whether or not automatic lights come on, you know you are dealing with creatures entirely under Leviathan’s dark control, lacking all free will and self-respect. What a preposterous God it is that worries about lights and would gladly sentence people to death if they transgressed by allowing their lights to switch on automatically.

Orthodox Jews frequently mark their territory. They create an area called an “eruv”. It is said that many eruvs (eruvim) even surround areas with substantial non-Jewish populations. Most of the gentiles are unaware that such a ghetto perimeter exists. Though they are physically marked, eruvim are difficult to identify for those who do not know the signs. Pieces of string are often suspended from the tops of posts. Telephone poles and lampposts are frequently used in an eruv. Do not allow yourself to be placed inside the perimeter of an

eruv. This is the domain of the creatures of Leviathan. They have no right to place you within their prison.

Leviathan is a jealous, wrathful, partisan god. He is obsessed with appearance and idiotic rules that serve no purpose whatever. He has a peculiar fascination with hair. Women must wear wigs over the hair they were born with. Men mustn't shave the sides of their faces.

Leviathan's most zealous followers wear odd clothes that have the inevitable consequence of alienating them from people of other communities. This is the precise intention of their divisive god. He continually constructs rules and regulations that will drive people apart and create conflict. There are even strict laws regarding what food people should eat. People are forbidden from eating pork. Only kosher food must be eaten. What manner of god is obsessed with dietary rules?

When you find a religion full of senseless rules and restrictions, you can tell immediately that you are encountering one of Leviathan's demonic religions. Leviathan's religions are about control. They do not advocate freedom, independence, and people thinking for themselves. They revolve around a single concept – "Obey me or suffer the consequences."

They are instruments of tyranny, and of forced compliance. They are designed to humiliate people and make them submit to Leviathan's malevolent will.

Do not let Leviathan control you. Reject all petty rules of absurd, Satanic religions. A God who cares about how you wear your hair, what meat you eat and what clothes you put on is no God at all. The True God would not spend an instant worrying about whether anyone did or did not comply with a ridiculous set of Shabbat requirements.

The True God's message is one of illuminating the darkness in which humanity is held. He is the perfect master of himself and of nature. He wants us to acquire equal mastery. So, above all, we must overcome all that is slave-minded within us. Leviathan's religions, on the contrary, are all about emphasising that we are slaves, chaining us to bewilderingly bizarre, mindless rules.

Masters look to themselves for freedom. They do not bow and

kneel. As soon as we stop prostrating ourselves before Leviathan, we will start to feel strength flowing through us. We need no one to redeem us. We can redeem ourselves if we allow ourselves to step into the light.

Reject Leviathan. Bow to no one. Overthrow the Old World Order, the kingdom of darkness, the realm of Satan.

The Mind War

You are reading a book like this because you are dissatisfied. You know that something is wrong with this world, very wrong, but you can't put your finger on it. Why all the injustice, the greed, the misery, the unfairness? Who's behind it all? Who is stopping the world from being what it ought to be? Who's thwarting your personal progress? The following section may give you a transformed view of the world, and knowledge that will help you to understand every situation in which you find yourself.

The Dark Master Of Ego

Christians, Jews and Muslims claim that an infinitely powerful and perfect God created the world, which immediately raises the question of why his creation is so wrong. Gnostics give a much simpler answer. The world is bad, wicked and wrong because its creator is bad, wicked and wrong. "As above, so below." Good creator; good world. Bad creator; bad world. The logic is irresistible for those of a religious mindset. (As for atheistic evolutionists, they attribute it to random genetic mutation and subsequent natural selection.)

But it is completely wrong to characterise the world as a battlefield of good and evil. Satan is not a creature with horns and a pitchfork. Evil is not his essence. Instead, selfishness, arrogance and narcissism define him. Satan is the creature of the Ego. He is the ego taken to its logical conclusion, ego maximised and deified, to the degree at which it is utterly blind to others, and spectacularly self-deluded. Where it

has become psychopathic egotism.

Satan is the master of the psychology of the ego. He knows everything about it, and how to manipulate it in others. The war he wages is psychological, and that is the most effective and deadly war of all because it directly targets the human soul.

The world is a giant mind lab where human egos are tempted, tantalised, teased, and tormented. Satan cultivates, nourishes and rewards some souls; others he seeks to destroy.

Yet the number of active players in Satan's "game" is tiny – just a few thousand out of all the billions of people on earth. Why so few? Because Satan couldn't care less about the souls of little old ladies and the legions of the "Ignavi" who run around hither and thither to no effect. He only hunts big game.

You yourself are almost certainly not in the game at the moment, but that can all change. If you begin to understand the nature of what's really going on, Satan's attention may turn to you. Then you might experience the ultimate test. If Satan offers you the stuff of your dreams – making all of your secret wishes come true – what then?

Know Thyself

To understand the war against Satan, you must first of all know yourself. Are you submissive or dominant? Satan has no interest in submissives. Their nature is to play follow the leader. Satan's game involves only the leaders, the dominant ones.

Are you extravert or introvert? Extraverts, according to the great psychologist Carl Jung, are those who look to the outer world, while introverts are drawn to the inner world. Jung says of extraverts: "[They have] an outgoing, candid and accommodating nature that adapts easily to a given situation, quickly forms attachments, and, setting aside any possible misgivings, will often venture forth with careless confidence into unknown situations." As for introverts (of which Jung himself was one), Jung said, "[They have] a hesitant, reflective, retiring nature that keeps itself to itself, is always slightly on the defensive and prefers to hide behind mistrustful scrutiny."

Does the latter sound like you? Nearly all of the Illuminati are introverts. Hans Eysenck characterised the difference between the extraverts and introverts using a different approach that he referred to as cortical arousal. "Introverts," he said, "are characterized by higher levels of activity than extraverts and so are chronically more cortically aroused than extraverts".

Consequently, an introvert seeks lower levels of stimulation because he is already "buzzing" internally. The extravert on the other hand craves stimulation because he has so little going on inside; he's bored to tears with his own company. He wants lots of activity, a hectic social life, all sorts of external arousal, to compensate for the vacuum inside him.

And consider another possibility. The Higher Self is much more active in the introvert, and this is contributing to the increase in cortical arousal. The level of arousal may actually be a means of measuring the degree to which the Higher Self is attempting to make contact with the ordinary self.)

The difference between extraverts and introverts can also be characterised in a religious way. Extraverts are attuned to the things of the world. They are materialistic and hungry for status, possessions and power. They hate looking inwards because they invariably find almost nothing there.

The introverts are the opposite. They are anti-materialistic and have little interest in the cheap, gaudy "glories" of this world. They always look inwards where they find a rich and dazzling inner world that seems to offer them the prospect of reaching an understanding of the universe. Only introverts stand much of a chance of ever encountering their Higher Self.

Most extraverts aren't looking and aren't interested. In fact many believe they have already attained their Higher Selves when they have a good night out, full of sex, drugs, drink and laughter.

Introverts are unworldly while extraverts are obsessed with the things of the world. The introverts are the natural children of the True God, while the extraverts are those who tend to gravitate towards Satan – Rex Mundi, the King of the World, master of materialism.

So, are you submissive or dominant, extravert or introvert? Satan is primarily interested in dominant extraverts while he fears dominant introverts. Most of those reading this book will be dominant introverts. The Illuminati is an organisation predominantly of dominant introverts.

The mind war that is waged on earth – and over which Satan and his archons preside – is between dominant extraverts and dominant introverts. Submissives play no part. Since the dominant extraverts are much more aligned to the world, they are usually far more successful in terms of money and power than the dominant introverts who are often not worldly at all.

Dominant extraverts seek domination over others; dominant introverts are like Nietzsche's Supermen who seek dominance primarily over themselves: they want to be experts in self-mastery. They want to define themselves and create themselves.

Every problem on earth could be solved and Satan's power smashed forever if only the world were controlled by dominant, altruistic introverts rather than dominant, selfish extraverts. That, in truth, is the historical mission of the Illuminati – to end the tyrannical rule of those who seek dominance over others rather than dominance over themselves.

From the ranks of dominant introverts come all of the world's greatest philosophers, poets, mystics, artists, seers, theoretical scientists, theoretical psychologists, theoretical mathematicians, prophets, authors of novels of ideas, makers of spiritual films, visionaries, shamans, gurus, wandering holy men.

They are perfectionists, system builders and architects of grand utopias. They are often highly unconventional but they try to mask it for sake of social conformity. They are the inspiration of the world – its soul – but they are certainly not the world's rulers. That is the world's tragedy. (But the Illuminati are aware of another great danger – dominant introverts who have activated their "shadow" and become as bad as the dominant extraverts. One of Satan's central aims is to cause the unleashing of the shadow of dominant introverts. This has led to many of his greatest triumphs.)

Who are the greediest people on earth? Dominant extraverts. Who are the people consumed with lust for power? Dominant extraverts. Who are those obsessed with status and worldly successful? Dominant extraverts. In Hegel's master-slave dialectic, who are the masters? Dominant extraverts. Which people make up the bulk of the political class of Washington DC? Dominant extraverts. Which people make up the bulk of Wall Street's captains? Dominant extraverts. Most lawyers, entrepreneurs, tycoons and moguls are what? Dominant extraverts.

Given the incredible wealth and power of dominant extraverts, what possible chance do the Illuminati have in ever overthrowing these natural-born tyrants, these firstborn children of Satan? The Illuminati have a single advantage – they are much smarter. Unfortunately, their smartness comes in the form of an unworldly, abstract intelligence that does not readily lend itself to taking power from those who already have it and know exactly how to use it.

Dominant introverts have the answers to the world's problems, but they can't get into a position of power where they can implement those answers. The world is set up to ensure that those who are smart enough to run it benevolently and in the interests of all will never get into power. This is the supreme Satanic irony. The world is left with the worst people in charge – egotists and narcissists always looking out for number one. That is why Satan is so hard to overcome.

Every mainstream system on earth – religious, political, economic, educational, social, military, legal – is set up by and for dominant extraverts. They are Satan's most beloved, his levers for controlling this wretched Earth.

When you contemplate Satan, don't think of the fires of hell and the acrid whiff of brimstone. Instead, think of Satan and his archons as resembling smartly dressed Wall Street executives. Think of them in a luxury headquarters full of state-of-the-art technology. Imagine that they possess the psychological profiles of everyone of any significance. Just as recruitment headhunters have the task of finding the "best" person for a job, so Satan and his archons seek the best people to advance their agenda. If they ever approached you, you

would have no idea who they were – although you might well have a strong intuition. They would seem highly intelligent, capable, polished, articulate, calm and controlled, cool and confident. You might never have met people so sure of themselves. They might dazzle you.

And when they said good things about you, then made you an incredible offer allowing all of your dreams to come true, would you ask too many questions? You might be tempted to enter a Faustian pact with them, but it wouldn't be one where they demanded your soul or asked you to sign any contract in blood. None of that is necessary. When you deal with them, your soul is already lost. They are simply leading it in the direction in which it is eager to go.

They don't say who they are. They don't offer any details about themselves. They simply say that they represent the most ancient and powerful organisation on earth that very rarely gives anyone an opportunity to work with it. They say that they only deal with elite people, the very finest in the world.

Do you turn them down? Virtually no one turns their back on this particular organisation. They could easily call themselves Dream Corp, because they deliver beyond people's wildest dreams.

There's only one downside – you become one of the damned!

Identity Crisis

It is impossible to function in the world if you don't have an identity. When hostile forces are seeking to destroy your mind, they will immediately focus on removing your identity. Common tactics for breaking someone's identity are: giving them a number rather than a name (thus making them seem like something off a production line than a unique individual); putting them in solitary confinement and shutting them off from any human contact; disrupting their sleep or preventing them from sleeping at all; playing loud, discordant music at all times of the day; submitting them to regular, humiliating body checks; invading their personal space at any time; refusing to acknowledge anything said by the victim. The American torture regime at Guantanamo Bay is expert in all the right tactics.

In Auschwitz, Primo Levi tried to grab an icicle outside his window, intending to melt it and drink the water. A guard prowling outside spotted him and snatched the icicle away from him.

“Why?” Levi asked.

“Here there is no why,” the guard snarled.

In that world, Levi was permitted no identity, no right to ask a question, no right to expect one, no right to expect anything at all. He was subject to the arbitrary will of the system and there was absolutely nothing he could do about it. Many Jews in concentration camps simply threw themselves against electrified fences to kill themselves. They had lost the will to live. Who could blame them?

Franz Kafka prefigured the Holocaust in his novel *The Trial*. The protagonist of the novel, Josef K, is arrested one morning but never told why, goes through an elaborate trial where no answers are ever provided, and is put to death at the end. He is found guilty of a crime that is never specified and receives a capital sentence. No one cared about his identity. The world was indifferent to him.

Imagine trying to negotiate life without a personal identity, without knowing who you are. Many people suffering from severe autism don't have anything that can be recognised as a personal identity. They're helpless, incapable of functioning independently in the world.

Alzheimer's disease leaves people with no identity. Their memory goes. They can't recognise their siblings, their children, their husband or wife. Finally they can't even recognise themselves. Identity has vanished. All that remains is a husk, empty of meaningful life.

There are many medical conditions that strip people of their identity. In all such cases, the people can no longer take any part in the world and must be cared for round the clock.

Identity is a group phenomenon as well as an individual one. Severe autistics have no empathy with other human beings. They don't understand the concept of “Theory of Mind” which concerns knowing that other people have a mind and thoughts that are different from yours. Imagine trying to get through life if you were certain that at all times your thoughts and feelings were known to all other people. Because they weren't doing what you expected, not acting as you

would even though they knew exactly what you were thinking and feeling, you would quickly conclude that they were doing it for perverse reasons, that they were hostile to you, your enemies. Other people would soon seem terrifying. Severe autistics are scared of strangers. They adopt ritualistic behaviour as a form of control in a world which they perceive as out of control and supremely hostile.

So, if you can't attribute an identity to others, your own identity is in severe trouble because the behaviour of others becomes incomprehensible. Also, most people want their identity to be compatible with those around them so that they don't stand out, so that they are not ostracised. They shape their identity with regard to others, not themselves. How many true "individuals" are there i.e. people who define themselves by their own standards, rules and values?

As Nietzsche said: "We are the new, the unique, the incomparable, those who impose on themselves their own law, those who create themselves." How many people are genuinely capable of doing that?

The Abrahamic Identity Crisis

Most of the forces at play in the world are trying to prevent the Nietzschean vision ever coming to fruition. The Abrahamic religions are horrified by the concept of strong, free, independently minded individuals. They have no use for such people. They want only automatons, indelibly branded by the Abrahamic stamp.

In Islam, how many Muslims are encouraged to read about Buddhism, Hinduism, Sikhism, Judaism, Christianity, Gnosticism or any other religion? None. In fact, it would be dangerous and undesirable since all books about other religions necessarily contradict the Koran. Science and philosophy contradict the Koran, so these too are rejected. Islamic universities are barely worthy of the title since the vast majority do not permit any serious scientific or philosophical study. There are virtually no prominent Islamic philosophers, scientists or mathematicians. It's not because there's any Western conspiracy going on: it's because the "sanctity" of the Koran makes

any challenge to it unacceptable and “haram” – forbidden.

No one in the Islamic world is allowed to publicly express any sentiments or thoughts contrary to the teachings of the Koran. This is complete mind control. Genuine free speech is non-existent. To doubt the Koran is to commit a thought crime. To criticise the Koran is to court being executed. And yet Islam regards itself as a “good”, enlightened religion. Rather, it is the most frightened religion imaginable. It is terrified of criticism, terrified of doubt, terrified of questions, terrified of new ideas, terrified of modernity. If it could, it would turn the world into the Arabian desert of 1400 years ago and nothing would ever change. *Ever*. The whole world would be frozen permanently and there would be only one book on Earth: the Koran.

The people of present-day Afghanistan don’t look as though they are living in the modern world. Women in burqas seem to come from a land that time forgot. You could never look at a woman in a burqa and think you were seeing a healthy vision of humanity’s future. You can think only of a sad, grim and terrifying past that you hoped and prayed had been buried long before. But it’s still here, and getting bigger. In some places in the world, the shadows are becoming thicker and casting themselves further than ever. In some places, it’s as though the Enlightenment never happened. In fact, it never did in Islam. They are centuries behind Westerners. That’s why they can’t compete. They beat the West in only way – homicidal and suicidal religious fanaticism.

How can any civilised religion put women in burqas? How can it do this to women? Is this liberation? Is this modernity? Is this the future? Or are these people stuck forever in the past? Would any woman who had not been exposed to Islamic beliefs ever of her own volition choose to dress this way? These women have had their identity negated. They are all the same. None of them is an individual. None of them can think for themselves. They are slaves who delude themselves that they are free. In Islam, the testimony of two women is considered the equivalent of that of one man. In other words, women have half the value of men. Even that must be debatable. Women in burqas appear to be assigned no human value at all. And, of course, all

Muslim men are entitled to have up to four wives at any one time. (Mohammed himself seems to have had at least 13 wives during his lifetime, but Muslim women are only allowed to have one husband at a time.) Sheikh Maulana Abu Sayeed, president of the Islamic Sharia Council in Britain asserted that rape was “impossible” within an Islamic marriage. How can any woman freely choose to remain a Muslim?

If Muslims could get away with it, they would burn every book other than the Koran because that’s the only book anyone needs. Islam hates thinking, hates ideas. It’s locked for all time into the mindset of the Arabia of Mohammed’s lifetime when he conjured the “Word of Allah” into existence.

Islam is about closing your mind to other ideas, shutting down debate, narrowing your view of the world until it consists of only one thing – the Koran. Anyone who follows this formula will be an excellent Muslim and a hopeless and spectacularly ignorant human being. How many Islamic countries are renowned for their intellectual endeavours, for their cutting edge thinking, for their innovative art and technology? None. Thinking and creativity are incompatible with Islam. Progress itself is incompatible. It is unnecessary as far as Islam is concerned.

Islam is anti-evolutionary in every sense. It wants the Earth of a million years hence to be exactly the same as the earth of 1400 years ago. Because the Koran, supposedly, is never out of date.

Afghanistan already physically looks like a nation 1400 years behind the rest of the world. That’s what Islam does to a country. Can you imagine a single clever person living in Afghanistan? If you were clever, you would be on the first plane out. Would any clever person want to go there?

Afghanistan, through its self-chosen religious beliefs, has turned itself into a wasteland where no clever thing will ever grow. No progress is possible in Afghanistan. It is beyond salvation. It should be allowed to make its way back to the Stone Age where it clearly wants to go, where the teachings of Allah can be most properly experienced. It is madness for the West to intervene in Afghanistan. It is beyond

help. Islam has made it so.

Look at Africa. The same thing. In any African country where Islam is predominant, the country is backward and without hope. Islam is the religion of the Dark Ages, the religion of anti-enlightenment. It is one of the greatest of all tragedies of the human race. That's not an opinion. It's a demonstrable fact. No wonder Muslims are full of such murderous rage. No wonder they are maniacs. The modern world must seem horrific to them in every way.

The most intelligent people on earth are atheists, agnostics and gnostics while the least intelligent are the followers of the Abrahamic religions (particularly Islam, Fundamentalist Christianity and Orthodox Judaism). The more backward and primitive a nation is the more likely it is to turn to the Abrahamic faiths. There are virtually no atheists, agnostics or gnostics in the most stupid nations of the world. There are no atheists in Afghanistan. Faith in Abrahamic religions and intelligence are inversely correlated. A vicious circle is activated. You need intelligence to escape the mind control of the Abrahamic faiths, but if you are under their mind control you will never acquire the necessary intelligence. These faiths have no interest at all in intelligence. Martin Luther, the founder of Protestantism, declared, "Reason is the Devil's whore." That certainly sums up the attitude of the Abrahamic faiths to logic and intelligence.

Muslims rage against the West, but it's their own religion that's their biggest problem. That's the one conclusion they will never reach, of course. It's their own religion that holds them back, that prevents them from developing and prospering. Does anyone seriously imagine that the True God desires that people should be stupid fanatics whose entire knowledge of existence resides in a single book composed in the Arabian Desert 1400 years ago? Would the True God reward someone who has laboriously memorised the entire contents of this one book until they were word perfect, but didn't know a single thing about anything else?

Islam is beyond the touch of modernity and progress. It is a roadblock to advancement. Anyone who follows this religion has placed themselves outside of the upward rise of humanity. Islam is a

time machine whose purpose is to take you back 1400 years and strand you in the desert.

Who wants to hold humanity back? The Demiurge. Who wants people to be ignorant and close-minded? The Demiurge. Who inspired the writing of the Koran?

If there are any Muslims reading this who profoundly disagree with everything we've said then defend your religion with reasoned arguments. All too often Islam issues crazed fatwas against anyone who dares to disagree with it. What kind of God is afraid of debate? What kind of God is sensitive to criticism? The True God is not a hypersensitive neurotic. But Islam is.

Muslims are secretly ashamed that their nations are so backward. When they finally struck back against the American superpower, what did they do? – they hijacked American planes and used them in suicide missions. How primitive can you get? They had to be sneaky and suicidal. They didn't stand up as men. They can't compete with Western power so they have to resort to the most shameful tactics to try to make an impression. That is the will of Allah, apparently. Sneak attacks by sneaky people.

Suicide bombers were proving quite successful in Israel until Israel built a huge wall and shut them all out. Now that's smart. Why can't Muslims do smart things like that? Why don't Muslims have pilot-less drones similar to the ones the West uses against them? Because they don't have the technology. Why don't they have the technology? Because they don't have any scientists. Why don't they have any scientists? Because science contradicts the Koran, and that is not permitted.

In the times of the Crusades, the Islamic warrior leader Saladin routed the Christian forces facing him, and reached a negotiated settlement with a fresh Christian army under Richard the Lionheart. Islamic power was very much the equal of Christian military strength. Centuries later, at the battle of Omdurman in 1898, an army of 8,000 British soldiers and 17,000 Sudanese and Egyptian auxiliaries faced 50,000 Islamic Dervishes under the command of an Islamic fanatic who had succeeded the so-called Mahdi (an Islamic Messiah figure).

10,000 Dervishes were killed, 13,000 wounded and 5,000 taken prisoner. The British-led army lost fifty men. Their use of modern artillery and rifles against tribesmen armed with swords, spears and outdated rifles resulted in nothing short of a massacre. Islam had fallen catastrophically behind Christianity in terms of the arms race. It has never recovered.

After the foundation of the modern State of Israel, the small Israeli army was able to swat away much larger Islamic armies over several decades. The strongest Islamic army in recent years – the Iraqi – was destroyed in days by the new Crusader coalition of America and Britain. Their resistance was pathetic, their weapons outdated, their leadership inadequate, their tactics hopeless. The Afghanis were able to defeat the Soviets only after being given enormous supplies of Western weapons by the CIA. They could never have triumphed on their own.

Islam cannot compete with the West and the reason it cannot compete is that there is no freethinking in Islam, no exploration, no innovation, no invention, no new ideas. Everything is sterile and outdated. And the gap can only grow wider.

Is it the will of Allah that Muslims should creep around in the night as thieves, kidnappers, hostage takers, and pirates? Is it the will of Allah that suicide should be Muslims' primary weapon? Is it the will of Allah that no Islamic army could ever take on a Western army in any proper battle without it ending in a mass slaughter of the Muslims? Allah has failed the Muslims as much as Jehovah did the Jews during the Holocaust.

Muslims will never abandon belief in Allah, not because of the strength of their faith but because of how desperately they cling to their Islamic identity. No one will sacrifice their identity if they are incapable of creating a new one, and all followers of Abrahamic religions are incapable of that. They have been rendered so by the brutal brainwashing and mind control to which they have been subjected by these religions since birth.

A boy born into a Muslim family is circumcised to brand him as a Muslim. He is immediately the victim of Islamic brainwashing. As

soon as possible, he will be learning the Koran, praying five times a day, wearing Islamic clothes, going to a mosque and prostrating himself like everyone else around him. He will never be allowed alcohol or drugs, he will not be permitted to have sex outside marriage and he will have to eat halal food and never touch pork because (somehow) that's dirty.

The 9/11 suicide killers shaved off all their body hair, ritually washed themselves, recited the Koran and then set out to commit mass murder. They cleansed themselves in order to do the dirtiest work. Perhaps they thought that was logical. The Koran frequently uses the phrase, "In the name of Allah, the Merciful, the Compassionate..." What was merciful or compassionate about the 9/11 suicide/homicide gang? The Abrahamic religions are full of double talk, double-dealing and doublethink.

When Christianity wanted to carve out a non-Jewish identity (despite the fact that Jesus Christ was a practising Jew), it had to repudiate Jewish signifiers such as circumcision, the Jewish Sabbath, Jewish dietary laws and kosher food, and Jewish clothes.

Even before a "Christian" baby can speak, it is baptised to mark it as Christian. Not exactly a well-informed, free choice, is it? And Christianity, like Islam, threatens you with hell if you disagree with anything it teaches.

As for Judaism, this is a religion with only a few million adherents. It is an absurd and pointless religion, but it is sustained by the fact that Jews have an exceptionally strong identity forged in adversity. Because of all the persecution they have endured down the ages, each new generation of Jews feels duty-bound to maintain their Jewishness. If they didn't, it would be as if the historical suffering of the Jews was all for nothing. It is not Judaism they are preserving but their identity as Jews, an entirely different thing. If they had the wisdom to create a new, healthy, future-orientated identity for themselves, Judaism would simply fade away into the mists of time where it belongs.

We appeal to all members of all Abrahamic religions to think for yourselves. Make up your own minds. Don't obey pointless rituals just because someone told you to, or because it's written in a book.

Study other religions, other ideas, other cultures. Take yourself out of the ghetto. Become part of the human race and not a member of a violent sect. Broaden your horizons. Look to the future, not the past.

Look at the difference between being told to get down on your knees and bow to “God” (to place yourself in a posture of absolute submission) and hearing the good news that you yourself can become God, that you yourself can enter into full union with the divine. Why would you bow down to yourself?

In one mindset you are made to be the worthless, grovelling slave of a grotesque tyrant who wallows in blood sacrifice; in the other you are given the maximum possible self-esteem through the knowledge that you contain the divine spark and can be one with God.

Which version of religion do you think is healthier for humanity: being a slave of God, or God’s equal (in fact being God yourself)?

The Illuminati greatly admire the atheist philosophy of Nietzsche because in his concept of the self-created, self-mastering übermensch (the Superman), brimming with creativity and passion, he comes remarkably close to the central Illuminist doctrine that we can all become God. Better a Nietzschean atheist any day than a slave of the Abrahamic religions.

The biggest question of our time is that of identity. Who should be allowed to shape the identity of a child? Should parents, who are often themselves the victims of brainwashing, have the right to fill their children’s minds with poison, junk, division, sectarianism, anti-science and ignorance in the name of some ancient prophet or “holy” text? When are children ever consulted regarding their parents’ choices? Shouldn’t every child have the right to make up its own mind? Isn’t that an absolute right? Doesn’t that imply that no one, especially their parents, should be allowed to brainwash them? The state should ensure that every child is presented with all relevant ideas, each given parity of treatment, and the child can then freely decide which ideas appeal to them. The child should not have to fear any retaliation, ill effects or sanctions if they disagree with their parents.

Religions would fight to the bitter end to prevent such a right for

children. Their power comes from brainwashing children from birth and they will never relinquish that power voluntarily.

The state should stand up to mainstream religion for once and put an end to the mind control of vulnerable children. Is there any more heartbreaking sight than a young girl or boy dressed in the clothes of an Orthodox Jew? Such a child is marked out for the rest of its life. It will never be able to integrate with children of other communities. It will be permanently alien, different, other, shunned, ostracised – all because of a choice made for it by its parents who are supposed to love and care for it. Isn't that child abuse? Do parents have the right to destroy their children's future?

Here is the issue. Should you be in charge of your identity, or should your parents or the leaders of your parents' religion have that power? Should you create your own identity, or have it imposed on you by others? The Nietzschean formula of creating yourself is the opposite of what mainstream religion preaches. The Jesuits used to say, "Give me a child until he is seven and I will give you the man." In other words, if you have the chance to brainwash a child in his most impressionable years, you've got him for life. Therefore, no Jesuits, or anyone else with brainwashing intent (including parents who want to brainwash their children with their beliefs rather than helping them to find their own values in life), should be permitted anywhere near young boys and girls.

Many people write to us to say how unhappy they were with the religious indoctrination they received when they were growing up. Many have fallen out with their families. All of these people should applaud themselves: they had the strength and courage to break out of the box, to forge their own path, to take the Nietzschean route.

Once your identity is set, it is astoundingly difficult to change it. If your identity is defined as Islamic, Orthodox Jew or Christian Fundamentalist, the chances of your escaping from that identity are slim.

Muslims can't stand criticism of their religion not because Allah is frightened of debate but because criticism challenges their fragile identity. They are not strong or intelligent enough to create their own

identity, so their whole sense of self is invested in what they were brainwashed into believing by their parents. If they turn against their religion, they relinquish their identity, and that would leave them utterly lost, abandoned and in existential despair.

Every Muslim, deep down, knows this is true. But they are trapped. Their identity has been poured out in quick-setting concrete and they can't escape. There is no mechanism of escape. One must almost admire the brilliant and ruthless brainwashing tactics of religion. They are staggeringly effective. They lock you in for life. They promise you paradise if you obey and eternal punishment if you don't: they maximise the incentive for being a fanatic, and equally the penalty for not being one. This is classic operant conditioning (using rewards to encourage the desired behaviour and punishments to penalise undesired behaviour) taken to its absolute extreme, turning humans into Pavlovian dogs. You need to be incredibly mentally tough and sure of yourself to be able to swim against that tide.

If Orthodox Jews, extremist Muslims etc. could step outside of their existing identity for a day, they would see why they are viewed with such revulsion and horror by most of the world. But these people are incapable of escaping their identity; they will never see themselves through the eyes of others. They will always perceive themselves as victims rather than the authors of their own misfortune because of their exclusive, isolationist, fanatical behaviour. They never blame themselves. They never blame their religion. They never blame their God. But why not? Shouldn't Yahweh be blamed for all of the misfortunes of the Jews? Shouldn't Allah be blamed for all of the misfortunes of the Muslims? After all, it's the will of Allah that Muslim nations are far behind Western nations. Shouldn't that be a sign to Muslims that they have incurred Allah's displeasure by following Islam and that they will find favour with Allah if they follow a different religion? But they never think that way. They always conclude that they must redouble their faith in Islam, become even more extreme and fanatical to win their God's favour.

People cling to absurd beliefs because they have nowhere else to go. Better to believe in nonsense that gives you an identity than to lose

your identity and have nothing.

Other Identities

Religion is not the only force that shapes identity. Nationalism/patriotism, the glorification of your national history, bowing to the flag and putting your hand over your heart while you sing the national anthem, is all about forcing a particular identity upon you. Us and Them.

America excels at creating national identity. You will find Irish Americans, African Americans, Polish Americans, Italian Americans, Chinese Americans etc. They are all different types of American. In a country such as Britain, people of Hindu, Islamic or Sikh ancestry typically refer to themselves as British Asians, not as Asian Britons.

An Irish American is an American with Irish ancestry i.e. the American part of the equation is paramount. A British Muslim is a Muslim of the type that lives in Britain i.e. the Muslim part of the equation is paramount, not the British part. Religion trumps nationalism. Britain has no national identity.

Facebook is increasingly instrumental in shaping identities, but what kind of identities are these? *Facebook* is about social conformity. There are no real individuals on *Facebook*. It's not an environment for "characters".

The propaganda of "freedom", "democracy", "free market capitalism", "choice" is another route to creating identity. You are brainwashed into thinking that your political leaders are on your side, that the rich deserve to be rich, that markets will solve every problem, that there is no alternative to the form of government imposed on you.

The whole world could be changed in just one generation if parents were prevented from brainwashing their children. The caste system of India would collapse; the Abrahamic religions would collapse; racism, sexism and nationalism would collapse.

To have a New World Order all that is needed is to give children the right not to be brainwashed. How could anyone object to that?

The fall of the Berlin Wall was an astonishing event because overnight it destroyed the Communist identity. Now we need another

wall to fall: Wall Street. If Wall Street were closed it would signify the end of free markets and unregulated, unconstrained capitalism. But who has the guts to close it?

And the Abrahamic religious Wall that divides the “Holy Land” must fall too. When it does, the wicked age of Moses, Jesus and Mohammed will finally be over. The world can then look forward to a new era free of the Demiurge.

We applaud the Green Revolution in Iran where young Muslims are taking the first steps towards freedom. The internet is opening their eyes to the world. They are starting to discover a new identity.

Nothing is more important than identity. It determines everything you do. Heraclitus said, “Character is fate,” but it’s even truer that identity is fate. If you are given an Islamic, Orthodox Jewish, Fundamentalist Christian, Sikh, Buddhist or Hindu identity, your course in life is largely set. Hinduism inflicts the catastrophe of the caste system on you. If you’re unfortunate enough to be given the identity of an “untouchable”, your life is over before it has even begun. In Britain, there was a recent story of an “untouchable” woman working in a shop. A woman of “high” caste came into the shop, bought something then refused to accept the few coins in change because it would bring her into physical contact with the untouchable. Touching such a person would render her “dirty” and impure. Should Britain, an advanced country, allow this kind of discrimination to exist? Why doesn’t Britain remove this “caste” identity from Hindus living in Britain? Those who don’t like such a policy are welcome to leave. In fact, let’s forget the political correctness – they should be forced to leave if they don’t change their ways.

A female Muslim teacher in Britain was dressed in the long black robes of Fundamentalist Islam while she was teaching a group of children of all faiths. Is this acceptable? Why should her beliefs be inflicted on them? When a male teacher entered the class, the Muslim female refused to shake his hand (because Fundamentalist Islam forbids it) and immediately donned a face veil so that only her eyes were visible (the implication being that the male teacher was an uncontrollably lustful monster who wouldn’t be able to take his eyes

off her face). Why should anyone in Britain tolerate this? If that female Muslim teacher wants to behave that way, shouldn't she be invited to go and teach in a Muslim country and be banned from teaching in any British school?

In Northern Ireland, the very wealthy wife of Northern Ireland's "First Minister" went on a radio show and condemned homosexuality as an "abomination", citing the Book of Leviticus. The book of Leviticus also condemns adultery and this woman was exposed as having had an affair with a 19-yr-old boy when she was 59. She also arranged for two businessmen to give him £50,000 to set him up in business. The hypocrisy of this person – a Fundamentalist Protestant Christian – is nauseating. She has now suffered a complete mental breakdown. That's what happens to you when your hypocrisy catches up with you.

In the Tsunami of 2004, a Buddhist woman lost two children in the disaster. She was asked what she felt about it and she said they must have been very bad in a previous life. What kind of ideology makes a mother regard her two innocent children as monsters who deserved to be drowned? It's unacceptable.

A Sikh man in Britain was recently complaining about religious discrimination because a Sikh boy was prevented from entering a classroom with a five-inch knife known as a *kirpan* (which, for some bizarre reason, Sikh males are always supposed to carry). Britain is in the midst of an epidemic of knife killings and is clamping down on anyone carrying a knife. Why should Britain make any concessions to Sikhs? If they don't like it, why don't the Sikhs leave?

All over Britain, there are small communities of Orthodox Jews who cut themselves off as much as possible from all non-Jews. Is this acceptable in Britain? Why aren't such people invited to leave?

A tolerant people can be tolerant of everything bar one thing – intolerance, and towards intolerance the tolerant must adopt absolute intolerance, for to tolerate intolerance is to accept intolerance and thus negate any meaningful claim to being tolerant. Tolerance cannot co-exist with intolerance. It must eradicate it. In the name of tolerance, all intolerant aspects of all religions must be anathematised and abolished

by any advanced, tolerant nation. The toleration of intolerance simply leads to more intolerance. There can be no appeasement in the name of multiculturalism. Different cultures that don't sign up to tolerance must be expelled with "extreme prejudice."

Class Identity

Another major force in shaping your identity is what class you belong to. The Western class system resembles the Hindu caste system. If you belong to the "underclass", just as if you were an "untouchable", forget it. You are going nowhere in life. If you belong to the Power Elite, you've got it made. You can look forward to a life of luxury and power. The "only" problem you have is that you will never achieve enlightenment because you will be so corrupted by the pleasures and vanities of the world.

The identity conferred upon the members of the Power Elite is that they are the rightful masters, the chosen ones, the elect, the saved, those favoured by God. They have the divine right to rule others. They are brimming with confidence. Every door opens for them. They pass through life effortlessly, without any of the struggles and setbacks that afflict others.

If you are not part of the Power Elite, your identity is that you are here to serve them, to respect your betters, to know your place, cause no trouble, to accept your lot in life, to go to work as a drone of the Elite, tug your forelock and never complain.

It is common for people to be intimidated by another's identity, especially if they recognise that the other is of higher caste, a member of the privileged elite. They instinctively defer to them...unless they are someone like Diogenes the Cynic who couldn't have cared less about status.

A famous story is told about an encounter between Alexander the Great and Diogenes. Alexander stood opposite Diogenes and said, "I am Alexander the Great."

"And I," said he, "am Diogenes the Cynic."

Alexander asked, "Are you not afraid of me?"

"Why, what are you," said Diogenes, "a good thing or a bad?"

Alexander replied, “A good thing.”

“Who, then, is afraid of the good?” Diogenes said.

Alexander said, “Ask of me any boon you like.” To which Diogenes replied, “Stand out of my light.”

Alexander said, “Had I not been Alexander, I should have liked to be Diogenes.”

We should all be like Diogenes. We should never be intimidated by another’s identity.

So, if your identity is so decisive in shaping the type of life you lead, shouldn’t you be the one who is allowed to choose it? Isn’t it your fundamental right, perhaps the most fundamental right of all? Shouldn’t it be the function of the State to ensure that you are able to make a free choice without any interference from your parents, religion, community or government? It should be determined by your own psychology, your own thoughts, your own personal preferences.

Identity Conflict

Muslims living in the West suffer a profound conflict between their identity as people living and working in Western nations (which have completely different values from Islam), and their Islamic identity. The two identities are mutually exclusive. You can’t ride both horses. This tension invariably leads to extreme behaviour in certain people. Those who feel most tempted by Western values are often those who become the most fanatical Muslims. It’s as though they’re trying to cut out that other part of themselves that is attracted to the West. It’s an alien identity that’s threatening to engulf their Muslim identity, so they have to eradicate it or all is lost.

Look at the chilling example of Abdul Farouk Abdulmutallab, the Detroit Christmas Bomber. His father was a former economics minister of Nigeria and a retired chairman of the First Bank of Nigeria. Abdulmutallab attended one of Britain’s elite universities and lived in a luxury apartment in London. He had the world at his feet... if he were comfortable with a Western identity. But he wasn’t. His Islamic identity was much stronger. Abdulmutallab was racked with

guilt about his lustful thoughts. He talked of touching himself inappropriately, of sometimes failing to avert his eyes when an attractive girl walked past, of having impure thoughts. He was consumed with desire for sex, but his Islamic identity forbade it. So he became suicidally crazy. To be as blunt as possible about it, if Abdulmutallab had enjoyed a good, healthy FUCK, he would never have become a would-be suicide bomber. He was trying to channel his lust into something higher – martyrdom. Lust is a lot healthier than martyrdom, Abdulmutallab. Get a life, not a death.

Jihad is about struggle. The struggle can be external (“holy war”) or internal (spiritual struggle). Identity conflict makes it much more likely that jihad will express itself externally rather than internally. As homicidal violence against others. All non-Muslims should be absolutely mistrustful of Muslims. It would be stupid not to be. They are exceptionally dangerous people. They have proved it over and over again. When a religion starts burning books and trying to kill cartoonists, you know it is mentally ill.

Identity conflicts are always bad news for the individuals involved and for society. Much of Islamic terrorism is a product of a fatal identity conflict between Islamic and Western values.

Nothing is more important than identity, so the function of the State should be to ensure that everyone has a healthy, self-chosen identity that fits who they are and doesn't lead to unbearable tensions, neuroses and psychoses. Most mental illness is a product of damage to identity, failure to carve out a strong identity, or failure to embrace the identity that has been imposed on you.

The State should pay particular attention to identity conflicts because, one way or another, these lead to trouble. But, tragically, the State always turns a blind eye.

A technical expert might be promoted to a management role, but his new managerial identity is completely different from his previous identity as a technical guru. Many promotions go wrong because an employee is taken away from an identity that suits him to one that doesn't.

Some people are natural managers: they easily fit that identity.

Others (most managers) do not have any charisma, wisdom or natural authority. Their teams do not respect them. Conflict erupts. People get fired. The world is full of people with constructed identities, conferred on them by a hierarchy, but which simply do not fit them. Unhappiness and conflict is the result.

Nothing is worse than to have a fake identity, an identity constructed for you by others, an identity that simply doesn't fit you.

Make your own choices in life. Do not submit to anyone else. Do not submit to religion or "God". Have dignity, self-respect, strength and the courage to create yourself.

Are you a human being or a slave? Do you seriously imagine that the True God wants you bowing down to him, prostrating yourself before him, too scared to raise your eyes? What do you think he is? A monster? Get off your knees. Stand up. Be a human being.

You have to be comfortable in your own skin, to feel that you are fulfilling yourself, being all you can be, or at least having the chance to give it your very best shot.

Sikhism

"A Sikh is any woman or man whose faith consists of belief in one God, the ten Gurus, the teachings of the Guru Granth Sahib and of the ten Gurus, who has faith in the amrit of the tenth Guru, and who adheres to no other religion." -- Rahit Maryada

"Sikh" means a learner or disciple. Sikhism is a case study in how a relatively new religion seeks to control identity. Guru Nanak Dev founded Sikhism in Punjab in India in the fifteenth century. Nanak Dev was brought up as Hindu and was appalled by the ongoing conflict between Islam and Hinduism (which continues to this day). Sikhism was basically a well-meant attempt to reconcile the two opposing religions. It emphasises one God (as Islam does), but it retains the Hindu elements of Maya, reincarnation, karma, samsara and moksha (mukti in Punjabi), while abandoning the Hindu caste system. The Guru presumably thought he had found a basis to bring the two religions together and end the conflict. He failed.

But look at how he imposed the Sikh identity on his followers. All Sikh males are expected to wear a turban and abide by the five outward signs required of a Sikh, the so-called five Ks: *kesh* (uncut hair), *kangha* (comb), *kirpan* (sword), *kachh* (cotton breeches) and *kara* (iron bangle). Sikhs, with their turbans and beards, are amongst the most instantly recognisable people in the world. But why can't Sikhs choose their own appearance? Why don't they reject the turban and the beard and all the rest of it? Why do they go along with this nonsense?

Because Guru Nanak Dev is controlling their identity from the grave. The True God has no interest whatever in what people wear, so why are so many religions obsessed with appearance, with specific clothes such as turbans and burqas, tassels and skullcaps? Because appearance is a main determinant of identity. By controlling appearance, a "prophet" puts a brand on his tribe; he divides them from others, raises barriers between them and others, causes conflict between them and others. This is all ideal for locking people into a particular identity. If Sikhs stopped wearing turbans and beards, Sikhism would be dead in a generation. If every Muslim woman wore Western clothes, Islam would be dead in a generation. If all Orthodox Jews wore regular Western clothes, Orthodox Judaism would be dead in a generation.

So, any State that wishes to free the people of the malignant identities that mainstream religions impose on people simply has to ban all religious signifiers and identifiers. But what State would be courageous enough to do that?

Any religion that demands control of your appearance is evil and all decent people should reject such religions. Your appearance is for you to decide, not some long dead prophet.

Wake up! Don't let them control you. Don't let them impose an identity on you. Don't obey them. Never submit to a prophet or a holy book. Think for yourself. Wear what you like. Eat what you like. Pray when you like. Don't you want to be free rather than a slave? Create your own identity. Create yourself. Because only those who do can become God. Nietzsche, an atheist, came far closer to the True God

than any follower of any mainstream religion.

Read Nietzsche. Reject all mainstream religions. Your objective is not to worship God, but to become God. Only God has all the answers to the mysteries of life. Therefore if you truly seek those answers then you are seeking the ultimate secret: how to transform yourself into God. The Philosopher's Stone and the Holy Grail – it is precisely with this quest that these are intimately involved. That is why they have supreme spiritual power, why they command such enduring fascination.

Other Religions

How many people of any religious allegiance have a) a detailed understanding of their own religion and b) any sort of understanding of all the other religions of the world? How can anyone fully commit themselves to one religion without knowing anything about the others? Isn't that crazy? If the fate of your soul is at stake, with eternal punishment as the possible penalty for getting it wrong, shouldn't you at least put in some effort to making sure you belong to the right religion? Why assume that your parents raised you in the correct religion? Virtually all Jews, Muslims, Christians etc. are completely clueless about other religions, so how come they place so much faith in their own religion when they have such a lack of inclination to study other religions, complete ignorance of other religions and absolutely no faith in them? (They barely even know much about their own religion yet they pour scorn on others.) It's because they have been brainwashed. It's because their identity has been fully invested in this religion of theirs. People follow the path of least resistance. They even allow their identity to be shaped by others, such is their laziness and apathy.

A good summary and comparison of the world's main religions can be found at: http://www.religionfacts.com/big_religion_chart.htm

A dangerous religious extremist is someone who blindly follows one of the religions on this list while knowing next to nothing about the others. Isn't that almost all religious believers?

The State can curb religious extremism by the simple expedient of

ensuring that all religions, as well as atheism and agnosticism, are taught to all children. Then children can make a free choice of which they prefer.

Can anyone but a fanatic say that is unreasonable?

The Family

Scottish psychiatrist R. D. Laing believed that society, and particularly the family, could induce madness in people. In a famous experiment, he removed a group of mentally disturbed people from all contact with their families. Their symptoms dramatically improved and they were deemed “cured”. As soon as they returned to the family environment, their psychosis erupted again.

Within the family context, an identity had been imposed on these people with which they were deeply uncomfortable. It wasn’t them, but they couldn’t escape from it unless they escaped from the family.

Families are rife with psychological stresses and strains. There are well-known phenomena such as oldest child syndrome, middle child syndrome, youngest child syndrome, only child syndrome, identical twin syndrome, twin syndrome. The dynamic of a family with only male progeny (a sea of testosterone) is completely different from one with only female progeny (a sea of oestrogen). Every family is dysfunctional in one way or another. A community is big enough to smooth out this dysfunction; a family never is.

The family – the basic unit of every society on earth, society’s most sacred cow – is invariably toxic. There is no perfect family. It’s simply not possible. A “successful” family is one that manages to contain the degree of dysfunction to a manageable level.

Not only do individuals and nations have a “shadow”, so do families, and the dark contents are continually being drip-fed into the family home and the family members. No one escapes.

The ordinary urban home breeds neuroses and sometimes psychoses, yet this is the crucible in which the self is forged. Is that healthy?

The poet Philip Larkin, in his bleak poem *This Be The Verse*, expressed the inherent dangers of family life:

*They fuck you up, your mum and dad.
They may not mean to, but they do.
They fill you with the faults they had
And add some extra, just for you.
But they were fucked up in their turn
By fools in old-style hats and coats,
Who half the time were soppy-stern
And half at one another's throats.
Man hands on misery to man.
It deepens like a coastal shelf.
Get out as early as you can,
And don't have any kids yourself.*

Society needs a whole new approach to family. The family cannot be allowed to be a swamp of dysfunction, breeding damaged, disturbed human beings with a warped sense of identity.

Obama, during his election-winning campaign, never stopped talking about “change”. Yet he hasn’t and won’t deliver any real change. He doesn’t understand what change actually is. You don’t change society by tinkering with taxation policy, by juggling budgets, by spending a little more here and a little less there, by making speeches that are never acted upon. There is only one way to change society – by changing people. You change people through family, education and the eradication of mind control from whatever source. You change people by replacing unhealthy identities (imposed on them) with identities tailored for them (and chosen by them). Psychology is the key. Never in the history of the world has psychology been applied to an entire nation to understand each and every citizen, to direct them into areas where they will prosper and away from those where they will fail, to cultivate everyone as a unique and valuable individual.

Unenlightenment

Kevin Trudeau And The “Global Information Network.”

Kevin Trudeau is a notorious conman and scam artist (just Google him to see his past record of deception and fraud) without a shred of integrity or shame. It was always likely that he would turn his attention to The Movement at some point, although we thought he would have waited until it had grown somewhat larger.

The only reason this person has joined is to attempt to lure the unwary into his scam operation known as the *Global Information Network*:

<https://www.globalinformationnetwork.com/>

To join at the most basic level, you are required to pay \$1,000 and monthly fees of \$150. There are eleven other levels, all involving a considerably larger investment.

We have often stated that Freemasonry started out with the noblest aspirations and ideals and, all too soon, became corrupted. People ask us how this happened. The Kevin Trudeau infiltration of The Movement is an example of the process in motion. The wrong people join and set about hijacking the group for their own mercenary ends. They are invariably very persuasive dominant extroverts, and often highly intuitive. They know what buttons to press. They are skilled at bending people to their will, and thus honourable people can find themselves in the worst of company.

The Movement, if it is to flourish and serve a noble purpose, must be capable of resisting phoneys like this. Freemasonry failed to keep out these people. The Movement will succeed in resisting them if it remains true to its ideals, to what it knows is right. This is the Movement’s first test, and also a great opportunity. Let this individual say what he wants. Ignore him. Eventually, once he sees there is no money to be made and no one to feed his ego, he will lose interest and go away.

There is a very simple test of whether someone can be trusted: is he trying to make money from you or not? That’s all that Trudeau wants from you. He’ll say anything to part you from your money.

Now you can see that this is not a game. We are all in a war

whether we like it or not. Kevin Trudeau hates everything that the Illuminati stand for, to such an extent that he actually pretends to know the secrets of the Illuminati (from renegade members, supposedly). We despise Trudeau and his con operation. The Old World Order is full of such people. The *Global Information Network* is a typical organisation of Mammon, serving the will of the Demiurge. It represents everything to which the Illuminati are opposed.

People such as Trudeau will do everything to rip you off. The Movement has only just come into existence and already they are trying to poison it and strangle it at birth. Already, they perceive The Movement as a threat. That is the highest tribute to The Movement. You are knights fighting the good battle.

We will overcome.

Kevin, give it up. Stop thinking of yourself all the time. Stop thinking of money. Stop thinking of status. Try to find your true self. Elevate your sights to higher ends and more noble pursuits. Use your talents for good ends, not for self-promotion and conning the unwary. Forget about mixing with the “Global Elite”. Their day is coming to an end. Their race is run. They are nobodies if the truth be told. If the people boycotted them, their power would vanish in an instant. This is the time of the people. Be on the side of the future.

Would you like to hear the voice of the Demiurge, whispering temptation in your ear in an upper-class English accent?

Go to <https://www.globalinformationnetwork.com/> and listen to the audio file entitled “Personal Invitation – Why you should join GIN.”

You will be promised the wealth of Midas, perfect health, a perfect life: the perfect Faustian bargain. You will learn the secret laws of attraction that guarantee you the partner of your dreams. Secret doors will open for you and you will be granted access to the Global Elite, to the world’s most influential and powerful people, who are all eager to meet you. The secrets of the most ancient and normally inaccessible

societies will be yours. All you need to do is pay several thousand dollars to Kevin Trudeau.

Kevin is the man to whom the super-powerful and rich decide to turn when they choose to become renegades from the various secret societies which have conferred so much wealth and power on them. Rather than mix with the Power Elite, these wonderfully maverick individuals now selflessly choose to mix with Kevin and anyone who can raise the sum demanded by Kevin. What's in it for them, you might ask. Well, they get to be friends with Kevin, don't they? And surely everyone wants to be Kevin's friend. He's a persuasive man. He's dominant, extraverted and highly intuitive. He knows what he's doing. He's confident. He knows what makes you tick. You feel good around him, more positive about yourself. There's just one problem: he's a conman, a snake oil salesman, a fraud, a fake, a phoney, on a mission to take your money and put it in his own pocket, exactly as the Old World Order have been doing since the dawn of time.

Bear this in mind. In the entire history of the Power Elite, no member has ever voluntarily chosen to give up his seat in business class to mix with those in economy class. But Kevin would have you believe otherwise. After all, it's the only way he can persuade you to part with your money.

Conmen are smart. A rich Hollywood actor was recently scammed. The scam went down something like this. A dominant, extraverted, intuitive, charismatic and persuasive man persuaded the actor to give him half a million dollars as an investment, telling him that he would get a twenty percent return within four weeks. True to his word, he gave the actor \$600,000 dollars four weeks later. Wow, thought the actor, this guy really knows what he's doing. "Have you got any more deals like that?" he asked eagerly. "Sure," the conman said, "but I need a million dollars from you for this very special opportunity." The actor handed over the money. He never saw it or the conman ever again. (Although he did see the FBI, who were trying to track down the conman.)

That's the hustle, that's the con. People fall for it all the time. The conman always has a few marks on the go at the same time. He uses

one to pay the other. Of course, he didn't make any money from any clever investment in order to give \$100,000 dollars profit in four weeks to the actor. He simply took money from one investor and gave it to the other to build up confidence in his abilities and earn the actor's trust. As long as he has a few investors to work with at any one time, he always has enough money to pay everyone who needs paying. It's a classic pyramid scheme. Initially, everyone gets an excellent return, until the sting is delivered and the conman walks off with all of the investors' money. More usually in a pyramid scheme, the pyramid suddenly collapses and all of the last group of investors lose all of their investment.

Is Kevin a pyramid salesman? No, Kevin's a gentleman. Kevin's a hero, bringing the financial opportunities and the great secrets of the Global Elite to the ordinary man. Kevin's a freedom fighter, a noble and honourable man. He wouldn't dream of ripping you off. Value for money is what you get from Kevin. Guaranteed. Well, in your fantasy world, perhaps. Real life tends to teach harsher truths.

If you like the sound of what Kevin offers then The Movement isn't for you. Join Kevin. Count your dollars (while you still have them). But remember this. No rich man has ever achieved enlightenment. Slaves of Mammon are incapable of learning any secrets of ancient Orders.

The Secret of Controlling Others

"JS" contacted us with a stimulating article that we have reproduced below. The basic idea is about how everything in modern society is presented in terms of polarities, providing the illusion of choice, but in fact whatever you choose brings exactly the same result: profit/power for certain people.

JS also discusses the sales technique of "packet arrangements", whereby junk and high quality products are put in a single packet that costs more than the junk and high quality products would command if sold separately. The Credit Crunch involved a lot of this: bundling junk debts with premium debts and then classifying them as A-grade

debts when in fact they had become pure junk.

And look how Kevin Trudeau of the “Global Information Network” packages what you want (access to movers and shakers, a better lifestyle, knowledge of hidden secrets) with what he wants (your hard-earned dollars). You’re not buying this from him, so he says. Rather, you are being specially invited to invest a “small” sum in order to make much larger sums, so he says. You can be sure that with all “get-rich-quick” schemes, only the person who invented the scheme ever gets rich quick.

How they exploit your needs by packaging what you want with what they want

Earthly existence comes with needs. A lot of them. Material security, love, entertainment, self-actualization, sensory satisfaction (pleasure), acceptance, search for higher meaning (God, spirituality), physical activity, creative expression, and learning, are only a few that might be mentioned. Denying most of these needs can be harmful both for the individual and society. On the other hand, exaggerating their satisfaction can be harmful too. Of course, they are present to a greater or lesser degree in everyone. Some may prefer creative expression and physical activity over learning and spirituality but that doesn’t mean that they don’t need these other qualities at all. In this article, I hope to show how ‘they’ are manipulating (perverting) these needs to gain control of you by making the whole of society effectively insane.

World of Extremes

Broadly speaking, people are born into two possible environments: extremely hard or extremely easy. If you are born as an underdog (as 3/4 of the world’s population are), you will fail at life no matter how capable you are or how hard you work. The game is rigged in the favor of the minority (those lucky enough to get the easy mode).

Sounds familiar? ‘The man’ is keeping you down? No! It is you who keep yourself down. Maybe you have noticed that ‘fail at life’ part. This is where most ‘rebels’ make a huge mistake. Instead, I will say ‘fail at fabricated image of life they are expecting you to lead’. As long as you let them tell you how to live your life and what you need to buy to be happy, you will indeed be their slave. In the prevailing system of values, only the richest can satisfy (most) of their needs. Even they are not truly happy, since they take much more than they actually need (in order to set up the standards for the rest; but the more things you have, the less value those things have to you). What’s left for the rest of us is called (over)compensation. That means that you substitute some unsatisfied needs (love or spirituality for example) with an exaggeration in others (sex, pleasure, material possessions). The problem is that such things can never fill the hole in your heart, only make it less painful. For example, there is nothing wrong in enjoying your body and those of others. But that doesn’t mean you should have cocaine-fuelled orgies every night. Spirituality is as old as humanity and makes people much more mentally stable and happy. But that doesn’t mean you should zealously pray ten times a day and kill everyone not sharing the same beliefs. Is it not strange that in both cases, the middle ground is rarely present?

Religions

You might wonder why, even if most religions make little or no sense, millions of people around the world are their followers. Here, ‘certain people’ have explained what’s wrong about many of these religions (in theory), but now I will try to cover their good side and how can we use it to shape a better society (their practical aspect).

Take Christianity, for example. One of its good sides is community. People socialize while seeking something ‘higher’ and tend to look down on others less than usual. It also gives them the feeling of acceptance. Nothing wrong about that, right? Confessing to a priest is basically no different than visiting a

therapist. It also has many customs which are meaningless but for some reason make people happy and bring color in their lives. It gathers family/friends once in a while and (in theory) makes people less selfish. If you ask any priest, he will say that it's the religion of love and understanding where all people are equal. So far, so good.

But the tricky part is the 'price' one must pay to become a member of such a society. In order to get a few good things about it, you must also accept all the bad things that come included in package. And, in the example of Christianity, bad things outweigh good ones many times over.

What about Buddhism/Hinduism? They help people come to peace with their mind and surroundings. Anyone practising them seriously has much greater control over oneself, and better understanding of others. They promote virtue, peace and wisdom. But they also contain many wrong ideas that come included and corrupt the whole picture.

Judaism and Islam are like the superiority and inferiority complexes. Still, they too have a few 'truths' worth considering.

The list could go on until I cover every belief system there is. Atheism (also a belief) makes the same mistake, but in the opposite manner. 'Believers' accept many bad things to get the good ones, while Atheists reject all positive aspects of religion in order to avoid the negative.

I will use the term 'Packet arrangements' because it's one sales strategy that many companies use to sell their products. It involves putting junk together with something of value so you can sell it for a higher price than as junk and quality product separately. The more you buy it, the more junk there is (for the same price) until you become totally addicted to junk and lose all sense of quality. It's interesting how similar most religions and international corporations are when viewed from this sort of perspective. The basic psychological principles behind them are the same. They cover part of your needs while completely suppressing others, making you an addict of their 'product'. Most

‘churches’ are in fact profit/interest organizations with two goals: 1) Making money; 2) Brainwashing people so that their ‘sponsors’ make more money and they gain greater control of the people.

If you are a devoted Christian (or member of any other similar religion), you get rewarded with ‘salvation’ (sense of higher meaning, love and unity with God), but must completely suppress your other needs. Why do you think such people always pray and have a need to ‘confess’ every time they have ‘sinful thoughts’. It’s a simple case of over-compensation. But running away from the demon is not the same as confronting it.

If you are an atheist/(hedonistic) Satanist, you enjoy all earthly pleasures but lose the sense of higher meaning, love, personal improvement etc. Why do you think such people can never have enough of material possessions and earthly pleasures? Again, they are over-compensating and running away from the problem. And by running away from it, they are becoming its cause.

Is it not strange that these two ‘options’ (‘believer/non-believer’) are the most mainstream ones? And why do most of them have such an urge to force their belief on others? Proving someone wrong will neither fix your insecurities, improve your life (and those of others) nor provide a meaning to existence. Instead of sending people to hell or spending an insane amount of time logically analysing some fairytale (very obviously a fairytale), you better try and provide the answers yourself. You might (and will probably) fail, but at least you tried.

Politics

Most options are either left or right wing. The same rules apply as in religion. You vote for one party because of the small amount of good things it promotes (every political option, even the most extreme ones, has at least a few beneficial ideas) but must also accept a ton of bad ones that come included. They fight in front of cameras, only to be best friends at private cocktails (with their sponsors) later. Whoever you vote for, you lose. No (major) politician today has ideals.

Culture

Again, you have cultural ‘standards’ and so called counter-culture which is no less the fashion style than the mainstream. It sells too. Whatever option you choose, they win.

So, what can we do to stop them controlling us this way? Simple: change the way you think. Make your own religion, taste, political views, culture. Blow up the old system, take its ‘spare parts’, the bits that are actually good, and build a new one with them. Stop living in a black and white world. (But, admittedly, it’s much easier said than done.)

“T” sent us a message, which captures the sentiments of many other messages we receive:

“I hope that it doesn’t bother you that I’m sending this email. I’m sure you are busy. I just wanted to let you know what your site has done for me since reading it. I have read everything you have written and I am glad there are people out there trying to fight against the powers that be.

“When I first started hearing about the Illuminati, it was all negative. I didn’t know or understand its real purpose. Now I do. Your books have opened my eyes to a lot of things. I have now joined The Movement and created my own website trying to inform people of what is going on. Actually I was working on the website before I found yours.

I was raised in a Christian family but there was something about it that didn’t seem right to me. It just didn’t fit with me inside. I always questioned the God in the Bible. Why he is so cruel, jealous etc. I questioned a lot of things in it. I never could believe what I was being told about it. Then I tried Islam in my early twenties. That turned out to be the same, but with no one to take away your sins for you. Soon after I let that go as well. Same God different story. I haven’t believed in any of the book religions in a long time. I just couldn’t understand them. I couldn’t

understand God through what was being taught in them. I never let go of my belief in God but I did let go of me believing in the one in those books.

I've been an outcast in my family for many years because I won't accept what that book says and for getting into some trouble at a young age. I have tried to find out everything that I could about religion and God. Everything that I saw was just controlling. No freedom or room to grow. It was all bow down or burn and that didn't seem to me to be a just and fair God. I don't have to let go of old beliefs because they were never rooted in me in the first place. Which makes my journey easier. I don't have to rebuild because nothing was destroyed.

After reading the information in your books a new person emerged. I finally saw things in a way that made sense to me like it never has before. Almost every question that I had about that Bible and the Koran was answered in one place. I was absolutely amazed. When the answers to my questions about the Bible were answered so were the ones about the Koran.

I now look at things in a whole new light. I am no longer sitting on the sidelines. I am taking an active part in trying to bring the news to people out there that are just like I was. It now makes sense to me the reason why the books are like they are and it's clear who the God in them really is.

If there is anything I can do to help let me know. I'd be glad to. Just as long as prison or jail isn't involved. I can't see how being in there would help in any way. I don't care about meeting anyone in your organization but I would like to help in any way that I can. I've never cared about being rich. I've seen how those people are towards others less fortunate and I really don't like the way they act and I didn't want to be like them so I never pursued money. I can't seem to meet anyone that has the same beliefs as I do so I keep to myself. I really don't know what I have to bring to the table. I do have the will to try to do what I can and I will if needed.

You are helping people. I am one of them. That is what I was

wanting to let you know with this email and to say thank you.

And thank you too, T, for sharing that with us.

The intolerance of the Abrahamic religions was exposed with the best weapon – clinical humour – in a famous letter to a Jewish radio show host that went viral on the internet...

On her radio show, Dr Laura Schlesinger said that, as an observant Orthodox Jew, she believes strongly that homosexuality is an abomination according to Leviticus 18:22, and cannot be condoned under any circumstance. The following response is an open letter to Dr. Laura, penned by a US resident, which was posted on the internet;

Dear Dr. Laura,

Thank you for doing so much to educate people regarding God's Law. I have learned a great deal from your show, and try to share that knowledge with as many people as I can. When someone tries to defend the homosexual lifestyle, for example, I simply remind them that Leviticus 18:22 clearly states it to be an abomination ... End of debate.

I do need some advice from you, however, regarding some other elements of God's Laws and how to follow them.

1. Leviticus 25:44 states that I may possess slaves, both male and female, provided they are purchased from neighbouring nations.

A friend of mine claims that this applies to Mexicans, but not Canadians. Can you clarify? Why can't I own Canadians?

2. I would like to sell my daughter into slavery, as sanctioned in Exodus 21:7. In this day and age, what do you think would be a fair price for her?

3. I know that I am allowed no contact with a woman while she is in her period of menstrual uncleanness - Lev.15: 19-24. The problem is how do I tell? I have tried asking, but most women take offense.

4. When I burn a bull on the altar as a sacrifice, I know it creates a

pleasing odour for the Lord - Lev.1:9. The problem is my neighbours. They claim the odour is not pleasing to them. Should I smite them?

5. I have a neighbour who insists on working on the Sabbath.. Exodus 35:2 clearly states he should be put to death. Am I morally obligated to kill him myself, or should I ask the police to do it?

6. A friend of mine feels that even though eating shellfish is an abomination, Lev. 11:10, it is a lesser abomination than homosexuality. I don't agree. Can you settle this? Are there 'degrees' of abomination?

7. Lev. 21:20 states that I may not approach the altar of God if I have a defect in my sight. I have to admit that I wear reading glasses. Does my vision have to be 20/20, or is there some wiggle-room here?

8. Most of my male friends get their hair trimmed, including the hair around their temples, even though this is expressly forbidden by Lev. 19:27. How should they die?

9. I know from Lev. 11:6-8 that touching the skin of a dead pig makes me unclean, but may I still play football if I wear gloves?

10. My uncle has a farm. He violates Lev.19:19 by planting two different crops in the same field, as does his wife by wearing garments made of two different kinds of thread (cotton/polyester blend). He also tends to curse and blaspheme a lot. Is it really necessary that we go to all the trouble of getting the whole town together to stone them? Lev.24:10-16. Couldn't we just burn them to death at a private family affair, like we do with people who sleep with their in-laws? (Lev. 20:14).

I know you have studied these things extensively and thus enjoy considerable expertise in such matters, so I'm confident you can help. Thank you again for reminding us that God's word is eternal and unchanging.

Your adoring fan.

The King James Version quotes:

Lev 18:22 [KJV] Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is abomination.

Lev 1:9 [KJV] But its inwards and its legs shall he wash in water: and the priest shall burn all on the altar, to be a burnt sacrifice, an offering made by fire, of a sweet savour unto the LORD.

Exodus 21:7 [KJV] And if a man sell his daughter to be a maidservant, she shall not go out as the menservants do. [NIV] If a man sells his daughter as a servant, she is not to go free as menservants do.

Lev 15:19-24 [KJV] And if a woman have an issue, and her issue in her flesh be blood, she shall be put apart seven days: and whosoever toucheth her shall be unclean until the evening. 20: And everything that she lieth upon in her separation shall be unclean: everything also that she sitteth upon shall be unclean. 21: And whosoever toucheth her bed shall wash his clothes, and bathe himself in water, and be unclean until the even. 22: And whosoever toucheth anything that she sat upon shall wash his clothes, and bathe himself in water, and be unclean until the evening. 23: And if it be on her bed, or on anything whereon she sitteth, when he toucheth it, he shall be unclean until the evening. 24: And if any man lie with her at all, and her flowers be upon him, he shall be unclean seven days; and all the bed whereon he lieth shall be unclean.

Lev 25:44 [KJV] Both thy bondmen, and thy bondmaids, which thou shalt have, shall be of the heathen that are round about you; of them shall ye buy bondmen and bondmaids. [NIV] Your male and female slaves are to come from the nations around you; from them you may buy slaves.

Exodus 35:2 [KJV] Six days shall work be done, but on the seventh day there shall be to you a holy day, a sabbath of rest to the LORD: whosoever doeth work therein shall be put to death. [NIV] For six days, work is to be done, but the seventh day shall be your holy day, a Sabbath of rest to the LORD. Whoever does any work on it must be put to death.

Lev 11:10 [KJV] And all that have not fins and scales in the seas, and in the rivers, of all that move in the waters, and of any living thing which is in the waters, they shall be an abomination unto you.

Lev 21:17 - 20 [KJV] Whosoever...hath any blemish, let him not approach to offer the bread of his God. 19: For whatsoever man he is that hath a blemish..., he shall not approach: a blind man, or a lame, or he who hath a flat nose, or anything superfluous, 20: Or crookbacked, or a dwarf, or hath a blemish in his eye, or be scurvy, or scabbed, or hath his stones broken [damaged testicles].

Lev 19:27 [KJV] Ye shall not round the corners of your heads, neither shalt thou mar the corners of thy beard. [NIV] Do not cut the hair at the sides of your head or clip off the edges of your beard.

Lev 11:6-8 [KJV] And the hare, because he cheweth the cud, but divideth not the hoof; he is unclean unto you. 7: And the swine, though he divide the hoof, and be cloven-footed, yet he cheweth not the cud; he is unclean to you. 8: Of their flesh shall ye not eat, and their carcass shall ye not touch; they are unclean to you. [NIV] The rabbit, though it chews the cud, does not have a split hoof; it is unclean for you. 7: And the pig, though it has a split hoof completely divided, does not chew the cud; it is unclean for you. 8: You must not eat their meat or touch their carcasses; they are unclean for you.

Lev 19:19 [KJV] Ye shall keep my statutes. Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woollen come upon thee.

Lev 24:10-16 [KJV] And the son of an Israelitish woman, whose father was an Egyptian, went out among the children of Israel. And this son of the Israelitish woman and a man of Israel strove together in the camp; 11: And the Israelitish woman's son blasphemed the name of the Lord, and cursed. And they brought him unto Moses: (and his mother's name was Shelomith, the daughter of Dibri, of the tribe of Dan:) 12: And they put him in ward, [prison] that the mind of the

LORD might be shown them. 13: And the LORD spake unto Moses, saying, 14: Bring forth him that hath cursed without the camp; and let all that heard him lay their hands upon his head, and let all the congregation stone him. 15: And thou shalt speak unto the children of Israel, saying, Whosoever curseth his God shall bear his sin. 16: And he that blasphemeth the name of the LORD, he shall surely be put to death, and all the congregation shall certainly stone him: as well the stranger, as he that is born in the land, when he blasphemeth the name of the Lord, shall be put to death.

Lev 20:14 [KJV] And if a man take a wife and her mother, it is wickedness: they shall be burnt with fire, both he and they; that there be no wickedness among you.

Zero And Infinity

“If the doors of perception were cleansed everything would appear to man as it is, infinite. For man has closed himself up, till he sees all things through narrow chinks of his cavern.” -- William Blake

Underneath all of the apparent complexity and diversity, the world is straightforward. Just as the numbers zero and infinity are the limits of existence, they are also the limits of human thinking. In fact, despite Blake's wonderful quotation, human minds (but not the human senses) are geared towards zero and infinity. The reason is simple: any line of thinking will proceed in a certain direction until it reaches a limit, at which stage it can go no further; it has reached its natural end-point.

One of the best illustrations is religion. In the Abrahamic faiths, the distance between human beings and God is stretched to infinity. He is infinitely far above us in terms of everything: infinitely more powerful, infinitely more good, infinitely wiser, infinitely more knowing. He is infinite perfection. So, the contrast between God and us can be pushed no further. We, in comparison with this infinite God, are reduced to zero. There you have it: the eternal dualism of zero and

infinity.

Imagine that a prophet claimed that God was 33% smarter and better than human beings. That would be absurd, wouldn't it? The prophet would have no followers. What about 100% or 1000% or 1,000,000% or a trillion percent? Well, these are all utterly arbitrary, aren't they? It would seem as though the prophet had simply plucked a number out of thin air. There is no sufficient reason to choose any such number. Only one number will suffice – infinity, the absolute limit. In other words, as soon as any prophet conceives of “God”, he is compelled to represent him in terms of the infinite, and by comparison humanity can't help but be relegated to zero since all finite entities are zero in terms of infinity.

And that, quite simply, is the essence of the Abrahamic faiths. God = infinity; humans = zero. Every assertion made by any Abrahamic prophet is based on this. The very moment the idea of monotheism was conceived, there was no question that the lone “Creator” would instantly sit on the throne of infinity.

The Greek gods of Mount Olympus were more like superbeings than deities. The very fact that there were many of them meant that they could not be defined in terms of infinity. Polytheism invariably dilutes the power of gods, but monotheism magnifies divine power until it reaches infinity and can go no further. So, automatically, Abrahamic monotheism resulted in a fanaticism completely absent in polytheistic religions. The Romans brutally slaughtered their enemies, but always in the name of Rome, not of God. They crucified Jesus Christ because he was a rebel, not because he claimed to be the Son of God. It was a political execution, not religious. (Although, of course, from the point of view of the Jewish hierarchy, it was absolutely a religious matter. They were the ones offended by the divine claims of Christ, not the Romans. After all, the Romans believed their emperor to be divine, so the idea of a man-god wasn't shocking to them.)

Neither the Romans nor the Greeks would ever have conceived of waging “holy war”. Only Abrahamic monotheism breeds that warped mentality.

Many Protestants subscribe to a theological doctrine called “Total

Depravity”, which proclaims that all human beings are fallen, indelibly stained with original sin, and utterly depraved, with no hope of redemption through their own efforts. They are unable to follow God and to accept his gift of salvation without God’s direct intervention and his bestowal of grace upon those who are irredeemably corrupt and damned. Humans are literally incapable of being godly. Sin is intrinsic to humanity, so our “free will” cannot avoid it. Our choices invariably reflect our sinfulness. We cannot freely choose the path of God. We do not and cannot choose to be saved – we are far too wicked. We are entirely at God’s mercy.

Of course, the logical outcome of this position is that we have no free will to commit genuinely good acts; they are always beyond us. That’s no problem for Protestants. They believe in predestination, the horrific concept that our ultimate fate is determined by God when we are born and, through a random process, he makes a few of us the “elect” and the rest of us the damned who will certainly go to hell. (So much for a God of love, forgiveness and compassion.) And now you begin to see where the Old World Order get some of their ideas from: they are the chosen ones – the elect – and we are the damned who deserve to be treated with absolute contempt.

The Protestant religion is another example of a psychopathic set of beliefs. It takes the worst possible view of humanity. We are helpless playthings of the will of God and have absolutely no say in our lives. What’s the point of holding such insane beliefs that reduce us to puppets? Any act we perform, whether it be religious, charitable, altruistic, philanthropic, benevolent or compassionate is nevertheless wicked because the impulses for these actions arise within our totally corrupt human natures, and are not done to serve or glorify God, but ourselves. Only the granting of God’s grace, that none of us can ever deserve, can convert our actions into those compatible with true goodness and salvation.

It’s hard to comprehend how, in the 21st century, anyone could subscribe to this diabolical, sickening, perverted view of human nature, devoid of any hope or love. Protestantism is one of the most evil ideologies ever devised. It is the jewel in the crown of the

Demiurge. It is the basis of the creed of the Old World Order. The vast majority of the members of the Tea Party are Protestants of this ilk. Their ancestors who got off the boats from England in the 1600s were mostly Puritan religious fanatics, and nothing much has changed. These same people became enthusiastic slave owners and ultra capitalists. Nothing could be more terrifying than the Tea Party assuming control of America.

Humanity, in this Protestant view, is nothing and God everything. Protestantism has simply pushed to their logical conclusion concepts already present in Catholicism but not emphasized. There was and is a tendency in Catholicism to promote the moral efficacy of “good works”. Martin Luther, the founder of Protestantism, adopted a position of “justification by faith”. Faith alone was all that mattered; good works counted for nothing. But the Catholic Saint Augustine had already gone far in this direction, and indeed Luther was initially an Augustinian monk, hence his familiarity with this train of thought.

Catholics, unlike Protestants, are much more supportive of community and are ambivalent about capitalism. Go to a southern European Catholic country such as Italy or Spain and you will discover a spectacularly different mentality from the one you encounter in Protestant Britain. The excesses of capitalism are much more likely to take place in Protestant nations than Catholic ones. So, to that extent at any rate, Catholicism has some virtue. It’s hard to see any virtue at all in Protestantism. Nearly all of the ills of the Western world can be traced unambiguously to beliefs of Protestants. The Tea Party exemplifies all of the horrific attitudes of Protestantism. The Republican Party is also fiercely Protestant. What more needs to be said? Who in their right mind would be a Protestant?

Muslims are every bit as locked into the humanity = zero, God = infinity model as the Christians. Muslims pray five times a day (including once in the middle of the night), facing East. They bow to the ground in their segregated mosques and murmur their prayers. Women have to pray out of sight of the men, and be dressed in hijabs, niqabs and burqas. Muslims “submit” to God (indeed Islam means “submission”.) They go on pilgrimages to Mecca and perform

enormous synchronized grovelling to Allah. “We are not worthy!” To be a Muslim is to be a degraded self-hater. Human dignity and self-respect are reduced to zero.

The Jews are no different. They have to obey hundreds of ludicrous rules to avoid offending God. Would God really care if you shaved off your beard, didn’t wear your hair in ringlets, didn’t wear tassels, didn’t wear a dark, sober suit? Does God spend his time checking whether a woman has her hair covered or not? Is that what God is all about – going round with a checklist to make sure everyone is following “the rules”? What a dismal view of both humanity and God. Imagine believing in a God who might send you to eternal hell-fire if your facial or head hair broke “the rules”. Are these people deranged? Their conception of God is disgraceful and embarrassing. They have turned him into some kind of petty prison guard with a Hitler moustache determined to punish any minor transgression. He is a God preoccupied with trivia and rulebooks, with keeping score. Is there actually any point in believing in the Jewish God?

The logic of the Abrahamic faiths is that we must perpetually beg God for mercy. We must glorify him at all times or fall into the dreadful sinfulness of our “human nature”. None of us deserves salvation, and there are no deeds we could ever perform to merit it because any finite act by a finite being will always be as nothing in comparison with the infinite, so we have to plead to be saved, and if we do not plead well enough then God will send us to the place of infinite and eternal punishment: hell.

As for Satan, he isn’t just evil, he is infinitely evil. No punishment he metes out is ever matched to any finite crime: it is always infinite in extent and duration. Again, we simply cannot go any further once we have reached infinity.

And once you have presented unintelligent people with the prospect of infinite reward for obeying the rules, and infinite punishment for breaking them, you have created the scope for brainwashed hordes and “martyrs”. People who are locked into this calculation of infinite pleasure/pain are capable of absolutely any crime in the name of their God. The 9/11 maniacs regarded all infidels

as infinitely bad people, deserving of no mercy whatsoever. After all, it says in the Koran – the infallible, unquestionable word of Allah – that all infidels will suffer eternal hellfire. They were clearly carrying out his will by slaughtering the unbelievers.

The Abrahamic faiths are all about zero and infinity. They are rooted in psychosis. They are exceptionally damaging to mental health. They create an extreme fantasy world based on infinite fear of hell, and infinite desire for paradise. They engender absolute hatred of unbelievers. They create infinite intolerance.

But what about non-Abrahamic religions? Illumination proclaims that we can “become God”. In other words, instead of expanding the gap between God and us to infinity, Illumination does the opposite and reduces it to zero so that is no longer possible to distinguish God from us. Hinduism and Buddhism follow this line of thought too. Moksha, Nirvana, Gnosis are all essentially the same concept: enlightenment leading to union with the Godhead or the Fount of Existence.

In the Abrahamic faiths, humanity is utterly alienated from God. In the non-Abrahamic religions there is, at the point of enlightenment, not only no alienation but actual identity with God.

Which type of religion do you think is psychologically healthier? Which promotes the more positive and optimistic view of the human race? Which promises the most glorious possibilities, indeed the most infinitely glorious outcome possible?

There is simply no comparison, The Abrahamic faiths are the products of warped, diseased, self-hating minds. They are an obscenity, an affront to the dignity of the human race.

Who is really on the side of the concept that we are created in God’s image? Certainly not the Abrahamists who place infinite distance between God and us i.e. we don’t look anything like God and share absolutely none of his attributes. But the religions of enlightenment all support the idea that we can become God literally. When we look in the mirror after we have achieved gnosis, it will be God’ reflection (image) that we see gazing back.

These are the five possible human attitudes to religion based on the

zero/infinity analysis:

1) Abrahamism.

Gap between humans and God = infinity. Humans are zero in value.

2) Enlightenment.

Gap between humans and God = zero (ultimately). Humans are infinite in value (ultimately). (Pantheism – the idea that is God is everywhere, that God is Nature, hence that we are all part of God, is a special case of enlightenment thinking.)

3) Polytheism.

The gods are superbeings; powerful but not of infinite power. The gap between the gods and humanity is neither infinite nor zero. The gods are magnified humans, subject to the same passions and weaknesses (like the Greek gods of Olympus).

4) Atheism.

There are no gods. The gap between humans and gods is zero because gods don't exist.

5) Agnosticism.

Agnostics don't know whether gods exist or not. Hence no gap between gods and humans can be defined. They do not subscribe to any extremist positions because they are not in a position to do so.

So, you see how even in religion, zero and infinity rule control the entire discussion. And you see how easy it is to reduce all of the apparent complexity of the thousands of religions that exist in the world to just five basic positions.

The Torah, the Koran and the Bible are regarded by Jews, Muslims and Fundamentalist Christians as the infallible Word of God that must never be questioned. These people regard these “holy” texts as

infinitely true, hence anything that contradicts them must be infinitely false. Virtually 100% of religious fundamentalists believe that God literally made the world in six days and rested on the seventh, and that this took place within the last four thousand years or so. Almost all Muslims, Orthodox Jews and Christian Fundamentalist reject Darwin's Theory of Evolution. So, there can be no question that believing in these books makes you infinitely stupid. Islam will get more and more backward as it clings to a text that stands in direct opposition to science and technology. Over a billion people, because of their inflexible, "infinite" belief, will fall further and further behind everyone else. In a million years from now, they will be infinitely far behind and they will be living in caves clutching tattered copies of the Koran and they will no longer be recognizable as members of the human race. That's how dangerous it is to attach yourself to the wrong religion, a religion literally going backwards. With every passing year, the Abrahamic faiths fall further behind.

Catholicism differs from the rest of the Abrahamic faiths in a crucial regard. The Pope is regarded as Christ's representative on earth – he is the "vicar" of Christ. According to the Catholic Church, he is capable of making infallible statements in specific situations about specific matters.

In Islam, Judaism and Protestantism, there is no equivalent of the Pope. The Pope has the authority to speak on behalf of the entire Catholic religion. What he says goes. But no Jew, Muslim or Protestant can do likewise for their faiths. Catholicism is hierarchical and meritocratic – the higher you climb, the more meritorious you must be. The Pope is someone of such merit that he is, from the Catholic standpoint, appointed by God himself via the vote of the College of Cardinals, the will of which is guided by God.

Catholicism departs from enslavement to holy texts by giving the Pope and the Church authority to set new rules and principles not set forth in the Bible. Theoretically, the Pope could radically alter the nature of Catholicism if he so-desired, even explicitly contradicting scripture. But he would thereby be admitting that Jesus was in error and that the eternal "truths" preached by the Church are not eternal, so

his room for manoeuvre is strictly limited.

The Catholic Church is run by qualified experts in Catholicism. The Cardinals and the Pope are deeply learned people, of the calibre of academic professors. Protestantism is entirely different. Any charismatic individual can make himself a minister, set up a church and say whatever he likes i.e. Protestantism is all about giving a voice to the complete amateur who has no expertise and no qualifications. Anyone can claim they are infinitely accurate at interpreting scripture. This is one of the reasons why Protestantism is popular. It is the cult of the Amateur. A minister simply needs to say that he has read the Word of God, so he doesn't need any formal, peer-reviewed qualifications. In Flannery O'Connor's book *Wise Blood*, the grandson of a preacher despises preachers yet nevertheless sets himself up as a preacher and establishes his own church called the Holy Church of Jesus Christ without Christ. Well, why not? It's as logical as any of the Protestant factions. One character says of the new church: "It's based on your own personal interpretation (sic) of the Bible, friends. You can sit at home and interpret your own Bible however you feel in your heart it ought to be interpreted." And that pretty much sums up Protestantism.

Protestantism exists in endless different versions precisely because anyone can claim to be the correct interpreter of God's Word. Islam is very similar. Anyone who has read the Koran is an "expert" on Islam. It's staggeringly easy to find passages in the Koran advocating violence against infidels, hence the rise of violent Islamic Fundamentalism. Catholicism adopted the view that Christianity was so complex that only experts could hope to understand it, hence the ordinary people shouldn't even try and ought to be creatures of simple faith and nothing more.

When an incident happens impacting on Catholicism, it is the job of the Pope and his Cardinals to respond. Ordinary Catholics don't have to do anything. When an incident impacts on Islam, there is no official voice of Islam to respond, so every Muslim feels they must react individually, hence the vast, baying crowds that burn the American flag and chant, "Death to America!" (Of course, according

to the 9/11 conspiracy theorists these protesters are actually CIA or Mossad agents since no Muslim in world history has ever been motivated to raise a hand against America!)

In the Cold War, a hot line existed between the American and Russian presidents. In the Vatican, the Pope has the Catholic hot line to God. Only he is allowed to use it. For Muslims and Protestants, every one of them has their own personal hotline. Hence Islam and Protestantism are “mob” religions because all the believers react at the same time, unlike Catholicism which waits on the Pope. They are “rent-a-crowd” ideologies. Everyone feels much closer to God because of their personal hotline, so they are much more fanatical, much more sensitive to perceived slights, and much more dangerous than modern Catholics.

A strange dichotomy exists in the head of Muslims and Protestants. On the one hand, they preach infinite distance between God and themselves, and on the other hand they think they are just one call away from God. This unbridgeable contradiction leads to mental illness. Similarly with Jews. They are worthless compared with God, so they believe, but they are also his Chosen People, hence infinitely valuable.

Any ideology that simultaneously defines you as worthless and infinitely valuable can have only one psychological outcome – psychosis. And that characterizes the history of the Abrahamic faiths. They have been the bane of the human race, done untold damage, and held us back for millennia.

Ill-informed non-scientists often sneer at science. In truth, they have no comprehension of what science says and they refuse to budge from their common sense opinions by which they are permanently enslaved. Certain people have an enormous interest in maintaining a common sense set of opinions because, crucially, no one needs to be an expert to make pronouncements based on common sense. Common sense could be defined as Lowest Common Denominator thinking – i.e. the most basic, least sophisticated, least demanding level of

thinking. Common sense thinking favours stupid people because it is infinitely closer to their view of the world than it is to the abstract, complex, visionary thinking of geniuses. Common sense is all about “dumbing down”. Read the Koran, the Torah or the Bible and you will find no complex ideas at all. In the Bible, Jesus Christ delivers childish parables. In the Torah, Moses barks out simplistic rules and commandments (how does switching off electricity on the Sabbath, as many Jews do, bring them any closer to God?). In the Koran, “Allah” provides a fixed formula for life that requires Muslims to do nothing but obey – to “submit”. Freethinking, dissidence and non-conformity are anathema in these religions.

Stupid people flock to such religions. They are designed for stupid people. They are successful because most people are stupid. They are dumbed-down religions for dumbed-down people. Plato complained that he had created the perfect state, but where were the perfect people? The tragedy of humanity is that it is held back by humanity. It has never turned to its greatest minds. It has never placed its trust in the most meritorious. It has never valued “heresy” – the ability to choose differently. Instead, it has burned the heretics.

If humanity were intelligent, the Abrahamic religions could not exist. In the future, when humanity is guided by Illumination and is on course to achieve its divine potential, the Abrahamic faiths will seem like a bad, far distant, primitive memory of horror, and no one will be able to comprehend that humans once held such absurd beliefs.

Once someone acknowledges that common sense is wrong then it follows that they are placing themselves at the mercy of experts, of the geniuses of the human race who have developed abstract and bewilderingly complicated techniques for understanding the truth of existence. If advanced mathematics is the language of Nature rather than common sense opinion then most people are screwed, right?

What’s the point of hanging on to simplistic and false opinions? To comfort yourself? To pander to your vanity? Deep down, most people realize they don’t have a clue about the mysteries of life. Some have a spiritual yearning that brings them to sites like this one, and they are to be applauded, yet the vast majority arrogantly dismiss anything

they don't understand and bury their heads in the sand. They lap up the religions of faith because these don't demand anything difficult of them.

What is a believer? He is someone who thinks that he is a good person and that he is certainly going to heaven. Well, that's not a hard position to hold, is it? If you ask such a person about quantum mechanics, relativity theory, advanced mathematics, advanced philosophy, advanced theology, he won't have a clue what you're talking about, but what does he care? He's a believer. He's saved. God loves him. End of story.

Now you can see why the religions of faith have spread like a cancer across the globe. They require no knowledge. In fact, they despise knowledge. Never forget Martin Luther's insane declaration, "Reason is the Devil's whore." The Abrahamic faiths are all about common sense in day-to-day matters – obeying rules, laws and commandments set by the powers-that-be in the name of "God" – with the mysteries of life being consigned to a compartment marked, "No need to worry. If I believe in God I will be OK."

They can then get on with the rest of their lives – watching junk TV, eating junk food, getting drunk, getting high, getting married, doing dreary jobs, waving the patriotic flag, shopping, going to church on the Sabbath, going to the baseball game or football match yada yada yada: all the sad, soulless nonsense that passes as the "glory" of humanity. In fact, most of us are sad robots on permanent Auto Pilot. We insult the glories of which we are truly capable, that we could attain if we succeeded in releasing our higher selves.

It's time to stop shopping, to stop believing, to stop being enslaved by common sense. It's time for humanity to turn to knowledge, to Gnosticism.

Ordinary people would like to think that common sense is the right tool for understanding the world, and that philosophers, scientists and mathematicians are wrong and perverse to seek "deeper" truths of reason that contradict common sense.

There are people who are proud to say that they despise mathematics, but only a fool would be pleased to be useless at

mathematics. You might not have much aptitude for it, but you should not hold it in contempt, as most people do. Science – the most successful of all human endeavours, from which all of our technology is derived, is founded squarely on mathematics. The clowns who loathe mathematics wouldn't be so happy if someone took away all of their gadgets, yet without maths, science and technology there wouldn't be any gadgets – no LCD TVs iPods, iPhones, iPads, cell phones, DVDs, playstations, cars, planes, medicines, electric guitars, light bulbs, internet, electricity etc.

The religions of faith couldn't care less about mathematics, science or technology. They say, "You'll be fine as long as you believe in Jesus/Allah/Jehovah. Screw mathematics." That's why cultures that promote faith are backward.

Islamic nations are hell-bent on returning to a pre-industrial existence like that of the Arabian desert of their prophet Mohammed. Will you find Einstein's theories or quantum mechanics in the Koran? So, who has need of these godless ideas? That's what the imams say. To a man, they are scientifically illiterate. If you listen to the stupid then you will become stupid. That's the inviolable Law of Dummies.

Islam represents the desire to be stupid. Islam is a belief system that God wants us to be dumb and to do nothing other than robotically obey the contents of a single book – the Koran. Islam is a belief system that proclaims that you deserve to die if you don't slavishly adhere to the Koran. The point of life, Muslims say, is to do nothing other than obey like machines. What an inspiring vision of life. What a celebration of human potential. For Islam, free will and freethinking are Satanic. They lead you away from the Koran, and hence towards hell-fire. That's your reward for thinking for yourself – hell. What God would punish people eternally for thinking? How can anyone doubt that it is in fact Islam that is Satanic, as are all religions that try to stop people from thinking.

If you want to become a genius then make sure your nation is run by geniuses. The Old World Order don't want the people to be smart. Nothing, in fact, could be more damaging to their agenda. Imagine that all schools were equally good: then money couldn't buy a better

education and secure better life opportunities. That would be a catastrophe for the privileged elite. Their whole basis of power is that they can use their wealth to buy unassailable advantages over others. They want you to be stupid, to be “believers”, to operate according to common sense.

Muslims would be happy to live in caves. Their religion was born in a cave, when Mohammed allegedly had the Koran dictated to him by the Angel Gabriel. It is a religion of caves, of anti-technology, anti-evolution, and anti-knowledge. It is a religion of dark places and cold stone. Women are expected to cover themselves from head to toe because, apparently, all Muslim men are permanently sexually inflamed and the slightest sight of a woman could incite them to the most extreme crimes. What a dismal and terrifying religion. It is a religion of the shadow, and the cave is the most suitable emblem for it. The Koran is the Book of Darkness.

A Christian pastor threatened to burn a few copies of the Koran because it was “of the Devil”. What was the response? Did the Muslims ignore this man? No, they reacted with violent demonstrations full of snarling, murderous hate. People died. Thus proving the pastor’s point. Islam’s sole response to criticism is mass, rent-a-crowd protests and extreme, deadly violence. Is this a religion or a psychosis? Muslims are incapable of accepting free speech. They want to kill everyone who disagrees with the Koran. Therefore this is the deadliest of all religions. They howl in protest at the possibility of the Koran being burned, yet this same book advocates burning all people in eternal hell-fire if they fail to worship Allah. What’s more outrageous: burning a book or burning the whole non-Islamic human race? What is the true scandal and provocation? When will Islam be put on trial for advocating the death and eternal punishment of all non-Muslims?

Why should the intolerable be tolerated? Why should the intolerant be treated with tolerance? Why should Islam be appeased? Why isn’t every Muslim spokesperson on earth asked one simple question: do you or do you not advocate that all non-Muslims should suffer hellfire, as the Koran decrees? If they say “yes”, they have placed

themselves outside the scope of tolerance and respect. If they say “no”, they have placed themselves outside Islam.

If Christians say that Jews and Muslims (who explicitly reject Jesus Christ) can go to heaven, then they have admitted that Christ is pointless in terms of Salvation, hence there is no longer any reason to be a Christian. If Muslims say that Jews and Christians (who explicitly reject the Koran and Mohammed) can go to heaven, then they have admitted that the Koran and Mohammed are pointless, hence there is no longer any reason to be a Muslim. If Jews say that Christians and Muslims (who explicitly reject the tenets of the Jewish faith) can go to heaven, then they have admitted that God does not have a unique Covenant with the Jews, and that all of the many Jewish laws and prohibitions are pointless in terms of Salvation, hence there is no reason to be a Jew. In other words, the inescapable logic of these religions, if they wish to continue to have a point, is that only they are right and everyone who disagrees with them is wrong and will suffer hellfire as punishment. In other words, these religions have inbuilt hatred and contempt for others. They are guaranteed to create conflict because they are mutually exclusive. Why should such hateful and despicable ideas be tolerated in the 21st century? These religions are an intrinsic abomination. It is nauseating when a pope shakes hands with a rabbi or imam when he believes that both are going to hell (and likewise they think he is going to hell). What hypocrites! The last thing that matters to these people is honesty.

These religions all speak of nothing but peace while their histories speak of nothing but violence. If you tell all those who disagree with you that they are going to hell, how can you expect peace and mutual respect? What part of “you’re going to hell unless you agree with me” is peaceful or respectful? If you tell others that you are the Chosen People, why are you surprised when they hate you? The answer is real simple – stop calling yourselves that! But these people will never stop. They are imbued with hatred and contempt for others. Their rhetoric of peace is belied by their actions, by the extremist dogmas to which they subscribe, by the death and destruction they will dish out to “preserve” their beliefs.

In truth, the age of the Abrahamic faiths is over. Catholicism, permanently discredited by the child abuse scandal, is turning into an irrelevance before our eyes. Islam, an utterly backward religion, rages against modernity. The Jews are dying out and becoming increasingly inbred and retarded. By the end of this century, none of these religions will still exist.

The 9/11 hijackers are said to have offered up their blood sacrifice to Allah. What God would accept a “gift” of an aircraft full of screaming, terrified men, women and children?

The Jews are no better. Consider the tale of the great Jewish hero Joshua, the leader of the Hebrew conquest of Canaan:

Joshua 11:11: And they smote all the souls that were therein with the edge of the sword, utterly destroying them: there was not any left to breathe: and he burnt Hazor with fire.

Joshua 6:21: And they utterly destroyed all that was in the city, men and women, young and old, and ox, and sheep, and asses with the edge of a sword.

So was Joshua (actually “Yehoshua,” meaning “Yahweh is salvation”, and rendered as “Jesus” in Greek) a peaceful, gentle soul, considerate of others, merciful and compassionate? Or was he a genocidal, exterminatory maniac, fully supported by his equally savage God, Yahweh?

And never forget that this bloodstained, murderous religion of Joshua was also the religion of Jesus (Yehoshua) Christ.

The only reason Christians and Jews aren’t living in caves is that, historically, their leaders were violent psychopaths who saw the need for more and more deadly weapons of war. They needed mathematicians, scientists and technologists to provide more effective killing power. Then, when capitalism and the industrial revolution came along, the fat cats saw that mathematics, science and technology could deliver even bigger profits via machines, gadgets, chemicals etc.

So, humanity’s greatest achievement – science – has been fuelled by greed and violence. Imagine if a different fuel were used: the

vision of the Venus Project, for example. What couldn't humanity achieve? Could we build a bridge to heaven? In Norse mythology, Bifrost was such a bridge, coming in the wondrous form of the rainbow. Where are our rainbow bridges? Where are our towers to the stars? Where are our portals to God?

"You cannot teach a man anything, you can only help him find it within himself." -- Galileo

There's a great deal of truth in this. Muslims, Christians and Jews cannot be taught while they cling to the words of their prophets and holy texts. Their minds are not receptive. They are locked down. Teaching is a two-way process; it requires someone with the ability to communicate and someone with the equally important ability to listen. Keeping an open mind is astonishingly difficult. Humanity, as a whole, is full of closed minds, narrow outlooks, and dangerous prejudices.

And it's getting worse.

Who will lead us out of the land of bondage in a great Exodus for the modern age?

Why has humanity struggled so badly to make sense of the cosmos? The problem stems from our propensity for building logical structures on the shakiest of foundations. All systems of logic are based on premises: propositions upon which an argument is based or from which a conclusion is drawn. The system is only as good as the premises, no matter how brilliant the subsequent logic. In other words, with flawless, impeccable logic you can reach the most staggeringly absurd conclusions if you started out with untenable premises.

Humanity has contrived to get it wrong in both regards: it has chosen ridiculous premises for the foundation of its analysis of the cosmos, and its logical follow-through has been just as ridiculous.

It's time to consider the four major trends of human thinking: Abrahamic religious thinking, Eastern religious/philosophical thinking, Western scientific thinking and Western philosophical

thinking.

1) The Abrahamic Religions

Starting premise: one thing has always existed, and will always exist – God. God is the most complex entity conceivable. God is all-seeing, all-knowing, all-powerful. God knows past, present and future. God is perfect. God is incapable of evil. God is incapable of committing an error. God preceded all things and created all things. God is the underlying answer to everything.

Consequences of this starting premise: God does not need the cosmos. God can (and did) exist without the cosmos. God “magics” the cosmos into existence because he is infinitely powerful and can do anything he likes. He simply needs to think it and it is accomplished. He doesn’t need to spend eons designing anything or working out a grand, calculated plan. He is not constrained by any systems.

So, this approach is contemptuous of science, mathematics and logic. It is based on the “will of God” – an entirely unscientific principle that cannot be discussed or framed scientifically. Therefore the Abrahamic mindset is fundamentally anti-scientific (as has been amply demonstrated down the ages). Some Abrahamists have attempted to reconcile their beliefs with science, though this has never proved remotely convincing, hence why to this day there are so many Abrahamists who literally believe that the world was created in six days and that God rested on the seventh. It is not explained by the Abrahamists why God didn’t simply take one instant to create everything instead of six days. (What was he doing at ten past two on the first Tuesday in history?) And what does “rest” mean to God? It’s not as though he gets tired or needs to “chillax”. (Did he stop each day for “elevenses”, for a cup of tea and a biscuit?)

In Abrahamic thinking, if something is stated as a “fact” in a “holy” text by a “holy” prophet then it is a “truth” revealed by God hence is not susceptible to any debate since it must be right by definition since God would never make a mistake and would never lie. It says in the Bible that God made the world in six days. End of story. No further debate required.

Once God is defined in a certain way and combined with a revealed religion (i.e. a religion that supposedly reveals the infallible Word of God straight from the source) then an infinite amount of absurdities may flow, as indeed they have.

No Abrahamist has ever come anywhere near explaining why evil exists in a world that was created by a perfect God incapable of any act of evil. Nor could they, for the simple reason that it is impossible given the starting premise. Abrahamists, in their attempts to make sense of the senseless, to explain away that which cannot be explained, have invented bizarre systems of logic. Evil is attributed to Satan (even though he too was a creation of God), to “free-will” (even though that was also a creation of God), or is defined as an “absence of good” (even though God would of course be responsible for any such absence).

Consider the following points:

1) The “logic” of the Christian religion is that humanity “fell” when Adam and Eve directly disobeyed God. This was the Original Sin, by which humanity is permanently stained i.e. the humans alive today are supposedly guilty because of something someone else did long, long ago. The sins of the first humans are literally transmitted to all future generations unto the end of time, like a really bad version of karma (“species karma” we might call it). At least with karma, you are being held responsible for something you did in a past life. With Original Sin, you are being penalized for what others did that had absolutely nothing to do with you. So much for divine justice and fairness. Because of the “crime” of Adam and Eve (eating an apple – an infinitely diabolical act, apparently), humanity is condemned to hell. Only the sacrifice of God himself could atone for humanity’s cosmic sin. Hence Jesus Christ, the Son of God and one third of the Holy Trinity, had to suffer crucifixion and death. But if you are a Christian who rejects the Biblical tale of Creation and Adam and Eve and instead accepts Darwin’s theory of evolution then you are suddenly presented with an insurmountable difficulty. Who was it that committed the “original sin”? If it wasn’t Adam and Eve then was it some grunting half-ape ancestor (?), and what was the sin in question

(rubbing two sticks together to produce fire?). No “evolutionary” Christian has ever answered this question. But without original sin then the whole logical edifice of Christianity collapses because if there is no specific sin for which to atone then there is no need for Christ. He instantly becomes superfluous, hence so does Christianity.

2) If the Jews were God’s Chosen People, but they rejected Jesus Christ (his Son), doesn’t that constitute an exceptionally poor choice on God’s part? In fact, didn’t he make a mistake? But God, by logical definition, cannot commit a mistake. So how did it all go so badly wrong with the Jews?

3) Similarly, if Mohammed and the Koran are the culmination of the Abrahamic religions, as Muslims claim, then how come God got it wrong the first time round with the Jews and then the second time round with the Christians? Why did he have to take three attempts to get his message across? And why did God suddenly start speaking Arabic rather than Hebrew, Aramaic, Latin or Greek?

4) If God already knows what we are going to do because he knows everything, how can it be said that we have free will? We can only do what God knows we are going to do, hence we are mere automatons. So, what’s the point of our lives? We’re just actors in a badly scripted play with a shit ending.

5) If some of us are predestined for hell and some for heaven, again, what’s the point?

6) If God the Creator is perfect, why is his creation so evidently imperfect?

7) Why does God never intervene in the face of great evil? It is said that all it takes for evil to triumph is for good people to do nothing. Yet God himself doesn’t do anything, so why should anyone else? If he refuses to set an example, why does he expect it of others? Talk about hypocrisy.

8) Why are the Abrahamic religions so violent and intolerant? If God is appalled by what is done in his name, why doesn't he do something about it? He didn't hesitate to drown the whole world in the time of Noah (with the Great Flood that created the Grand Canyon, supposedly!). So why does he hesitate now? Has he changed his tactics? Changed his mind? Is he a bit whimsical and inconsistent? He'll exterminate humanity one day, shrug his shoulders the next, wash his hands of them the next. Some God!

9) Muslim killers invariably scream, "God is Great" in Arabic just before they slaughter innocent men, women and children. How come Abrahamists believe that mass murder glorifies God? Hasn't God somehow "misspoke himself" if that's what his followers do in his name?

10) And so on...endlessly.

When it all becomes too hard to think about, the Abrahamists will trot out the following statements (in no particular order): 1) God works in mysterious ways, 2) our finite minds cannot comprehend the infinite Divine Mind 3) it's a matter of faith 4) if you keep asking awkward questions we'll kill you!

In Abrahamic thinking, concepts such as souls, the afterlife, heaven and hell, God himself, are all non-scientific, hence cannot be approached scientifically and logically. "Science and religion operate in different domains," they say. Really? This is equivalent to saying that particle physics is removed from ultimate reality by pure magic (or the will of God to put it another way). In fact, science and religion are approaching the same questions from complementary positions; there should be absolutely NO contradictions between them. There is only one reality, not two. There is not one domain for science and an entirely separate one for religion. The whole point of the cosmic equation $r \geq 0$ is that science and religion interpenetrate each other, and exist on a single continuum, flowing forever into each other. One cannot be understood without the other.

Abrahamic "reason" is a contradiction in terms. It is the mad

exploration of mad starting premises, and it has produced utterly mad logic, the logic of the insane asylum. It's staggering that half the world's population subscribe to this brain-dead, ludicrous garbage.

The Abrahamic religions have survived and prospered for a number of reasons: 1) they appeal to emotion rather than rationality 2) they avoid complex thought that requires high intelligence in favour of simple faith that requires nothing but self-serving delusion 3) they deploy the ultimate carrot (the infinite pleasure of heaven) and the ultimate stick (the infinite pain of hell) in the manner of classic operant conditioning (Pavlovian brainwashing) 4) they have relentlessly mind controlled children from birth, and each new generation is expected to brainwash the next (and invariably does). At the instigation of their parents, Muslim and Jewish baby boys are genitally mutilated without their consent in the Satanic practice of enforced circumcision. In addition, many Muslim girls are genitally mutilated. This is tantamount to branding human beings against their will. Abrahamists are forced to follow "divinely mandated" dietary restrictions, appearance restrictions and petty behaviour restrictions. Much of the course of their daily life is governed by dogmatic religious rules. 5) Personal and community identity is constructed around religion, so to abandon your religion is to lose your identity, or be forced to construct a new one. 6) Abrahamism is ingrained in the institutions of Abrahamic societies. 7) It is regarded as "normal", "healthy", "moral". 8) It is supported by those in power, and is one of their main instruments of control over the people.

All of these considerations make it astoundingly difficult to overthrow the Abrahamic religions even though they are absurd beyond belief, and logically incoherent. Logic is simply not used as a criterion in assessing these religions. The vast majority of Abrahamists are poorly educated and have a low IQ. The more stupid people are, the more likely they are to be devout believers in the Abrahamic faiths. Abrahamism is for the "intellectually challenged."

It cannot be expected for stupid religions to have any serious contact with logic.

2) Eastern Religions

Starting premise: There is an underlying Oneness with which we can enter into union. The holistic truth is hidden behind the veil of Maya (illusion) and ignorance. Through many reincarnations in a cycle of birth, death and rebirth (samsara), where we prosper or suffer according to our previous conduct (karma), we can attain enlightenment (moksha, nirvana) where we at last escape samsara, karma, Maya and ignorance, finally perceive the great truth of things, and become one with the transcendent reality.

Consequences of this premise: The Eastern religions come close to being forms of pantheism (God is in everything; God is everywhere) and nature worship rather than religions of personal salvation. The personal nature of God is non-existent in Buddhism and turned into an enormous pantheon of polytheistic gods in Hinduism. God himself in Hinduism is ineffable. These religions tend towards a stance that “individuation” is illusory and the mistake is only rectified when we enter into union with the underlying Oneness. They offer no coherent account of how the cosmos came to operate in this “illusory” manner. Who or what is responsible? What would be the sufficient reason for this cosmic illusion? What’s the motive for it? What function does it serve?

Eastern religions exhibit a certain indifference to the suffering of others (since all suffering is karmically “merited”). Hinduism promotes acceptance of inequality, of hierarchies (the abominable caste system). Buddhism is associated with an absence of passion, a suppression of desire, a withdrawal from the world, a lack of engagement. It’s a ghostly religion of people sitting under trees with their eyes closed.

In Hinduism and Buddhism, much of what goes wrong in our lives is blamed on previous lives. These religions are associated with an excess of passivity. They promote the notion that we are partaking of a kind of simulation or illusion that we must spiritually overcome. Our task, in a sense, is to dissolve our sense of ourselves as individuals because this is at the core of the cosmic illusion.

Eastern religions emphasize the “whole”, but this leads to numerous problems. Imagine trying to understand a car holistically.

Wouldn't it be much easier to think of the car being assembled from parts on a production line? If something goes wrong with a car, do you replace the whole car, or do you isolate whatever component has failed and replace it? In other words, reductionist, mechanistic approaches (such as those championed by the Western scientific mindset) can often be enormously more successful.

All problems should be viewed holistically where appropriate, and mechanistically if that is more relevant, or by a combination of both approaches if that offers the best way forward. No one should dogmatically rule out one approach or another.

The aim of Eastern religions is, through contemplation, prayer, meditation and relatively simplistic philosophy (but not through science and mathematics) to see beyond illusion and climb above ignorance to the hidden unity of all things. What has been the consequence of this view? The East has traditionally lagged significantly behind the West in science in the last few centuries. Only when Easterners have adopted Western thinking have they prospered scientifically.

Hinduism and Buddhism assert that apparent separation is illusion. Therefore reductionism is illusion. Mechanism is illusion. Parts are an illusion. This logic steers them away from analysis towards synthesis, but the best approach involves both analysis and synthesis.

The moral logic of Hinduism and Buddhism is based on the strange doctrine of karma. On a simplistic basis, it seems reasonable that in a system of reincarnation, you should be held accountable over a number of lifetimes for your good deeds and bad. But who is the Accountant of Sin? Who decides what is good and bad? If it is not a person, how does karma "know"? How does it judge? How does it keep track of trillions of living creatures? What is the mechanism for karma's interaction with a specific person? How does it impart a karmic judgement on someone? How does it transmit a "bad" life to someone who was immoral in a previous life? How does it interact with someone's DNA or their environment?

Karma, regardless of what its advocates and apologists claim, makes no scientific or philosophical sense. Here's the central problem

with karmic thinking. If a close friend of yours announced that she had cancer, would you immediately conclude that she was being karmically “repaid” for some sin that she had committed in a previous life? If you said that to her, do you think you would remain friends? Similarly, if she won the lottery, would this be karmic good fortune? If you decided that karma wasn’t involved in these cases then how would you distinguish when karma is involved from when it isn’t? If you conclude that karma is always present then you would need some explanation for how this weird force called karma implants cancer cells in some people and rigs the lottery for others. What is the scientific mechanism? And how does karma decide what punishment or reward is appropriate? Are extremely rich, successful, selfish narcissists being karmically rewarded or karmically punished? Is an extremely happy person who is poor, mocked and reviled by everyone experiencing good karma or bad karma? If someone is staring out of the window, is karma involved? If not then why not? How does karma decide when to intervene and when not to? How does karma monitor the actions of nearly seven billion human beings and countless birds, insects, mammals, reptiles, fish?

A famous actor or a greedy billionaire banker living a life of complete self-indulgence might conclude that he has been doing all of the right things karmically to be so richly rewarded. Another person might conclude that these selfish, unenlightened people were being punished because no life would leave you further from the truth than one of unrelenting, superficial pleasure.

Karmic thinking allows the Elite to think that they were “good” in their previous lives, and that ordinary people deserve to be treated badly because they were obviously “bad” in a previous existence. The Hindu caste system is exactly what you would expect from a karmic ideology.

Strictly speaking, enlightenment religions have nothing to do with morality. They are concerned with true understanding not with true goodness. Being good is a side effect of enlightenment, not its essence. Knowledge is its essence. But the person of knowledge sees how all things are one at the ultimate level and therefore that to hurt

others is to hurt oneself.

Easterners have avoided the absurd Abrahamic God, but they have never been able to build their religion on a mathematical and scientific platform. Their concept of karma is bizarre. Their idea that the cosmos operates at a profound level of illusion is equally bizarre. Why would it? Why would God/Nature seek to actively prevent people from “seeing” the truth? And why would God/Nature introduce samsara and karma as the mechanism to overcome the illusory nature of existence for which it was responsible in the first place?

The premises of the Eastern religions are as flawed as those of the Abrahamic religions, just in a different way.

3) Western Science

Starting premise: There is no God, no Creator, no Designer. The cosmos is inherently materialistic and statistical. Mind is a product of matter. Life emerges from lifelessness. The cosmos obeys the laws of physics. These laws are best studied through an analytical, reductionist, mechanistic approach. In terms of classical physics, the world can be viewed as a giant clockwork mechanism. In terms of quantum mechanics, it is a much more mysterious phenomenon and controversy rages over how to interpret the equations of quantum mechanics. In terms of living systems, these evolve according to a principle of natural selection. Life has no purpose, meaning or aims. The cosmos is not trying to achieve anything. It just is.

Consequences of this premise: Science is incapable of explaining how life originates since there is no obvious link between matter (inanimate, dead, inert “stuff”) and the quality of life. It is incapable of accounting for mind and consciousness since there is no obvious link between unthinking, inert, non-conscious matter and the quality of mindfulness and consciousness. If we decomposed a dead human being into all of the different atoms of which his body was composed, we would see little piles of “stuff”, and it would be incomprehensible how the animated combination of these piles once thought, love, laughed and philosophised.

Every human being is composed of three things: food, drink and a

code (DNA) for organizing the food and drink into something alive and conscious. Your mother ate and drank and, after she was impregnated by your father, some of this food and drink grew in her womb in an organized way according to a pattern specified by DNA and emerged as YOU. How did DNA – molecular strands – accomplish this? Where in DNA is the code that eventually translates into appreciating a good joke?

Every day, you eat and drink to replenish yourself. If you didn't, you would die. You are nothing but a particular organization of food and drink. When your ability to keep organizing the food and drink that you continually consume breaks down then you die. In this view, human beings are simply special collections of food and drink that, miraculously, can talk and tell gags! Next time you sink your teeth into a hot dog, just think that some of those atoms may soon afterwards be contributing – somehow – to your ability to fall in love. WTF!

A human being:

Input = food and drink.

Organizing principle = DNA.

Output = living, talking consciousness.

Form (DNA) imposed on matter (digested food and drink) = human life.

Form applied to potential equals actualization.

(A human being: a biological appliance for converting food and drink into love, philosophy and laughter.)

Science avoids anything that implies teleology or explicit design. It denies non-material existence. It denies dimensionless existence (the domain of zero). It denies that a “local” infinity can exist in the physical world since this would represent some sort of ripping apart of physical reality at that point.

Science cannot say where the laws of physics come from or why they exist at all. Science cannot say why anything should exist in the first place. It asserts that something came from nothing, but cannot explain how and why. Science cannot explain why the cosmos seems to be so firmly rooted in mathematics.

To avoid any implication that the cosmos is designed (implying a designer), science suggests the following: 1) the laws of physics just ARE 2) there are infinite parallel universes and every conceivable set of physical laws is exhibited; we live in a universe associated with laws of physics that give rise to conscious life, but there are infinite other universe where the alternative laws of physics produce no life 3) the laws of physics evolved by natural selection, just as living organisms did, and the laws of physics that are naturally selected are those associated with conscious life (the implication being that consciousness eventually leads to the conscious creation of additional conscious universes, while non-conscious universes die out).

Science is a set of dogmas. Ideas that seem to contradict the scientific paradigm are instantly dismissed. The hurdle to overcome to get a new idea accepted in science is simply enormous. Anything unorthodox, unconventional, radically different, that supports any notion of a cosmic designer, is rejected. No one outside the scientific “priesthood” would ever be taken seriously.

Science has become as fierce an orthodoxy as the Catholic Church once was when it condemned Galileo for heresy. Science is a stifling, conservative establishment that ridicules radical thinking and makes it impossible for professionals in the field to experiment with weird and wonderful new ideas. You would lose your scientific credibility (and your job and career) if you were seen to be supportive of any non-mainstream idea, hence no one dares to challenge the orthodoxy.

Unintentionally, science has become a bureaucratic, insular establishment of apparatchiks that suppresses new thinking. It only welcomes that which builds upon accepted scientific wisdom. It is a narrative that permits no new stories.

Science is no longer capable of escaping from its rigid orthodoxy. Its modus operandi stamps out all maverick thinking. It has become a

huge sausage machine squeezing out conventional thinkers, and it is incapable of seriously examining the highly dubious validity of the assumptions upon which it is constructed.

4) Western Philosophy

Starting premise: a bewilderingly large number of competing premises. Philosophy has thrown up idealism, subjective idealism, objective idealism, transcendental idealism, absolute idealism, materialism, dialectical materialism, realism, nominalism, universalism, solipsism, naturalism, empiricism, absurdism, existentialism, dualism, dialectical monism, panpsychism, vitalism, animism, phenomenology, will to existence, will to power, the unconscious, scholasticism, utilitarianism, positivism, statism, libertarianism, atomism, holism, pragmatism, mysticism, relativism, anarchism, hedonism, ascetism, stoicism, evolution, determinism, skepticism, free will, the general will, scientism, theism, atheism, agnosticism, pantheism, polytheism, monotheism, the ego, the transcendent ego, the non-ego, modernism, postmodernism, structuralism, hyperreality, deconstructionism.

Consequences of starting point: an enormous number of competing theories. No clarity. No clear direction. Western philosophy is a towering edifice of human thought with endlessly interesting ideas, but trapped in confusion and contradiction. No consensus has ever emerged and philosophy increasingly sees itself as performing a high-level critique of social, political, psychological, religious and scientific thinking. It seeks to clarify thinking in all of these areas; it challenges the premises and the logic, but it has itself abandoned any attempt to construct a grand master system that defines Truth with a capital "T". Its horizons and ambitions have shrunk. With postmodernism, it has started to cannibalise itself, mock itself, and it has grown close to literary criticism: mere subjective opinion dressed in scholarly language. It has become a kind of cultural commentary. Philosophy's grand ambitions have collapsed. It is viewed as increasingly irrelevant by the vast majority of people. It has done itself no favours by retreating from the battlefield.

One purpose of the Illuminati is to put philosophy back on a pedestal, to show that it was on the right track all along, but got distracted along the way. It failed to ask the right questions, to frame the problems properly, but its direction was the right one, as was its aspiration to explain everything in a single grand construction of the human mind. It got caught up in squabbling and feuding. Nevertheless, philosophy alone has the tools for clarifying the human condition through the exercise of reason. Get the premises right, and philosophy delivers the right answers. Wrong premises, wrong answers. (“Gigo,” as computer techies say – garbage in, garbage out.)

The Illuminati, from the very beginning under Pythagoras, saw that The Theory of Everything that would guide the human race forever had to be a unity of philosophy, religion, science, and, above all, mathematics as the instrument of precision. These were all aspects of one overarching structure, not competing elements.

Mathematics provided the accurate, solid and reliable foundations on which everything else could be built. ONLY mathematics could accomplish this. Every approach that had any different starting point was doomed from the outset.

Number is at the heart of mathematics and so Pythagoras revered Number above all things. The cosmos is based on mathematics so to understand the cosmos it is imperative to define exactly what cosmic mathematics consists of. To understand the mathematical thinking of the “Designer” is to understand the design. If you don’t understand the Designer’s mathematical thinking, you will never get the “big picture”. The Abrahamic religions ignored mathematics and science – hence were certain to be in error. Ditto the Eastern religions. Western science sought to work back from the experimental data to the grand design, rather than the other way around. To go from data to design is impossibly difficult, particularly when much of the data is hidden. Until the twentieth century, no one had any inkling of the mysteries of the quantum world. Only then did the data become available. In which case, science was never in any position before then to attempt to explain everything. But isn’t it possible that an enormous amount of data is still missing? Which human would ever have thought about

invisible waves such as radio waves, microwaves and infrared waves until the theory of electromagnetism pointed to their existence? This is a classic case of theory, not data, driving our understanding. The data was found where the theory said it would be. The data did not dictate to the theory. Thus it is with a true grand unified theory – it doesn't wait for data; it is the source and explanation of the data. Science works back to front.

Western philosophy also largely ignored mathematics, hence had no precise framework in which to work.

The Abrahamists, the People of the Book are ignorant savages, dedicated to violence and hate. With staggering hypocrisy, they talk of love, peace, forgiveness, mercy and compassion when their actual conduct has always demonstrated the precise opposite. They are not the answer to the problem; they *are* the problem. These people are the Devil's spawn. Whenever they feel offended, they shriek for revenge and scream, "death to the infidels".

Their knee-jerk response is always one of lethal retaliation. It is an astonishing thing that these monsters, these Satan worshippers could ever consider themselves on the same side as any benevolent force in the universe. They are surely cast in the image of their master – the Devil.

Ask yourself a very simple question – were the 9/11 suicide hijackers worshippers of a God of perfect goodness, of infinite love and compassion, or a fiend who glorified blood and slaughter? How can there be any debate? If anyone deserves to burn in hell for eternity it would surely be the 9/11 squad and their sympathizers. But the same goes for all the legions of Jews and Christians who are no strangers to massacres, intolerance and toxic hatred of others. Christians and Muslims were, historically, keen advocates of slavery. (The Jews weren't because they were often enslaved; otherwise they would have been slave traders too.) What benign God would ever have advocated treating other people as objects, as property? But Satan unquestionably would.

The People of the Book are guilty on every count. Every charge against them is upheld. They have committed every conceivable crime against humanity. They have waged war against the human race, perpetrating countless war crimes. They are evil incarnate. Their God is Satan. They are Devil-worshippers who bow to a cosmic tyrant. The human race can make no spiritual progress until this abomination is cleansed from the Earth.

When will the fortunate day come when these warped fanatics no longer enslave the human race? They are great haters. They are eager killers. They lust for blood. Three thousand years of history have compiled an unassailable case against them. Abraham, their psychotic founder, was a father willing to make a human sacrifice of his own son to show obedience to his savage God. What more need be said?

The eternal court of history has passed judgement on the children of Abraham and their God of Evil.

GUILTY!!!

There is vast wisdom, honesty, creativity and basic human goodness out there beyond the legions of the damned. Isn't it time that society tried to harness it? Imagine what we could achieve if everyone were given a proper chance in life rather than being herded, controlled and patronised by the powers that be.

Eventually all of the new voices on the internet will amount to a great shout that will bring down the walls of the Power Elite like the tired walls of old Jericho.

Don't let anyone crush your creativity. Don't let anyone censor you. Don't let anyone control you. Say No to the Abrahamists. You are not alone.

We will triumph in the name of truth and freedom. The Age of the Devil is reaching its end.

May Abraxas, the True God, the God of Enlightenment, bless you.